arXiv:1401.0144v1 [math.AG] 31 Dec 2013

Quasi DG categories and mixed motivic sheaves

Masaki Hanamura

Abstract

We introduce the notion of a quasi DG category, generalizing that of a DG category. To a quasi DG category satisfying certain additional conditions, we associate another quasi DG category, the quasi DG category of C-diagrams. We then show the homotopy category of the quasi DG category of C-diagrams has the structure of a triangulated category. This procedure is then applied to produce a triangulated category of mixed motives over a base variety.

In this paper we introduce the notion of a *quasi DG category*, and give a procedure to construct a triangulated category associated to it. This method is then applied to the construction of the triangulated category of mixed motivic sheaves over a base variety.

If the base variety is the Spec of the ground field, this coincides with the triangulated category of motives as in [Ha-1] and [Ha-2]. As for the construction of the triangulated category of mixed motives, there have been approaches by M. Levine and by V. Voevodsky as well (see [Le] and [Vo]).

The main idea in [Ha-1] , [Ha-2] , is as follows. We start with the class of smosoth projective varieties X over the base field, the cycles complexes of the product of those varieties $\mathcal{Z}(X\times Y,\bullet)$, and the composition maps $\mathcal{Z}(X\times Y,\bullet)\otimes\mathcal{Z}(Y\times Z,\bullet)\to\mathcal{Z}(X\times Z,\bullet)$. We refer to [Blo-1], [Blo-2] and [Blo-3] for the cycle complex and higher Chow groups. Although the composition is only partially defined, it is defined on a quasi-isomorphic subcomplex. In other words, we have almost a DG category where $\mathcal{Z}(X\times Y,\bullet)$ is the group of homomorphisms from X to Y. Out of these we construct the triangualted category in which the objects (which are called *C-diagrams*) and morphisms can be explicitly described in terms of varieties and cycles.

In order to extend this idea and construct the category of mixed motives over any base variety S, we encounter the following problem. With the construction of the category of pure motives over S in mind (see [CH]), one takes the class of smooth quasi-projective varieties X, equipped with projective maps $X\to S$, and considers the cycle complex $Z(X\times_S Y,\bullet)$, where X and Y are both smooth varieties with projective maps to S. There is, however, no partially defined composition map $\mathcal{Z}(X\times_S Y,\bullet)\otimes\mathcal{Z}(Y\times_S Z,\bullet)\to\mathcal{Z}(X\times_S Z,\bullet)$. So the construction in [Ha-1] , [Ha-2] cannot be immediately generalized. The present paper provides a solution to this problem on the categorial aspect: If we are given a quasi DG category, we provide a method to produce an associated triagulated category.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C25; Secondary 14C15, 14C35.
Key words: algebraic cycles, Chow group, motives.

The notion of a quasi DG category is a generalization of that of a DG category. Recall that a DG category is an additive category $\mathcal{C}$, such that for a pair of objects X, Y the group of homomorphisms $F(X,Y)$ has the structure of a complex of abelian groups, and the composition $F(X,Y) \otimes F(Y,Z) \to F(X,Z)$ is a map of complexes. (Our sign convention for the tensor product of complexes differs from the usual one, see §0. Accordingly, the domain of the composition map is $F(X,Y) \otimes F(Y,Z)$ instead of $F(Y,Z) \otimes F(X,Y)$.)

A quasi DG category (which is not really a category) consisits of an underlying category $\mathcal{C}_0$, and to a pair of objects there corresponds a complex $F(X,Y)$. One would like to think of $F(X,Y)$ as the group of homomorphisms. But there is no composition map $F(X,Y) \otimes F(Y,Z) \to F(X,Z)$. Instead, we have the following structure:

(1) There is a quasi-isomorphic double subcomplex of $F(X,Y) \otimes F(Y,Z)$, which we denote by $F(X,Y)\hat{\otimes}F(Y,Z)$; so there is an injective quasi-isomorphism

$$\iota : F(X,Y)\hat{\otimes}F(Y,Z) \hookrightarrow F(X,Y) \otimes F(Y,Z) \ .$$

(2) There is another complex $F(X,Y,Z)$ and a surjective quasi-isomorphism

$$\sigma : F(X,Y,Z) \to F(X,Y)\hat{\otimes}F(Y,Z) \ .$$

(3) There is a map of complexes $\varphi : F(X,Y,Z) \to F(X,Z)$.

In the derived category at least, one has an induced map

$$\psi : F(X,Y) \otimes F(Y,Z) \to F(X,Z)$$

obtained by inverting the quasi-isomorphism $\iota\sigma : F(X,Y,Z) \to F(X,Y) \otimes F(Y,Z)$, and composing it with φ. In particular one has the induced map on cohomology $\psi : H^0F(X,Y) \otimes H^0F(Y,Z) \to H^0F(X,Z)$.

We naturally require that the above pattern persists for more than three objects as follows.

(1) For each sequence of objects $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ $(n \geq 2)$, we are given a complex of abelian groups $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$.

Let $(1,n)$ denote the subset $\{2, \cdots, n-1\}$. For a subset of integers $S = \{i_1, \cdots, i_{a-1}\} \subset (1,n)$, let $i_0 = 1$, $i_a = n$ and define an a-tuple complex by

$$F(X_1, \cdots, X_n \top S) := F(X_{i_0}, \cdots, X_{i_1}) \otimes F(X_{i_1}, \cdots, X_{i_2}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(X_{i_{a-1}}, \cdots, X_{i_a}) \ .$$

We are also given an a-tuple complex $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S)$ and an injective quasi-isomorphism

$$\iota_S : F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S) \hookrightarrow F(X_1, \cdots, X_n \top S) \ .$$

We assume $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|\emptyset) = F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$.

(2) To an inclusion $S \subset S'$ there corresponds a surjective quasi-isomorphism

$$\sigma_{S\,S'} : F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S) \to F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S') \ .$$

One has $\sigma_{S\,S} = id$, and for $S \subset S' \subset S''$, $\sigma_{S\,S''} = \sigma_{S'\,S''}\sigma_{S\,S'}$. In particular we have a surjective quasi-isomorphism

$$\sigma_S := \sigma_{\emptyset\,S} : F(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \to F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S) \, .$$

(3) For a subset $K = \{k_1, \cdots, k_b\} \subset (1, n)$ disjoint from S, there is a map of complexes

$$\varphi_K : F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S) \to F(X_1, \cdots, \widehat{X_{k_1}}, \cdots, \widehat{X_{k_b}}, \cdots, X_n|S) \ .$$

If K is the disjoint union of K' and K'', one has $\varphi_K = \varphi_{K'}\varphi_{K''}$.

If K and S' are disjoint $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ and φ_K commute. The injection ι_S and the maps σ, φ are compatible (see (1.6) for what this means). From these requirements it follows that the composition map $\psi : H^0F(X, Y) \otimes H^0F(Y, Z) \to H^0F(X, Z)$ is associative.

Thus a quasi DG category consists of the category $\mathcal{C}_0$, the complexes $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S)$, and the maps ι, σ, and φ satisfying the above conditions. A few other conditions are required, as we briefly explain the idea below, and we refer to (1.6) for details.

(4) The category $\mathcal{C}_0$ is a groupoid, and it is also a symmmetric monoidal category with respect to a functor $(X, Y) \mapsto X \oplus Y$ called the direct sum, and an object O called the zero object. The multiple complexes $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S)$ are covariantly functorial in each variable X_i. The maps ι, σ, and φ are compatible with the covariant functoriality. In addition, $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ is assumed additive in each variable in an appropriate sense, elaborated in (1.6).

(5) For each object X there is the identity element 1_X in $H^0F(X, X)$.

The first example of a quasi DG category comes from a DG category. Indeed given a DG category, and a sequence of objects $X_1, \cdots, X_n$, let

$$F(X_1, \cdots, X_n) = F(X_1, X_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(X_{n-1}, X_n) \,,$$

and $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S) = F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ for any S; take $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ to be identities, and φ_K to be the composition at X_k, $k \in K$.

To a quasi DG category $\mathcal{C}$ one can associate an additive category $Ho(\mathcal{C})$, called the *homotopy category* of $\mathcal{C}$; it is the category in which the objects are the same as for $\mathcal{C}$, and the homomorphism group is $H^0F(X, Y)$, and the composition is the map ψ above.

Recall that given an additive category $\mathcal{A}$, one has the DG category of complexes with values in $\mathcal{A}$, and its homotopy category is a triangulated category. We will give an analogous construction for a quasi DG category. In §§2 and 3, we take a quasi DG category $\mathcal{C}$ and construct a related quasi DG category denoted $\mathcal{C}^\Delta$. (For this we require on $\mathcal{C}$ two extra structure (1.6), (iv), (v). These are the existence of diagonal elements and diagonal extension (1.6)(iv), and the existence of a generating set, notion of proper intersection, and distinguished subcomplexes, (1.6)(v).) For such $\mathcal{C}$, we produce a related quasi DG category $\mathcal{C}^\Delta$, where the objects are what we call *C-diagrams* in $\mathcal{C}$, see (1.9). A C-diagram is of the form $K = (K^m; f(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu))$, where (K^m) is a sequence of objects of $\mathcal{C}$ indexed by $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, almost all of which are zero, and

$$f(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu) \in F(K^{m_1}, \cdots, K^{m_\mu})^{-(m_\mu - m_1 - \mu + 1)}$$

is a collection of elements indexed by sequences $(m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_\mu)$ with $\mu \geq 2$, satisfying the following conditions:

(i) For each j with $1 < j < \mu$,

$$\sigma_{K^{m_j}}(f(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu)) = f(m_1, \cdots, m_j) \otimes f(m_j, \cdots, m_\mu)$$

in $F(K^{m_1}, \cdots, K^{m_j}) \otimes F(K^{m_j}, \cdots, K^{m_\mu})$.

(ii) For each $(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu)$, one has

$$\partial f(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu) + \sum_{1 \le t < \mu} \sum_{m_t < k < m_{t+1}} (-1)^{m_\mu + \mu + k + t} \varphi_{K^{m_k}}(f(m_1, \cdots, m_t, k, m_{t+1}, \cdots, m_\mu)) = 0 \ .$$

(Here ∂ is the differential of the complex $F(K^{m_1}, \cdots, K^{m_\mu})$.)

One observes that K is like a complex with terms K^m in degree m, and with differentials $f(m,n)$ from K^m to K^n for $m < n$. Note also that given an object X of $\mathcal{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, one can define a C-diagram K, by setting $K^n = X$, $K^m = O$ for $m \neq n$, and $f(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu) = 0$ for all sequences $(m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_\mu)$. We write $X[-n]$ for K.

For the class of C-diagrams to form a quasi DG category, we must define the complexes $\mathbb{F}(K_1, \cdots, K_n)$ for a sequence of C-diagrams $K_1, \cdots, K_n$, together with the maps σ and φ. We carry out the construction of these in §2, and verify the axioms of a quasi DG category in §§2 and 3. If X, Y are objects of $\mathcal{C}$ that can be viewed as C-diagrams, one has the identity $\mathbb{F}(X,Y) = F(X,Y)$. With the quasi DG category $\mathcal{C}^\Delta$ thus obtained, one can consider its homotopy category. The main result of this paper is stated as follows (see (3.12) and (3.13)).

Main Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a quasi DG category satisfying the conditions (iv),(v) of (1.6). Let $\mathcal{C}^\Delta$ be the quasi DG category of C-diagrams in $\mathcal{C}$, and $Ho(\mathcal{C}^\Delta)$ its homotopy category. Then we have*

(I) For an object X of $\mathcal{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there corresponds an object $X[n]$ in $\mathcal{C}^\Delta$. For two objects X, Y of $\mathcal{C}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Ho(\mathcal{C}^\Delta)}(X[m], Y[n]) = H^{n-m} F(X,Y) \ .$$

The composition of morphisms between three objects $X[m]$, $Y[n]$, $Z[\ell]$ (where X, Y, Z are objects of $\mathcal{C}$ and $m, n, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$),

$$H^0\mathbb{F}(X[m], Y[n]) \otimes H^0\mathbb{F}(Y[n], Z[\ell]) \to H^0\mathbb{F}(X[m], Z[\ell])$$

is identified via the above isomorphisms with the map

$$H^{n-m}F(X,Y) \otimes H^{\ell-n}F(Y,Z) \to H^{\ell-m}F(X,Z) \, .$$

It coincides with the map induced on cohomology from the map in the derived category

$$F(X,Y) \otimes F(Y,Z) \to F(X,Z) \, ,$$

obtained by composing the inverse of σ and φ.

(II) The additive category $Ho(\mathcal{C}^\Delta)$ has the structure of a triangulated category.

So far there is no geometry involved. For us the main example of a quasi DG category is that of symbols over a quasi-projective variety S, denoted $Symb(S)$, see (1.9) for details. A typical object of $Symb(S)$ is of the form (X, r), where r is an integer and X a smooth variety with a projective map to S. For two such objects (X, r) and (Y, s), the corresponding complex $F((X,r),(Y,s))$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}_{\dim Y - s + r}(X \times_S Y, \bullet)$, the cycle complex of the fiber product $X \times_S Y$. We refer to [Ha-5] for the construction of the complexes

$$F((X_1, r_1), \cdots, (X_n, r_n) | S)$$

and the maps ι_S, $\sigma_{S\,S'}$, and φ_K. The additional conditions (1.6), (iv) and (v) are satisfied for $Symb(S)$.

In §4 we apply the construction of §§2 and 3 to $Symb(S)$. The resulting triangulated category $\mathcal{D}(S) := Ho(Symb(S)^{\Delta})$ is by definition the triangulated category of mixed motives over S. See (4.2) for the properties of $\mathcal{D}(S)$. For $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$ the construction of the triangulated category in [Ha-1] , [Ha-1] is similar but simpler since then $Symb(S)$ is (almost) a DG category, and the notion of C-diagrams is simpler. (Essentially the same idea appeared in [Ka], preceding [Ha-1].) It is useful to have a construction of $\mathcal{D}(k)$ via C-diagrams, because one can construct objects concretely using cycles; see for example [Te].

We collected basic notions in §0 regarding multiple complexes and finite totally ordered sets.

Regarding the technical aspects, we point out two problems for the reader. The first is the delicate question of signs; we give the basic argument in §0, and wherever there is additional issue we elaborated on it. The signs for the complex $\mathbb{H}(K, L)$ in (2.6) require the most care. The second is the question of general positions (choice of distinguished subcomplexes); the notion of proper intersection and distinguished subcomplexes in (1.6) are designed to resolve such problems, allowing us to take distinguished subcomplexes as suited for our purposes. The places where we use this are (2.6), (2.7), (2.10) and (2.14).

In case $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$, the work [Ha-1], [Ha-2], [Ha-3], [Ha-4] deals with not only the construction of the triagulated category $\mathcal{D}(k)$, but also the cohomology realization functor and the functor of cohomological motives (which associates to each quasi-projective variety X its motive $h(X)$ in $\mathcal{D}(k)$). We will discuss these problems in a separate paper.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank S. Bloch, B. Kahn and P. May for helpful discussions. We also gratefully acknowledge the helpful comments of the referee, which led to a significant improvement of the paper.

0 Basic notions.

Subsections (0.1) and (0.2) are used throughout this paper, (0.3) and (0.4) are needed in §§2 and 3.

(0.1) *Multiple complexes.* By a complex of abelian groups we mean a graded abelian group $A^{\bullet}$ with a map d of degree one satisfying $dd = 0$. If $u : A \to B$ and $v : B \to C$ are maps of complexes, we define $u \cdot v : A \to C$ by $(u \cdot v)(x) = v(u(x))$. So $u \cdot v$ is $v \circ u$ in the usual notation. As usual we also write vu for $v \circ u$ (but not for $v \cdot u$).

A double complex $A = (A^{i,j}; d', d'')$ is a bi-graded abelian group with differentials d' of degree $(1, 0)$, d'' of degree $(0, 1)$, satisfying $d'd'' + d''d' = 0$. Its total complex $\operatorname{Tot}(A)$ is the complex with $\operatorname{Tot}(A)^k = \oplus_{i+j=k} A^{i,j}$ and the differential $d = d' + d''$. In contrast a "double" complex $A = (A^{i,j}; d', d'')$ is a bi-graded abelian group with differentials d' of degree $(1, 0)$, d'' of degree $(0, 1)$, satisfying $d'd'' = d''d'$. Its total complex $\operatorname{Tot}(A)$ is given by $\operatorname{Tot}(A)^k = \oplus_{i+j=k} A^{i,j}$ and the differential $d = d' + (-1)^i d''$ on $A^{i,j}$. (Note that the totalization depends on the ordering of the two gradings; if we reverse the order, the corresponding totalization has differential $(-1)^j d' + d''$ on $A^{i,j}$.) A "double" complex can be viewed as a double complex by taking the differentials to be $(d', (-1)^i d'')$ (or, when we reverse the order, $(\,(-1)^j d', d'')$).

Let (A, d_A) and (B, d_B) be complexes. Then $(A^{i,j} = A^j \otimes B^i; d_A \otimes 1, 1 \otimes d_B)$ is a "double" complex. Its total complex has differential d given by

$$d(x \otimes y) = (-1)^{\deg y} dx \otimes y + x \otimes dy$$

(for the tensor product complex, we always take the reverse order of the gradings for the totalization). Note this differs from the usual convention.

More generally for $n \geq 2$ one has the notion of n-tuple complex and "n-tuple" complex. An n-tuple (resp. "n-tuple") complex is a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded abelian group $A^{i_1,\cdots,i_n}$ with differentials $d_1, \cdots, d_n$, d_k raising i_k by 1, such that for $k \neq \ell$, $d_k d_\ell + d_\ell d_k = 0$ (resp. $d_k d_\ell = d_\ell d_k$). An "n-tuple" complex $A^{i_1,\cdots,i_n}$ is an n-tuple complex with respect to the differentials $(d_1, (-1)^{\alpha_1} d_2, \cdots, (-1)^{\alpha_n} d_n)$ where $\alpha_k = \sum_{j<i} \deg_j$. In this way we turn an "n-tuple" into an n-tuple complex. (As for double complexes, one may reverse the order of the gradings; in that case we will explicitly mention it.) A single complex $\mathrm{Tot}(A)$, called the total complex, is defined in either case.

As a variant one can define partial totalization. To explain it, let $S_1, \cdots, S_m$ be an ordered set of non-empty subsets of $[1, n] := \{1, \cdots, n\}$ such that $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ and $\cup S_i = [1, n]$. Such data corresponds to a surjective map $f : [1, n] \to [1, m]$. Then, given an n-tuple complex (resp. an "n-tuple" complex) $A^{i_1,\cdots,i_n}$ one can "totalize" in degrees in S_i, and form an m-tuple (resp. "m-tuple") complex denoted $\mathrm{Tot}^{S_1,\cdots,S_m}(A)$ or $\mathrm{Tot}^f(A)$. Given surjective maps $f : [1, n] \to [1, m]$ and $g : [1, m] \to [1, \ell]$, one has $\mathrm{Tot}^g \mathrm{Tot}^f(A) = \mathrm{Tot}^{gf}(A)$. For example, if a subset $S = [k, \ell] \subset [1, n]$ is specified, one can "totalize" in degrees in S, so the result $\mathrm{Tot}^S(A)$ is an m-tuple (resp. "m-tuple") complex, where $m = n - |S| + 1$.

For n complexes $A_1^\bullet, \cdots, A_n^\bullet$, the tensor product $A_1^\bullet \otimes \cdots \otimes A_n^\bullet$ is an "n-tuple" complex. For the tensor product, we view it as an n-tuple complex with respect to the reverse order of the gradings. This n-tuple complex and its total complex will be still denoted $A_1^\bullet \otimes \cdots \otimes A_n^\bullet$.

The only difference between n-tuple and "n-tuple" complexes is that of signs. For the rest of this section, what we say for n-tuple complexes equally applies to "n-tuple" complexes.

If A is an n-tuple complex and B an m-tuple complex, and when $S = [k, \ell] \subset [1, n]$ with $m = n - |S| + 1$ is specified, one can talk of maps of m-tuple complexes $\mathrm{Tot}^S(A) \to B$. When the choice of S is obvious from the context, we just say maps of multiple complexes $A \to B$. For example if A is an n-tuple complex and B an $(n-1)$-tuple complex, for each set $S = [k, k+1]$ in $[1, n]$ one can speak of maps of $(n-1)$-tuple complexes $\mathrm{Tot}^S(A) \to B$; if $n = 2$ there is no ambiguity.

(0.1.1) *Multiple subcomplexes of a tensor product complex.* Let A and B be complexes. A double subcomplex $C^{i,j} \subset A^i \otimes B^j$ is a submodule closed under the two differentials. If $\mathrm{Tot}(C) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(A \otimes B)$ is a quasi-isomorphism, we say $C^{\bullet\bullet}$ is a quasi-isomorphic subcomplex. It is convenient to let $A^\bullet \hat{\otimes} B^\bullet$ denote such a subcomplex. (Note it does not mean the tensor product of subcomplexes of A and B.) Likewise a quasi-isomorphic multiple subcomplex of $A_1^\bullet \otimes \cdots \otimes A_n^\bullet$ is denoted $A_1^\bullet \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} A_n^\bullet$.

(0.2) *Finite ordered sets, partitions and segmentations.* Let I be a non-empty finite totally ordered set (we will simply say a finite ordered set), so $I = \{i_1, \cdots, i_n\}, i_1 < \cdots < i_n$, where $n = |I|$. The *initial* (resp. *terminal*) element of I is i_1 (resp. i_n); let $\mathrm{in}(I) = i_1$, $\mathrm{tm}(I) = i_n$. If $n \geq 2$, let $\overset{\circ}{I} = I - \{\mathrm{in}(I), \mathrm{tm}(I)\}$.

If $I = \{i_1, \cdots, i_n\}$, a subset I' of the form $[i_a, i_b] = \{i_a, \cdots, i_b\}$ is called a *sub-interval*.

In the main body of the paper, for the sake of concreteness we often assume $I = [1, n] = \{1, \cdots, n\}$, a subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. More generally a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ is an example of a finite ordered set.

A *partition* of I is a disjoint decomposition into sub-intervals $I_1, \cdots, I_a$ such that there is a sequence of elememtns $\mathrm{in}(I) = i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_{a-1} < i_a = \mathrm{tm}(I)$ so that $I_k = [i_{k-1}, i_k - 1]$.

So far we have assumed I and I_i to be of cardinality ≥ 1. In some contexts we allow only finite ordered sets with at least two elements. There instead of partition the following notion plays a role. Given a subset of $\overset{\circ}{I}$, $\Sigma = \{i_1, \cdots, i_{a-1}\}$, where $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{a-1}$, one has a decomposition of I into the sub-intervals $I_1, \cdots, I_a$, where $I_k = [i_{k-1}, i_k]$, with $i_0 = \mathrm{in}(I)$, $i_a = \mathrm{tm}(I)$. Thus the sub-intervals satisfy $I_k \cap I_{k+1} = \{i_k\}$ for $k = 1, \cdots, a-1$. The sequence of sub-intervals $I_1, \cdots, I_a$ is called the *segmentation* of I corresponding to Σ. (The terminology is adopted to distinguish it from the partition).

(0.3) *Tensor product of "double" complexes.* Let $A^{\bullet\bullet} = (A^{a,p}; d'_A, d''_A)$ be a "double" complex (so d' has degree $(1,0)$, d'' has degree $(0,1)$, and $d'd' = 0$, $d''d'' = 0$ and $d'd'' = d''d'$). The associated total complex $\mathrm{Tot}(A)$ has differential d_A given by $d_A = d' + (-1)^a d''$ on $A^{a,p}$. The association $A \mapsto \mathrm{Tot}(A)$ forms a functor. Let $(B^{b,q}; d'_B, d''_B)$ be another "double" complex. Then the tensor product of A and B as "double" complexes, denoted $A^{\bullet\bullet} \times B^{\bullet\bullet}$, is by definition the "double" complex $(E^{c,r}; d'_E, d''_E)$, where

$$E^{c,r} = \oplus_{a+b=c\,, p+q=r} A^{a,p} \otimes B^{b,q}$$

and $d'_E = (-1)^b d'_A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d'_B$, $d''_E = (-1)^q d''_A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d''_B$.

The tensor product complex $\mathrm{Tot}(A) \otimes \mathrm{Tot}(B)$ and the total complex of $A^{\bullet\bullet} \times B^{\bullet\bullet}$ are related as follows. There is an isomorphism of complexes

$$u : \mathrm{Tot}(A) \otimes \mathrm{Tot}(B) \to \mathrm{Tot}(A^{\bullet\bullet} \times B^{\bullet\bullet})$$

given by $u = (-1)^{aq} \cdot id$ on the summand $A^{a,p} \otimes B^{b,q}$.

Let $A^{\bullet\bullet}$, $B^{\bullet\bullet}$, $C^{\bullet\bullet}$ be "double" complexes. One has an obvious isomorphism of "double" complexes $(A^{\bullet\bullet} \times B^{\bullet\bullet}) \times C^{\bullet\bullet} = A^{\bullet\bullet} \times (B^{\bullet\bullet} \times C^{\bullet\bullet})$; it is denoted $A \times B \times C$. We will often suppress the double dots for simplicity. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Tot}(A) \otimes \mathrm{Tot}(B) \otimes \mathrm{Tot}(C) & \xrightarrow{u \otimes 1} & \mathrm{Tot}(A \times B) \otimes \mathrm{Tot}(C) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1 \otimes u} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ \mathrm{Tot}(A) \otimes \mathrm{Tot}(B \times C) & \xrightarrow{u} & \mathrm{Tot}(A \times B \times C)\ . \end{array}$$

The composition defines an isomorphism $u : \mathrm{Tot}(A) \otimes \mathrm{Tot}(B) \otimes \mathrm{Tot}(C) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Tot}(A \times B \times C)$.

One can generalize this to the case of tensor product of more than two "double" complexes. If $A_1, \cdots, A_n$ are "double" complexes, there is an isomorphism of complexes

$$u_n : \mathrm{Tot}(A_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Tot}(A_n) \to \mathrm{Tot}(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n)$$

which coincides with the above u if $n = 2$, and is in general a composition of u's in any order. As in case $n = 3$, one has commutative diagrams involving u's; we leave the details to the reader.

Let A, B, C be "double" complexes and $\rho : A^{\bullet\bullet} \times B^{\bullet\bullet} \to C^{\bullet\bullet}$ be a map of "double" complexes, namely it is bilinear and for $\alpha \in A^{a,p}$ and $\beta \in B^{b,q}$,

$$d'\rho(\alpha \otimes \beta) = \rho((-1)^b d'\alpha \otimes \beta + \alpha \otimes d'\beta)$$

and

$$d''\rho(\alpha\otimes\beta)=\rho((-1)^q d''\alpha\otimes\beta+\alpha\otimes d''\beta)\ .$$

Composing $\mathrm{Tot}(\rho):\mathrm{Tot}(A\times B)\to\mathrm{Tot}(C)$ with $u:\mathrm{Tot}(A)\otimes\mathrm{Tot}(B)\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{Tot}(A\times B)$, one obtains the map

$$\hat{\rho}:\mathrm{Tot}(A)\otimes\mathrm{Tot}(B)\to\mathrm{Tot}(C)\ ;$$

it is given given by $(-1)^{aq}\cdot\rho$ on the summand $A^{a,p}\otimes B^{b,q}$.

The same holds for a map of "double" complexes $\rho:A_1\times\cdots\times A_n\to C$.

Remark. One could discuss more general sign rules for the change of ordering of the set of gradings of multiple complexes. We have restricted our discussions to the case we will need in §2.

(0.4) *The subcomplex* ΦA. Let $(A^{\bullet\bullet};d_1,d_2)$ be a "double" complex satisfying

(i) $A^{a,p}=0$ if $p<0$,

(ii) The sequence of complexes

$$A^{\bullet\,0}\xrightarrow{d_2}A^{\bullet\,1}\xrightarrow{d_2}\cdots$$

is exact. In other words, for each a, the complex $A^{a,\bullet}$ sastisfies: $H^i(A^{a,\bullet})=0$ for $i\neq 0$.

We then let $\Phi(A)^\bullet$ be the kernel of $d_2:A^{\bullet\,0}\to A^{\bullet\,1}$. Then one has an exact sequence of complexes

$$0\to\Phi(A)^\bullet\to A^{\bullet,0}\xrightarrow{d_2}A^{\bullet,1}\xrightarrow{d_2}\cdots\ .$$

Thus $\Phi(A)^\bullet$ is a complex with differential d_1, and the inclusion $\Phi(A)^\bullet\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Tot}(A^{\bullet\bullet})$ is a quasi-isomorphism. The association $A\mapsto\Phi A$ forms an exact functor from the category of "double" complexes satisfying the conditions (i), (ii) to the category of complexes. ΦA is so to speak the peripheral complex of $A^{\bullet\bullet}$ in the second direction.

This can be generalized to the case of "n-tuple" complexes $(A^{\bullet\cdots\bullet};d_1,\cdots,d_n)$ satisfying the conditions similar to (i), (ii) with respect to the last degree and differential. Then $\Phi(A)=\mathrm{Ker}(d_n)$ is an "$(n-1)$-tuple" complex, and the inclusion $\Phi(A)\hookrightarrow A^{\bullet\cdots\bullet}$ is a quasi-isomorphism on total complexes. If $A^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}$ is a "triple" complex, for example, we put double dots, as in $\Phi(A)^{\bullet\bullet}$, to indicate it is a "double" complex.

The operation Φ is compatible with tensor product as follows. If $A^{\bullet\bullet}$ and $B^{\bullet\bullet}$ are "double" complexes satisfying (i), (ii), then $A^{\bullet\bullet}\otimes B^{\bullet\bullet}$ is a "quadruple" complex. Taking totalization with respect to the second and fourth degree, one obtains a "triple" complex, with three differentials $d_1\otimes 1$, $1\otimes d_1$ and $d_2\otimes 1\pm 1\otimes d_2$. The "triple" complex $\mathrm{Tot}_{24}(A^{\bullet\bullet}\otimes B^{\bullet\bullet})$ thus obtained satisfies the condition (i), (ii) with respect to the third degree, and one has

$$\Phi(A)^\bullet\otimes\Phi(B)^\bullet=\Phi(\mathrm{Tot}_{24}(A^{\bullet\bullet}\otimes B^{\bullet\bullet})\,)\qquad(0.4.a)$$

as a "double" complex. Indeed $H^i(A^{a,\bullet}\otimes B^{b,\bullet})=0$ for $i\neq 0$ and $H^0(A^{a,\bullet}\otimes B^{b,\bullet})=H^0(A^{a,\bullet}\,)\otimes H^0(B^{b,\bullet})$ by the Künneth formula.

The natural map

$$\Phi(A)^\bullet\otimes\Phi(B)^\bullet\to A^{\bullet\bullet}\otimes B^{\bullet\bullet}\,,$$

obtained as the tensor product of the maps $\Phi(A)^\bullet\to A^{\bullet\bullet}$ and $\Phi(B)^\bullet\to B^{\bullet\bullet}$, is a quasi-isomorphism on total complexes. Indeed, using (0.4.a) it is identified with the inclusion

$$\Phi(\mathrm{Tot}_{24}(A^{\bullet\bullet}\otimes B^{\bullet\bullet})\,)\to\mathrm{Tot}_{24}(A^{\bullet\bullet}\otimes B^{\bullet\bullet})\,,\qquad(0.4.b)$$

which is a quasi-isomorphism.

This construction generalizes to the case of a finite sequence of "n-tuple" complexes

$$A_1^{\bullet\cdots\bullet}, A_2^{\bullet\cdots\bullet}, \cdots, A_c^{\bullet\cdots\bullet},$$

the above being the case $c = n = 2$. For example if $A^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}$ and $B^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}$ are "triple" complexes satisfying (i), (ii), then $A^{\bullet\bullet\bullet} \otimes B^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}$ is a "6-tuple" complex. $\mathrm{Tot}_{36}(A^{\bullet\bullet\bullet} \otimes B^{\bullet\bullet\bullet})$ is a "5-tuple" complex satisfying (i), (ii) with respect to the last degree. One has

$$\Phi(A)^{\bullet\bullet} \otimes \Phi(B)^{\bullet\bullet} = \Phi(\mathrm{Tot}_{36}(A^{\bullet\bullet\bullet} \otimes B^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}))$$

as a "quadruple" complex, and the natural map

$$\Phi(A_1)^{\bullet\bullet} \otimes \Phi(B)^{\bullet\bullet} \to A^{\bullet\bullet\bullet} \otimes B^{\bullet\bullet\bullet},$$

is a quasi-isomorphism on total complexes. For general c and n, we get a "$c(n-1)$-tuple" complex $\Phi(A_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Phi(A_c)$.

1 Quasi DG categories.

We refer to (0.1) for multiple complexes and tensor product of complexes. In this section we will consider sequences of objects indexed by $[1, n] = \{1, \cdots, n\}$, or more generally by a finite (totally) ordered set I. For notions related to finite ordered sets see (0.2). For $n \geq 2$, let $(1, n)$ be the set $\{2, \cdots, n-1\}$; if $I = [1, n]$, then one has $\overset{\circ}{I} = (1, n)$.

(1.1) A *DG category* $\mathcal{C}$ is an additive category such that for a pair of objects X, Y the group of homomorphisms $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ has the structure of a complex of abelian groups, written $F(X, Y)^\bullet$, and the composition of arrows

$$F(X, Y)^\bullet \otimes F(Y, Z)^\bullet \to F(X, Z)^\bullet$$

which sends $u \otimes v$ to $u \cdot v$, is a map of complexes. Here to $u : X \to Y$ and $v : Y \to Z$ there corresponds the product $u \cdot v : X \to Z$, which is the composition $v \circ u$ in the usual notation.

A complex of abelian groups $A^\bullet$ is said to be (degree-wise) $\mathbb{Z}$-free if for each p there is a set $\mathcal{S}_A^p$ such that $A^p = \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{S}_A^p$. In this section all complexes will be assumed $\mathbb{Z}$-free and bounded above.

The following facts will be often used in this section. Let $A^\bullet$, $B^\bullet$ and $C^\bullet$ be $\mathbb{Z}$-free bounded-above complexes, $u : A^\bullet \to B^\bullet$ be a map of complexes and $u \otimes 1 : A^\bullet \otimes C^\bullet \to B^\bullet \otimes C^\bullet$ be the induced map. If u is injective, then $u \otimes 1$ is injective. If u is a quasi-isomorphism, then $u \otimes 1$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

We now give the definition of a weak quasi DG category. To understand the conditions, the reader may look at the example given after the definition.

(1.2) **Definition.** A *weak quasi DG category* $\mathcal{C}$ consists of the following data (i)-(iii), satisfying the conditions (1)-(4).

(i) A symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{C}_0$, which is a groupoid (namely all morphisms are isomorphisms). Thus we have the functor $(X, Y) \mapsto X \oplus Y$, the zero object O, and the

commutativity constraint $\gamma_{XY} : X \oplus Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \oplus X$, the associativity constraint $\alpha_{XYZ} : X \oplus (Y \oplus Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} (X \oplus Y) \oplus Z$, and the unit isomorphisms $\lambda_X : O \oplus X \xrightarrow{\sim} X$, $\rho_X : X \oplus O \xrightarrow{\sim} X$, that are subject to the axioms of symmetric monoidal category. See [Ma-1], Chap. VII, for symmetric monoidal categories. The "product" operation is written additively, and the unit object is called the zero object. In what follows, objects and morphisms will mean objects and morphisms in $\mathcal{C}_0$.

(ii) For each sequence of objects of $\mathcal{C}_0$, $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ ($n \geq 2$), a ($\mathbb{Z}$-free, bounded above) complex of abelian groups $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$.

(iii) Two types of maps as follows. For $1 < k < n$ a map of complexes

$$\tau_k(X_1, \cdots, X_n) : F(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \to F(X_1, \cdots, X_k) \otimes F(X_k, \cdots, X_n) \ ,$$

often just written τ_{X_k} or τ_k; the map τ_k is assumed to be a quasi-isomorphism. For $1 < \ell < n$ a map of complexes

$$\varphi_\ell(X_1, \cdots, X_n) : F(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \to F(X_1, \cdots, \widehat{X_\ell}, \cdots, X_n) \ ,$$

also written φ_{X_ℓ} or φ_ℓ.

These complexes and maps satisfy the conditions below.

(1)(Functoriality.) The complex $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ is assumed covariantly functorial. Namely, given a sequence of morphisms $f = (f_1, \cdots, f_n) : (X_1, \cdots, X_n) \to (Y_1, \cdots, Y_n)$, where each f_i is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}_0$, there corresponds an isomorphism of complexes

$$f_* : F(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \to F(Y_1, \cdots, Y_n)$$

that is covariantly functorial in f. Also, if $X_i = O$ for some i, the complex $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ is assumed to be zero.

We require that τ_k is covariantly functorial: For a sequence of morphisms $f : (X_1, \cdots, X_n) \to (Y_1, \cdots, Y_n)$ the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{\tau_k} & F(X_1, \cdots, X_k) \otimes F(X_k, \cdots, X_n) \\ {\scriptstyle f_*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_* \otimes f_*} \\ F(Y_1, \cdots, Y_n) & \xrightarrow{\tau_k} & F(Y_1, \cdots, Y_k) \otimes F(Y_k, \cdots, Y_n) \end{array}$$

where the right vertical map $f_* \otimes f_*$ is the tensor product of the maps $f_* : F(X_1, \cdots, X_k) \to F(Y_1, \cdots, Y_k)$ and $f_* : F(X_k, \cdots, X_n) \to F(Y_k, \cdots, Y_n)$. In short, one has $(f_* \otimes f_*)\tau_k = \tau_k f_*$.

Also φ_ℓ is assumed covariantly functorial in each X_i: For a sequence of morphisms $f : (X_1, \cdots, X_n) \to (Y_1, \cdots, Y_n)$, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\ell} & F(X_1, \cdots, \widehat{X_\ell}, \cdots, X_n) \\ {\scriptstyle f_*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_*} \\ F(Y_1, \cdots, Y_n) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\ell} & F(Y_1, \cdots, \widehat{Y_\ell}, \cdots, Y_n) \end{array}$$

commutes, namely $f_* \varphi_\ell = \varphi_\ell f_*$.

(2)(Commutation identities.) For two elements $k < \ell$ in $(1, n)$, we have the identity $(1 \otimes \tau_{X_\ell})\tau_{X_k} = (\tau_{X_k} \otimes 1)\tau_{X_\ell}$, namely the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{X_k}} & F(X_1, \cdots, X_k) \otimes F(X_k, \cdots, X_n) \\ {\scriptstyle \tau_{X_\ell}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1\otimes\tau_{X_\ell}} \\ F(X_1, \cdots, X_\ell) \otimes F(X_\ell, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{X_k}\otimes 1} & F(X_1, \cdots, X_k) \otimes F(X_k, \cdots, X_\ell) \otimes F(X_\ell, \cdots, X_n)\,. \end{array}$$

Note the maps $1 \otimes \tau_{X_\ell}$ and $\tau_{X_k} \otimes 1$ are quasi-isomorphisms. Writing τ_k, φ_k for τ_{X_k}, φ_{X_k}, the identity reads $(1 \otimes \tau_\ell)\tau_k = (\tau_k \otimes 1)\tau_\ell$. Note τ_ℓ is not necessarily the ℓ-th τ-map.

For two elements $k < \ell$ in $(1, n)$, $\varphi_{X_\ell}\varphi_{X_k} = \varphi_{X_k}\varphi_{X_\ell}$, namely the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{X_k}} & F(X_1, \cdots, \widehat{X_k}, \cdots, X_n) \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi_{X_\ell}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_{X_\ell}} \\ F(X_1, \cdots, \widehat{X_\ell}, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{X_k}} & F(X_1, \cdots, \widehat{X_k}, \cdots, \widehat{X_\ell}, \cdots, X_n)\,. \end{array}$$

For distinct elements k and ℓ in $(1, n)$, $\tau_{X_\ell}\varphi_{X_k} = (\varphi_{X_k} \otimes 1)\tau_{X_\ell}$ if $k < \ell$, and $\tau_{X_\ell}\varphi_{X_k} = (1 \otimes \varphi_{X_k})\tau_{X_\ell}$ if $k > \ell$. The following diagram is for $k < \ell$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{X_k}} & F(X_1, \cdots, \widehat{X_k}, \cdots, X_n) \\ {\scriptstyle \tau_{X_\ell}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_{X_\ell}} \\ F(X_1, \cdots, X_\ell) \otimes F(X_\ell, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{X_k}\otimes 1} & F(X_1, \cdots, \widehat{X_k}, \cdots, X_\ell) \otimes F(X_\ell, \cdots, X_n) \end{array}$$

(3)(Additivity of $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$.) We will assume that the complex $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ is *additive* in each variable, in the sense formulated below.

For each i, $1 \le i \le n$, and a sequence of objects $(X_1, \cdots, X_{i-1}, Y_i, Z_i, X_{i+1}, \cdots, X_n)$, we are given maps of complexes

$$s_i(Y_i, Z_i) : F(X_1, \cdots, X_{i-1}, Y_i, X_{i+1}, \cdots, X_n) \to F(X_1, \cdots, X_{i-1}, Y_i \oplus Z_i, X_{i+1}, \cdots, X_n)$$

and

$$t_i(Y_i, Z_i) : F(X_1, \cdots, Z_i, \cdots, X_n) \to F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, X_n)\,.$$

For $1 < i < n$, also given a map of complexes

$$\pi_i(Y_i, Z_i) : F(X_1, \cdots, X_{i-1}, Y_i) \otimes F(Z_i, X_{i+1}, \cdots, X_n) \to F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, X_n)\,.$$

The following conditions should be satisfied for the maps s_i, t_i and π_i; all the conditions are natural and rather obvious ones, except possibly the requirements that s_i (resp. π_i) be compatible with τ_i and φ_i, and that θ be a quasi-isomorphism.

(ADD-1) Functoriality and commutativity. The maps s_i, t_i are functorial: A sequence of morphisms

$$f : (X_1, \cdots, X_{i-1}, Y_i, Z_i, X_{i+1}, \cdots, X_n) \to (X'_1, \cdots, X'_{i-1}, Y'_i, Z'_i, X'_{i+1}, \cdots, X'_n)$$

induces a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{s_i(Y_i, Z_i)} & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, X_n) \\ {\scriptstyle f_*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_*} \\ F(X'_1, \cdots, Y'_i, \cdots, X'_n) & \xrightarrow{s_i(Y'_i, Z'_i)} & F(X'_1, \cdots, Y'_i \oplus Z'_i, \cdots, X'_n)\,. \end{array}$$

The same for the map $t_i(Y_i, Z_i)$.

Similarly the map $\pi_i(Y_i, Z_i)$ is functorial in $(X_1, \cdots, Y_i, Z_i, \cdots, X_n)$.

The maps s_i commute with each other: For $i < j$, the following square commutes (for $k \neq i, j$, the variable in the k-th spot is X_k):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i, \cdots, Y_j, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{s_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, Y_j, \cdots, X_n) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle s_j(Y_j,Z_j)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s_j(Y_j,Z_j)} \\ F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i, \cdots, Y_j \oplus Z_j, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{s_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, Y_j \oplus Z_j, \cdots, X_n)\,. \end{array}$$

Similarly, t_i and t_j commute.

The maps π_i commute with each other: For $1 < i < j < n$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i) \otimes F(Z_i, \cdots, Y_j) \otimes F(Z_j, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{\pi_i \otimes 1} & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, Y_j) \otimes F(Z_j, \cdots, X_n) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1 \otimes \pi_j} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_j} \\ F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i) \otimes F(Z_i, \cdots, Y_j \oplus Z_j, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, Y_j \oplus Z_j, \cdots, X_n)\,. \end{array}$$

For $i \neq j$, the maps s_i and π_j commute, namely the following square commutes(if $i < j$):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i, \cdots, Y_j) \otimes F(Z_j, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{s_i(Y_i,Z_i) \otimes 1} & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, Y_j) \otimes F(Z_j, \cdots, X_n) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_j} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_j} \\ F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i, \cdots, Y_j \oplus Z_j, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{s_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, Y_j \oplus Z_j, \cdots, X_n)\,. \end{array}$$

(ADD-2) Compatibility with the constraint maps. The map s_i, t_i are compatible with the maps induced by the constraint maps, namely the following diagrams all commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{s_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, X_n) \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma(Y_i,Z_i)} \\ F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{t_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1, \cdots, Z_i \oplus Y_i, \cdots, X_n)\,. \end{array}$$

Here the right vertical map is the isomorphism $\gamma(Y_i, Z_i)$ induces. Because of this, the properties (in this and subsequent subsections) for s_i will imply the analogous properites for t_i.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{s_i(Y_i, Z_i \oplus W_i)} & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus (Z_i \oplus W_i), \cdots, X_n) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle s_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha(Y_i,Z_i,W_i)} \\ F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{s_i(Y_i \oplus Z_i, W_i)} & F(X_1, \cdots, (Y_i \oplus Z_i) \oplus W_i, \cdots, X_n)\,, \end{array}$$

where the right vertical map is the isomorphism induced by $\alpha(Y_i, Z_i, W_i)$. The map

$$s_i(Y_i, O) : F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i, \cdots, X_n) \to F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus O, \cdots, X_n)$$

coincides with the isomorphism induced by $\rho : Y_i \to Y_i \oplus O$. This says that $s_i(Y_i, O)$ is the identity map, when $Y_i \oplus O$ is identified with Y_i. Similarly the map

$$t_i(O, Y_i) : F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i, \cdots, X_n) \to F(X_1, \cdots, O \oplus Y_i, \cdots, X_n)$$

coincides with the isomorphism induced by $\lambda : Y_i \to O \oplus Y_i$.

(ADD-3) Compatibility with τ. The maps s_i and τ_j commute. If $i = j$, it means the commutativity of the following square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i,\cdots,X_n) & \xrightarrow{s_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_i} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_i} \\ F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i)\otimes F(Y_i,\cdots,X_n) & \xrightarrow{s_i\otimes s_i} & F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i)\otimes F(Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n)\,, \end{array}$$

where the lower horizontal map is the tensor product of $s_i : F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i) \to F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i)$ and $s_i : F(Y_i,\cdots,X_n) \to F(Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n)$. For $i \neq j$ it means the commutativity of following square (if, say, $i < j$):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i,\cdots,X_n) & \xrightarrow{s_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_j} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_j} \\ F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i,\cdots,X_j)\otimes F(X_j,\cdots,X_n) & \xrightarrow{s_i\otimes 1} & F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_j)\otimes F(X_j,\cdots,X_n)\,. \end{array}$$

Similarly, t_i and τ_j commute.

The maps π_i and τ_i are compatible, namely the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i)\otimes F(Z_i,\cdots,X_n) & \xrightarrow{\pi_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n) \\ & {\scriptstyle s_i\otimes t_i}\searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_i} \\ & & F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i)\otimes F(Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n) \end{array}$$

where for example s_i is the map $F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i) \to F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i)$. If $1 < i < j < n$, the maps π_i and τ_j commute, i.e., the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i)\otimes F(Z_i,\cdots,X_n) & \xrightarrow{\pi_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1\otimes\tau_j} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_j} \\ F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i)\otimes F(Z_i,\cdots,X_j)\otimes F(X_j,\cdots,X_n) & \xrightarrow{\pi_i(Y_i,Z_i)\otimes 1} & F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_j)\otimes F(X_j,\cdots,X_n)\,. \end{array}$$

Similarly, if $1 < j < i < n$, the maps π_i and τ_j commute.

(ADD-4) Compatibility with φ. The maps s_i and φ_j commute (similarly, t_i and φ_j commute). Namely, if $i = j$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i,\cdots,X_n) & \xrightarrow{s_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n) \\ & {\scriptstyle \varphi_i}\searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_i} \\ & & F(X_1,\cdots,X_{i-1},X_{i+1},\cdots,X_n)\,. \end{array}$$

If $i \neq j$, it means the commutativity of the following square (assume $i < j$):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i,\cdots,X_n) & \xrightarrow{s_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_j} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_j} \\ F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i,\cdots,\widehat{X_j},\cdots,X_n) & \xrightarrow{s_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,\widehat{X_j},\cdots,X_n)\,. \end{array}$$

The same for t_i and φ_j.

The maps $\pi_i(Y_i, Z_i)$ and φ_j are compatible. It means that, if $i = j$, the composition of $\pi_i(Y_i, Z_i)$ and the map

$$\varphi_i : F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n) \to F(X_1,\cdots,X_{i-1},X_{i+1},\cdots,X_n)$$

is zero. If $i \neq j$, the maps $\pi_i(Y_i, Z_i)$ and φ_j commute, meaning the commutativity of the following digram (assume, say, $i < j$):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i) \otimes F(Z_i, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{\pi_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, X_n) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1\otimes\varphi_j} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_j} \\ F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i) \otimes F(Z_i, \cdots, \widehat{X_j}, \cdots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{\pi_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, \widehat{X_j}, \cdots, X_n)\,. \end{array}$$

(ADD-5) The map of additivity θ. If $1 < i < n$, we define the *map of additivity*

$$\begin{array}{rl} \theta_i(Y_i, Z_i): & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i, \cdots, X_n) \oplus F(X_1, \cdots, Z_i, \cdots, X_n) \\ & \oplus F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i) \otimes F(Z_i, \cdots, X_n) \\ & \oplus F(X_1, \cdots, Z_i) \otimes F(Y_i, \cdots, X_n) \\ \longrightarrow & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, X_n) \end{array} \qquad (1.2.a)$$

as the sum of the maps $s_i(Y_i, Z_i)$, $t_i(Y_i, Z_i)$, $\pi_i(Y_i, Z_i)$, and $\pi_i(Z_i, Y_i)$. (The last map is, to be precise, the composition of $\pi_i(Z_i, Y_i)$ with the isomorphism induced by $\gamma(Z_i, Y_i)$.) If $i = 1$ or n, let

$$\theta_i(Y_i, Z_i) : F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i, \cdots, X_n) \oplus F(X_1, \cdots, Z_i, \cdots, X_n) \to F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, X_n)$$

be the sum of s_i and t_i. In either case we *require* that θ be a quasi-isomorphism. We often refer to the last two terms in the source of θ in (1.2.a) as the *cross terms*.

Remarks to (3). • Note if $F(X, Y)$ is a complex, additive in each variable, then the tensor product $F(X_1, X_2) \otimes F(X_2, X_3) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(X_{n-1}, X_n)$ is additive in each variable in the above sense. So additivity here means "quadratic additivity", so to speak.

• It follows that the map $\theta_i(Y_i, Z_i)$ is compatible with τ_j and with φ_j. For example, the compatibility of θ_i and τ_i means the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{l} F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i, \cdots, X_n) \\ \oplus F(X_1, \cdots, Z_i, \cdots, X_n) \\ \oplus F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i) \otimes F(Z_i, \cdots, X_n) \\ \oplus F(X_1, \cdots, Z_i) \otimes F(Y_i, \cdots, X_n) \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\theta_i} & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, X_n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_i} \\ \begin{array}{l} F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i) \otimes F(Y_i, \cdots, X_n) \\ \oplus F(X_1, \cdots, Z_i) \otimes F(Z_i, \cdots, X_n) \\ \oplus F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i) \otimes F(Z_i, \cdots, X_n) \\ \oplus F(X_1, \cdots, Z_i) \otimes F(Y_i, \cdots, X_n) \end{array} & \longrightarrow & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i) \otimes F(Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, X_n) \end{array}$$

where the left vertical map is the diagonal sum of τ_i, τ_i, id, and id, and the lower horizontal map is the diagonal sum of $s\otimes s$, $t\otimes t$, $s\otimes t$ and $t\otimes s$. The compatibility of θ_i and φ_i means that the composition of θ_i and $\varphi_i : F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, X_n) \to F(X_1, \cdots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \cdots, X_n)$ coincides with the sum of φ_i on $F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i, \cdots, X_n)$, φ_i on $F(X_1, \cdots, Z_i, \cdots, X_n)$, and the zero maps on the cross terms.

(4)(Existence of the identity in the ring $H^0F(X, X)$.) This condition will be stated in (1.5).

For a finite ordered set I and a collection of objects $(X_i)_{i\in I}$ indexed by I, one can define the complex $F((X_i)_{i\in I})$, also denoted $F(X;I)$, $F(X^I)$ or $F(I)$ for short. Then the conditions (1)-(4) can be stated more naturally.

(1.3) **Example.** A DG category $\mathcal{C}$, in which the complexes $F(X,Y)^\bullet$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-free and bounded above, can be viewed as a weak quasi DG category.

We have the category $Z^0\mathcal{C}$, which has the same objects as $\mathcal{C}$, and where the homomorphism groups are given by

$$\mathrm{Hom}(X,Y) = Z^0F(X,Y)^\bullet\,,$$

namely the cocycles of degree 0 in the complex of homomorphisms $F(X,Y)^\bullet$. It is an additive category, so in particular it has the structure of a symmetric monoidal category with the direct sum and the zero object.

We take as $\mathcal{C}_0$ the subcategory of $Z^0\mathcal{C}$, with the same objects as $\mathcal{C}$ and with morphisms the invertible ones in $Z^0\mathcal{C}$.

For objects X, X', take $F(X,X')$ to be the complex $F(X,X')^\bullet$, and for a sequence of objects $X_1,\cdots,X_n$, let

$$F(X_1,\cdots,X_n) = F(X_1,X_2)\otimes F(X_2,X_3)\otimes\cdots\otimes F(X_{n-1},X_n)\,.$$

The complex $F(X,X')$ is contravariantly functorial in X (resp. covariantly functorial in X') on the category $Z^0\mathcal{C}$: if $f: Y\to X$ and $f': X'\to Y'$ are morphisms in $Z^0\mathcal{C}$, we have the induced map of complexes $F(X,X')\to F(Y,Y')$ given by $u\mapsto f\cdot u\cdot f'$. So $F(X,X')$ is covariantly functorial in both variables on $\mathcal{C}_0$ by the map $u\mapsto f^{-1}\cdot u\cdot f'$. It thus follows that $F(X_1,\cdots,X_n)$ is functorial on $\mathcal{C}_0$.

Let the map τ_k be the identity, and φ_ℓ be the composition in X_ℓ. One immediately verifies that the conditions for a weak quasi DG category are satisfied (the map θ is the identity).

(1.4) Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a weak quasi DG category. Assume given a sequence of objects $X_1,\cdots,X_n$. For a subset $I=\{\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_a\}\subset[1,n]$, write $F(I)$ in place of $F(X_{\ell_1},\cdots,X_{\ell_a})$ for abbreviation. Set $(1,n)=\{2,\cdots,n-1\}$. For a subset $S=\{i_1,\cdots,i_a\}\subset(1,n)$, let

$$F(X_1,\cdots,X_n\,\rceil S) := F(X_1,\cdots,X_{i_1})\otimes F(X_{i_1},\cdots,X_{i_2})\otimes\cdots\otimes F(X_{i_a},\cdots,X_n)$$

be the tensor product complex; in other words, if $I_1,\cdots,I_a$ is the segmentation corresponding to S, $F(X_1,\cdots,X_n\,\rceil S)=F(I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes F(I_a)$. It is an a-tuple complex where the ordered set of gradings $[1,a]$ is identified with the set $\{I_1,\cdots,I_a\}$. Note $F(X_1,\cdots,X_n\,\rceil\emptyset)=F(X_1,\cdots,X_n)$. We also write $F([1,n]\,\rceil S)$ for $F(X_1,\cdots,X_n\,\rceil S)$.

More generally, for a finite ordered set I, a sequence of objects indexed by I, $(X_i)_{i\in I}$, and a subset S of $\overset{\circ}{I} = I-\{\mathrm{in}(I),\mathrm{tm}(M)\}$, one defines the complex $F(I\,\rceil S)$ in a similar manner.

For subsets $S\subset S'$ of $\overset{\circ}{I}$ we will define a map of a-tuple complexes

$$\tau_{S\,S'}: F(I\,\rceil S)\to \mathrm{Tot}^f(F(I\,\rceil S')\,)\ .$$

Here, if $I'_1,\cdots,I'_{a'}$ is the segmentation of $[1,n]$ by S', there is a map $f:[1,a']\to[1,a]$ such that $I'_{f(i)}\subset I_i$ for each i, thus one has an a-tuple complex $\mathrm{Tot}^f(F(I\,\rceil S')\,)$. With this understood, we will just write $\tau_{S\,S'}: F(I\,\rceil S)\to F(I\,\rceil S')$ more often than not.

Let

$$\tau_S: F(I)\to F(I\,\rceil S)\quad\text{or}\quad F(I)\to \mathrm{Tot}\,F(I\,\rceil S)$$

be the composition of τ_{X_k}'s for $k \in S$ (if $S = \emptyset$, $\tau_S = id$). Define for $S \subset S'$ the map $\tau_{S\,S'}$ as follows. If $S = \emptyset$, let $\tau_{\emptyset\,S'} = \tau_{S'}$. In general let $I_1, \cdots, I_a$ be the segmentation of I corresponding to S, $S'_i = S' \cap \overset{\circ}{I}_i$, and $\tau_{S'_i} : F(I_i) \to F(I_i \restriction S'_i)$ be the map just defined. Then

$$\tau_{SS'} := \bigotimes_i \tau_{S'_i} : \bigotimes_i F(I_i) \to \bigotimes_i F(I_i \restriction S'_i) = F(I \restriction S) \ .$$

Note that $\tau_{S,S} = id$.

For $K = \{k_1, \cdots, k_b\} \subset (1, n)$ disjoint from S, we define a map

$$\varphi_K : F(X_1, \cdots, X_n \restriction S) \to F(X_1, \cdots, \widehat{X_{k_1}}, \cdots, \widehat{X_{k_b}}, \cdots, X_n \restriction S) \ .$$

More generally for $S \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$ and $K \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$ disjoint from S, we have $\varphi_K : F(I \restriction S) \to F(I - K \restriction S)$. If $S = \emptyset$, φ_K is the composition of φ_k for $k \in K$; in general, let $I_1, \cdots, I_a$ be the segmentation of $[1, n]$ corresponding to S, and

$$\varphi_K := \bigotimes_i \varphi_{K \cap I_i} : \bigotimes_i F(I_i) \to \bigotimes_i F(I_i - K) \ .$$

Note $\varphi_K = id$ if $K = \emptyset$.

The complexes $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n \restriction S)$ and the above maps τ, φ satisfy the following properties, extending (1)-(3) of the previous subsection.

(1)(Functoriality.) A sequence of morphisms $f = (f_1, \cdots, f_n) : (X_1, \cdots, X_n) \to (Y_1, \cdots, Y_n)$ induces an isomorphism of complexes

$$f_* : F(X_1, \cdots, X_n \restriction S) \to F(Y_1, \cdots, Y_n \restriction S)$$

given by the formula $f_*(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_a) = (f_* u_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (f_* u_a)$ for $u_i \in F(I_i)$. The f_* is covariantly functorial in f.

The map $\tau_{S,S'}$ is covariantly functorial for morphisms. Also φ_K is covariantly functorial.

(2)(Commutation identities.) $\tau_{S\,S'}$ is a quasi-isomorphism (as a tensor product of quasi-isomorphisms). For $S \subset S' \subset S''$, $\tau_{S'S''}\tau_{SS'} = \tau_{SS''}$.

If K is the disjoint union of K' and K'', $\varphi_K = \varphi_{K''}\varphi_{K'}$.

If K and S' are disjoint the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(I \restriction S) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_K} & F(I - K \restriction S) \\ {\scriptstyle \tau_{SS'}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_{SS'}} \\ F(I \restriction S') & \xrightarrow{\varphi_K} & F(I - K \restriction S') \ . \end{array}$$

(3)(Additivity of $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n \restriction S)$.) Assume that a variable X_i is the direct sum of two objects, $X_i = Y_i \oplus Z_i$. For $i \notin S$, let S_1, S_2 be the partition of S by i, namely $S_1 = S \cap (1, i)$ and $S_2 = S \cap (i, n)$. Then we have the map

$$s_i(Y_i, Z_i) : F(X_1, \cdots, X_{i-1}, Y_i, X_{i+1}, \cdots, X_n \restriction S) \to F(X_1, \cdots, X_{i-1}, Y_i \oplus Z_i, X_{i+1}, \cdots, X_n \restriction S)$$

defined as

$$\begin{array}{rl} 1 \otimes s_i(Y_i, Z_i) \otimes 1 : & F(X_1, \cdots, X_a \restriction S_1 - \{a\}) \otimes F(X_a, \cdots, Y_i, \cdots, X_b) \otimes F(X_b, \cdots, X_n \restriction S_2 - \{b\}) \\ \to & F(X_1, \cdots, X_{i-1}, Y_i \oplus Z_i, X_{i+1}, \cdots, X_n \restriction S) \end{array}$$

where a is the largest element of S_1, b is the smallest element of S_2, and $s_i(Y_i, Z_i)$ is the map in (1.2), (3). Similarly one has $t_i(Y_i, Z_i) : F(X_1, \cdots, Z_i, \cdots, X_n \rceil S) \to F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, X_n \rceil S)$. If $1 < i < n$, we define a map of complexes

$$\pi_i(Y_i, Z_i) : F(X_1, \cdots, X_{i-1}, Y_i \rceil S_1) \otimes F(Z_i, X_{i+1}, \cdots, X_n \rceil S_2) \to F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, X_n \rceil S)$$

as the map

$$\begin{array}{rl} 1 \otimes \pi_i \otimes 1 : & F(X_1, \cdots, X_a \rceil S_1 - \{a\}) \otimes F(X_a, \cdots, Y_i) \otimes F(Z_i, \cdots, X_b) \otimes F(X_b, \cdots, X_n \rceil S_2 - \{b\}) \\ \to & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, X_n \rceil S) \end{array}$$

where a, b are as before, and $\pi_i = \pi_i(Y_i, Z_i) : F(X_a, \cdots, Y_i) \otimes F(Z_i, \cdots, X_b) \to F(X_a, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, , X_b)$ is the map given in (1.2), (3).

These maps satisfy properties parallel to those for s_i, t_i, and $\pi_i(Y_i, Z_i)$ in (1.2), (3). In particular, if we define the map (in case $1 < i < n$)

$$\begin{array}{rl} \theta_i(Y_i, Z_i) : & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i, \cdots, X_n \rceil S) \oplus F(X_1, \cdots, Z_i, \cdots, X_n \rceil S) \\ & \oplus F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \rceil S_1) \otimes F(Z_i, \cdots, X_n \rceil S_2) \\ & \oplus F(X_1, \cdots, Z_i \rceil S_1) \otimes F(Y_i, \cdots, X_n \rceil S_2) \\ \longrightarrow & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, X_n \rceil S)\,, \end{array}$$

as the sum of the maps s_i, t_i, $\pi_i(Y_i, Z_i)$, and $\pi_i(Z_i, Y_i)$, then it is a quasi-isomorphism. If $i = 1$ or n, the map

$$\theta_i(Y_i, Z_i) : F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i, \cdots, X_n \rceil S) \oplus F(X_1, \cdots, Z_i, \cdots, X_n \rceil S) \to F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, X_n \rceil S)$$

defined as the sum of s_i and t_s, is a quasi-isomorphism.

(1.5) *Homotopy category.* A weak quasi DG category $\mathcal{C}$ is not a category in the usual sense, since the composition is not defined. Nevertheless, one has composition in a weak sense.

For three objects X, Y and Z, let

$$\psi_Y : F(X, Y) \otimes F(Y, Z) \to F(X, Z)$$

be the map in the derived category defined as the composition $\varphi_Y \circ (\tau_Y)^{-1}$ where the maps are as in

$$F(X, Y) \otimes F(Y, Z) \xleftarrow{\tau_Y} F(X, Y, Z) \xrightarrow{\varphi_Y} F(X, Z)\ .$$

The map ψ_Y is verified to be associative, namely the following commutes in the derived category:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X, Y) \otimes F(Y, Z) \otimes F(Z, W) & \xrightarrow{\psi_Y \otimes id} & F(X, Z) \otimes F(Z, W) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle id \otimes \psi_Z} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_Z} \\ F(X, Y) \otimes F(Y, W) & \xrightarrow{\psi_Y} & F(X, W)\ . \end{array}$$

To prove this identity, $\psi_Z(\psi_Y \otimes id) = \psi_Y(id \otimes \psi_Z)$, compose the quasi-isomorphism $(\tau_Y \otimes id)\tau_Z = (id \otimes \tau_Z)\tau_Y$ from right. Using the commutation identities one has

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \psi_Z(\psi_Y \otimes id)(\tau_Y \otimes id)\tau_Z & = & \psi_Z(\varphi_Y \otimes id)\tau_Z \\ & = & \psi_Z \tau_Z \varphi_Y \\ & = & \varphi_Z \varphi_Y\,; \end{array}$$

similarly, $\psi_Y(id \otimes \psi_Z)(id \otimes \tau_Z)\tau_Y = \varphi_Y\varphi_Z$. Since $\varphi_Z\varphi_Y = \varphi_Y\varphi_Z$, the assertion follows.

Let $H^0F(X,Y)$ be the 0-th cohomology of $F(X,Y)$. ψ_Y induces a map

$$\psi_Y : H^0F(X,Y) \otimes H^0F(Y,Z) \to H^0(\, F(X,Y) \otimes F(Y,Z)\,) \xrightarrow{H^0\psi_Y} H^0F(X,Z)\ ,$$

which is associative. In particular $H^0F(X,X)$ is a ring. We often write $u \cdot v$ for $\psi_Y(u \otimes v)$.

The last condition (4) for a weak quasi DG category is:

(4) For each X there is an element $1_X \in H^0F(X,X)$ such that $1_X \cdot u = u$ for any $u \in H^0F(X,Y)$ and $u \cdot 1_X = u$ for $u \in H^0F(Y,X)$. (Call such 1_X the *identity*.)

Thus one can associate to $\mathcal{C}$ an additive category, the associated *homotopy category*, denoted by $Ho(\mathcal{C})$. Objects of $Ho(\mathcal{C})$ are the same as the objects of $\mathcal{C}$, and $\mathrm{Hom}(X,Y) := H^0F(X,Y)$. Composition of arrows is the map induced from ψ_Y. The object O is the zero object, and the direct sum $X \oplus Y$ is the direct sum in the categorical sense. 1_X gives the identity $X \to X$.

Remark. More generally we have maps $\psi_Y : H^mF(X,Y) \otimes H^nF(Y,Z) \to H^{m+n}F(X,Z)$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, defined in a similar manner. The groups $H^mF(X,Y)$ and the composition maps for them play roles when we consider quasi DG categories in the next subsection.

(1.6) **Definition.** A *quasi DG category* $\mathcal{C}$ is a weak quasi DG category satisfying further conditions. Since it is a weak quasi DG category, it consists of data (i) - (iii) in (1.2),

(i) A symmetric monoidal groupoid $\mathcal{C}_0$,
(ii) Complexes $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ for sequence of objects,
(iii) Maps of complexes τ_k and φ_ℓ,

satisfying the conditions (1)-(4) there:

(1) Functoriality,
(2) Commutation identities,
(3) Additivity,
(4) Existence of the identity.

As discussed in (1.5), we have the complexes $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n \,\top S)$ and maps $\tau_{S\,S'}$, φ_K between them. For a quasi DG category, we impose two more conditions (5) and (6) below. When necessary we will also impose additional data (iv)-(v), satisfying (7) and (8).

(5)(Multiple complexes $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S)$.) For each sequence of objects $X_1, \cdots X_n$ ($n \geq 2$), and a subset S of $(1,n)$, we are given a ($\mathbb{Z}$-free, bounded above) a-tuple complex of abelian groups $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S)$, where $a = |S| + 1$, a *surjective* quasi-isomorphism of complexes

$$\sigma_S : F(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \to \mathrm{Tot}\, F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S)\,,$$

and an *injective* quasi-isomorphism of a-tuple complexes

$$\iota_S : F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S) \to F(X_1, \cdots, X_n \,\top S)$$

such that the map $\tau_S : F(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \to \mathrm{Tot}\, F(X_1, \cdots, X_n \,\top S)$ factors as

$$F(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \xrightarrow{\sigma_S} \mathrm{Tot}\, F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S) \xrightarrow{\iota_S} \mathrm{Tot}\, F(X_1, \cdots, X_n \,\top S)\,. \qquad (1.6.a)$$

Note if $S = \emptyset$, one has $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|\emptyset) = F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$.

As in (1.2), for a finite ordered set I, a sequence of objects $(X_i)_{i\in I}$, and a subset $S \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$, one has a complex $F(X; I|S)$, also written $F(I|S)$ or $F(X|S)$. If $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ is the segmentation

of I by S, we also use the notation $F(I_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}F(I_c)$ for $F(I|S)$. We will identify $F(I|S)$ with a subcomplex of $F(I\,\overline{\uparrow}S)$, and write an element of $F(I|S)$ as a sum of $u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes u_c$ with $u_i\in F(I_i)$.

By means of the factorization (1.6.a), we have induced maps $\sigma_{S\,S'}$, φ_K and $\iota_{S/T}$, with the following properties. For $S\subset S'$ one has a (unique) surjective quasi-isomorphism of multiple complexes

$$\sigma_{S\,S'}: F(X_1,\cdots,X_n|S)\to F(X_1,\cdots,X_n|S')\ ,$$

or $F(I|S)\to F(I|S')$ for short, such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(I|S) & \xrightarrow{\iota_S} & F(I\,\overline{\uparrow}S) \\ {\scriptstyle\sigma_{S\,S'}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\tau_{S\,S'}} \\ F(I|S') & \xrightarrow{\iota_{S'}} & F(I\,\overline{\uparrow}S') \end{array}$$

commutes (namely σ and τ are compatible via the maps ι_S). To be more precise, if $a=|S|+1$, the source of $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ is an a-tuple complex while the target is an $(|S'|+1)$-tuple complex; the latter can be viewed as an a-tuple complex as in (1.4), and $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ is a map of a-tuple complexes. To show how the map $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ is obtained, let $c:[1,a]\to\{1\}$ and $c'=c\circ f:[1,a']\to\{1\}$ be the maps to a one point set. The commutative diagram of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(I) & \xrightarrow{\tau_S} & \mathrm{Tot}^c\,F(I\,\overline{\uparrow}S) \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\tau_{S\,S'}} \\ F(I) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{S'}} & \mathrm{Tot}^c\,\mathrm{Tot}^f\,F(I\,\overline{\uparrow}S') \end{array}$$

induces another commutative diagram of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Tot}^c\,F(I|S) & \xrightarrow{\iota_S} & \mathrm{Tot}^c\,F(I\,\overline{\uparrow}S) \\ {\scriptstyle\sigma_{S\,S'}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\tau_{S\,S'}} \\ \mathrm{Tot}^c\,\mathrm{Tot}^f\,F(I|S') & \xrightarrow{\iota_{S'}} & \mathrm{Tot}^c\,\mathrm{Tot}^f\,F(I\,\overline{\uparrow}S') \end{array}$$

with a map of complexes $\sigma_{S\,S'}$. Since $\tau_{S\,S'}$ comes from a map of multiple complexes, and since ι_S and $\iota_{S'}$ are injections, one sees that $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ also comes from a map of multiple complexes $\sigma_{S\,S'}:F(I|S)\to\mathrm{Tot}^f\,F(I|S')$. This type of reasoning will repeatedly appear in this subsection.

Similarly one has a map of multiple complexes $\varphi_K:F(I|S)\to F(I-K|S)$ that is compatible with the map $\varphi_K:F(I\,\overline{\uparrow}S)\to F(I-K\,\overline{\uparrow}S)$ via the maps ι_S, namely φ_K makes the following square commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(I|S) & \xrightarrow{\iota_S} & F(I\,\overline{\uparrow}S) \\ {\scriptstyle\varphi_K}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\varphi_K} \\ F(I-K|S) & \xrightarrow{\iota_S} & F(I-K\,\overline{\uparrow}S)\ . \end{array}$$

For a subset $T\subset S$, if $I_1,\cdots,I_c$ is the segmentation corresponding to T, and $S_i=S\cap\overset{\circ}{I_i}$, there is an inclusion of multiple complexes

$$\iota_{S/T}:F(I|S)\hookrightarrow F(I_1|S_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes F(I_c|S_c)$$

such that the composition of $\iota_{S/T}$ and the tensor product of the inclusions $\iota_{S_i}:F(I_i|S_i)\hookrightarrow F(I_i\,\overline{\uparrow}S_i)$,

$$F(I_1|S_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes F(I_c|S_c)\hookrightarrow F(I_1\,\overline{\uparrow}S_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes F(I_c\,\overline{\uparrow}S_c)=F(I\,\overline{\uparrow}S)$$

coincides with ι_S. Notice that when $T = \emptyset$ or $T = S$, one has $\iota_{S/\emptyset} = id$ or $\iota_{S/S} = \iota_S$ respectively.

The above compatibility of ι_S with σ (resp. φ) generalizes to the compatibility of $\iota_{S/T}$ with σ (resp. φ). The map $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ is compatible with the inclusion $\iota_{S/T}$: If $T \subset S \subset S'$, let $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ be the segmentation of I by T, $S_i = S \cap \overset{\circ}{I}_i$ and $S'_i = S' \cap \overset{\circ}{I}_i$. Then the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(I|S) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{S/T}} & F(I_1|S_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_1|S_1) \\ {\scriptstyle \sigma_{SS'}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \otimes \sigma_{S_i\,S'_i}} \\ F(I|S') & \xrightarrow{\iota_{S'/T}} & F(I_1|S'_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_1|S'_1)\ . \end{array}$$

The map φ_K is compatible with $\iota_{S/T}$: With the same notation as above, and with $K_i = K \cap \overset{\circ}{I}_i$, the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(I|S) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{S/T}} & F(I_1|S_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_c|S_c) \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi_K}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_K} \\ F(I-K|S) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{S/T}} & F(I_1 - K_1|S_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_c - K_c|S_c)\ . \end{array}$$

In (1.7) we discuss further properties of the complexes $F(I|S)$ that are consequences of (5) and (1)-(3).

(6)(Acyclicity of σ) For disjoint subsets R, J of $\overset{\circ}{I}$ with $|J| \neq \emptyset$, the following sequence of complexes is exact, where the maps are alternating sums of σ, and S varies over subsets of J:

$$F(I|R) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \bigoplus_{\substack{|S|=1 \\ S\subset J}} F(I|R\cup S) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \bigoplus_{\substack{|S|=2 \\ S\subset J}} F(I|R\cup S) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \cdots \to F(I|R\cup J) \to 0\ . \qquad (1.6.b)$$

Remarks to (6). • Since each $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ is a quasi-isomorphism, the total complex of the double complex (1.6.b) is acyclic.

• From the remark above, the map

$$\sigma : F(I|R) \to T := \mathrm{Tot}[\bigoplus_{\substack{|S|=1 \\ S\subset J}} F(I|R\cup S) \to \bigoplus_{\substack{|S|=2 \\ S\subset J}} F(I|R\cup S) \to \cdots \to F(I|R\cup J) \to 0]$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. This map factors as

$$F(I|R) \to \mathrm{Ker}[\bigoplus_{\substack{|S|=1 \\ S\subset J}} F(I|R\cup S) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \bigoplus_{\substack{|S|=2 \\ S\subset J}} F(I|R\cup S)] \hookrightarrow T\,;$$

the requirement implies that the first map is surjective, and the first and the second maps are quasi-isomorphisms.

• If $|J| = 1$, the assumption says that $\sigma_{R\,R'} : F(I|R) \to F(I|R')$ is a surjective map. This was already required in (iii).

• One verifies by induction on $\sharp(R)$ that the exactness of (1.6.b) in case $R = \emptyset$ implies the exactness for any R.

This concludes the definition of a quasi DG category. For the purpose of constructing a related quasi DG category $\mathcal{C}^\Delta$ in subsequent sections, we need additional structure (iv) and (v) below.

(iv) *Diagonal elements and diagonal extension.* We are given, for each object X and a constant sequence of objects $i \mapsto X_i = X$ on a finite ordered set I with $|I| \geq 2$, a distinguished element, called the *diagonal element*,

$$\mathbf{\Delta}_X(I) \in F(X; I) = F(X, \cdots, X)$$

of degree zero and coboundary zero. In particular for $|I| = 2$ we write $\Delta_X = \mathbf{\Delta}_X(I) \in F(X, X)$. In the sequel we will often drop X from $F(X; I)$.

Let $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ be a sequence of objects on a finite ordered set I with $|I| \geq 2$, and $\lambda : J \to I$ a surjective map of finite ordered sets. Then by $\lambda^* X$ we mean the sequence of objects $j \mapsto X_{\lambda(j)}$ on J. Assume given a map of complexes, called the *diagonal extension*,

$$\lambda^* : F(X; I) \to F(\lambda^* X; J)$$

such that, $\lambda^* = id$ if $\lambda = id$, and such that if $\lambda' : J' \to J$ is another surjective map, then $\lambda'^* \lambda^* = (\lambda\lambda')^*$. When there is no confusion, we write $diag(I, J)$ or $diag$ for λ^*. One requires:

(7)(Compatibility of the diagonal with the maps σ and φ) The diagonal elements are assumed compatible with diagonal extension: if $\lambda : J \to I$ is a surjective map of finite ordered sets, then $\lambda^*(\mathbf{\Delta}_X(I)) = \mathbf{\Delta}_X(J)$. In particular, if $\lambda : I \to [1, 2]$ is any surjective map, one has $\mathbf{\Delta}_X(I) = \lambda^*(\Delta_X)$.

The diagonal elements are compatible with the maps σ and φ: If $S \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$, and $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ is the corresponding segmentation, one has

$$\tau_S(\mathbf{\Delta}_X(I)\,) = \mathbf{\Delta}_X(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{\Delta}_X(I_c)$$

in $F(I \top S) = F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_c)$. For $K \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$,

$$\varphi_K(\mathbf{\Delta}_X(I)\,) = \mathbf{\Delta}_X(I - K)$$

in $F(I - K)$. (These indeed follow from the compatibility of the diagonal extension and σ, φ, stated below.)

The diagonal extension is compatible with the maps σ and φ. For an element $\ell \in J$ such that $\sharp\lambda^{-1}\{\lambda(\ell)\} = 1$, the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X; I) & \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} & F(\lambda^* X; J) \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi_{\lambda(\ell)}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_\ell} \\ F(X; I - \{\lambda(\ell)\}) & \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} & F(\lambda^* X; J - \{\ell\}) \end{array}$$

where the lower horizontal map is induced by the surjection $\lambda : J - \{\ell\} \to I - \{\lambda(\ell)\}$. For $\ell \in J$ with $\sharp\lambda^{-1}\{\lambda(\ell)\} > 1$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X; I) & \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} & F(\lambda^* X; J) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_\ell} \\ & & F(\lambda^* X; J - \{\ell\}) \end{array}$$

commutes, where the oblique arrow $F(X; I) \to F(\lambda^* X; J - \{\ell\})$ is induced by the surjection $\lambda : J - \{\ell\} \to I$.

For $\ell \in \overset{\circ}{J}$ not over $\mathrm{in}(I)$ or $\mathrm{tm}(I)$, let J', J'' be the segmentation of J by ℓ, and I', I'' be the segmentation of I by $\lambda(\ell)$, and $\lambda' : J' \to I'$, $\lambda'' : J'' \to I''$ be the surjections obtained by restricting λ. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X;I) & \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} & F(\lambda^* X; J) \\ {\scriptstyle \tau_{\lambda(\ell)}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_\ell} \\ F(X;I') \otimes F(X;I'') & \xrightarrow{\lambda'^* \otimes \lambda''^*} & F(\lambda^* X; J') \otimes F(\lambda^* X; J'') \ . \end{array}$$

Here the lower horizontal map is the tensor product of the maps λ'^* and λ''^*. For $\ell \in \overset{\circ}{J}$ over $\mathrm{in}(I)$ or $\mathrm{tm}(I)$, say $I = [1, n]$ and $\lambda(\ell) = n$, then with J', J'' and I', I'' as above, one has the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X;I) & \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} & F(\lambda^* X; J) \\ {\scriptstyle \lambda'^*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_\ell} \\ F(\lambda^* X; J') & \longrightarrow & F(\lambda^* X; J') \otimes F(X_n, \cdots, X_n) \ . \end{array}$$

Here the lower horizontal map is induced by $\lambda' : J' \to I$, and the lower vertical map is $u \mapsto u \otimes \mathbf{\Delta}_{X_n}(J'')$ with $\mathbf{\Delta}_{X_n}(J'') \in F(X_n; J'') = F(X_n, \cdots, X_n)$. Similarly in case $\lambda(\ell) = \mathrm{in}(I)$.

Remark to (iv). Given a surjection $\lambda : J \to I$ as above, the finite ordered set J is determined by I and the function $m : I \ni i \mapsto m_i = \sharp(\lambda^{-1}(i)) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. If $I = [1, n]$, J is isomorphic to the ordered set

$$\{1_1, \cdots, 1_{m_1}, 2_1, \cdots, 2_{m_2}, \cdots, n_1, \cdots, n_{m_n}\}$$

(i is repeated m_i times). Then one has $F(X;I) = F(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$, and

$$F(\lambda^* X; J) = F(\overbrace{X_1, \cdots, X_1}^{m_1 \text{ times}}, \overbrace{X_2, \cdots, X_2}^{m_2 \text{ times}}, \cdots, \overbrace{X_n, \cdots, X_n}^{m_n \text{ times}}) \, .$$

In the rest of this paper, instead of J we will usually give I and the multiplicities $\{m_i\}$.

(v) *The set of generators, notion of proper intersection, and distinguished subcomplexes with respect to constraints.*

We impose that the complex $F(X;I)$ be free with a given basis set, and that there is given a notion of proper intersection for elements of $F(X;I)$.

For a sequence X on I, the complex $F(I) = F(X;I)$ is assumed degree-wise $\mathbb{Z}$-free on a given set of generators $\mathcal{S}_F(I) = \mathcal{S}_F(X;I)$. More precisely $\mathcal{S}_F(I) = \amalg_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{S}_F(I)^p$, where $\mathcal{S}_F(I)^p$ generates $F(I)^p$. A non-zero element $u \in F(I)$ can be written $\sum c_\nu \alpha_\nu$, with c_ν non-zero integers and $\alpha_\nu \in \mathcal{S}_F(I)$; then we say that α_ν appears in the basis expansion of u.

Let I be a finite ordered set, and let $\{I_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be a collection of subintervals (of cardinality ≥ 2) of I, indexed by a set A. We say $\{I_\alpha\}$ is *almost disjoint* if for α, β distinct, $I_\alpha \cap I_\beta$ consists of at most one element. Then there is a total ordering $<$ on the set A given by $\alpha < \beta$ if and only if $\mathrm{in}(I_\alpha) < \mathrm{in}(I_\beta)$. When $\alpha < \beta$, we have either I_α and I_β disjoint, or $\mathrm{tm}(I_\alpha) = \mathrm{in}(I_\beta)$.

Let I be a finite ordered set, and $I_1, \cdots, I_r$ be almost disjoint subintervals of I. Let X be a sequence of objects on I. We assume given a subset $\mathbb{P}(\{I_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq r})$ of the product set

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} \mathcal{S}_F(I_i) \, ,$$

satisfying the conditions (8) below. It will be useful to introduce a terminology. For $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}_F(I_i)$, a collection of elements indexed by $\{1, \cdots, r\}$, we shall say $\{\alpha_i\}$ is *properly intersecting* when it is in $\mathbb{P}$. (The notation $\{\alpha_i\}$ is being used for the indexed set $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in[1,r]}$, and it should not be confused with a set with elements α_i.) The terminology comes from examples related to algebraic cycles, where the condition means algebraic cycles intersecting properly.

For the basis set $\mathcal{S}_F(I)$ and the notion of proper intersection, the following condition is to be satisfied.

(8)(Notion of proper intersection and distinguished subcomplexes) For the condition of proper intersection we require three conditions:

(PI-1) If $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in[1,r]}$ is properly intersecting, for any subset J of $[1, r]$, $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in J}$ is properly intersecting.

(PI-2) Assume that $[1, r] = J \amalg J'$, and that $\cup_{j\in J} I_j$ and $\cup_{j\in J'} I_j$ are disjoint. Then $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in[1,r]}$ is properly intersecting if and only if $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in J}$ and $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in J'}$ are both properly intersecting.

(PI-3) Assume $\{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r\}$ is properly intersecting, $i \in [1, r]$, and $\beta_\nu \in \mathcal{S}_F(I_i)$ appears in the basis expansion of $\partial\alpha_i$ (one has $\partial\alpha_i = \sum c_{i\nu}\beta_\nu$ with $\beta_\nu \in \mathcal{S}_F(I_i)$ and $c_{i\nu} \neq 0$.) Then the set

$$\{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{i-1}, \beta_\nu, \alpha_{i+1}, \cdots, \alpha_r\}$$

is properly intersecting.

The notion of proper intersection can be naturally extended to elements in the complexes $F(I)$: for a collection of elements $u_i \in F(I_i)$ indexed by $i \in [1, r]$, we define $\{u_i\}$ to be properly intersecting if, for any choice of elements $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}_F(I_i)$ appearing in the basis expansion of u_i, the indexed set $\{\alpha_i\}$ is properly intersecting. (We ignore those i with $u_i = 0$.)

Next we explain conditions of constraint and distinguished subcomplexes. Let I be a finite ordered set, $I_1, \cdots, I_r$ be almost disjoint sub-intervals such that $\mathrm{in}(I_1) < \cdots < \mathrm{in}(I_r)$ and $\cup I_i = I$; equivalently, $\mathrm{in}(I_1) = \mathrm{in}(I)$, $\mathrm{tm}(I_i) = \mathrm{in}(I_{i+1})$ or $\mathrm{tm}(I_i) + 1 = \mathrm{in}(I_{i+1})$ for $1 \leq i < r$, and $\mathrm{tm}(I_r) = \mathrm{tm}(I)$. Assume given a sequence of objects $(X_i)_{i\in I}$ on I. We shall consider a class of subcomplexes of $F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_r)$ specified as follows.

By a condition of *constraint* we mean a set of data

$$\mathcal{C} = (I \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}; X \text{ on } \mathbb{I}; P; \{J_j\}_{j=1,\cdots,s}; \{f_j \in F(J_j)\}\,) \tag{1.6.c}$$

where

(a) $I \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}$ is an inclusion into another finite ordered set $\mathbb{I}$ such that the image of each I_a is a sub-interval,

(b) X is an extension of X to $\mathbb{I}$, still denoted by X,

(c) $P \subset [1, r]$ is a (possibly empty) subset,

(d) $J_1, \cdots, J_s \subset \mathbb{I}$ is a (possibly empty) set of sub-intervals of $\mathbb{I}$ such that the indexed family $\{I_i, J_j\}_{i,j}$ is almost disjoint (namely, $I_i \cap J_j$ and $J_j \cap J_{j'}$ for $j \neq j'$ consist of at most one element), and

(e) $f_j \in F(J_j)$, $j = 1, \cdots, s$, is a set of elements such that $\{f_j\}$ is properly intersecting.

Given such consider the subcomplex of $F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_r)$ generated by $\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_r$, $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}_F(I_i)$, such that the indexed set

$$\{\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in P}, \{f_j\}_{1\leq j\leq s}\}$$

is properly intersecting (it is a subcomplex by the last property of proper intersection). If P is empty, there is no condition.

This subcomplex is denoted

$$[F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_r)]_{\mathcal{C}}$$

specifying the condition of constraint, or more simply $[F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_r)]_{\mathbb{I};f}$ or $[F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_r)]_f$. A subcomplex of $F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_r)$ obtained as a finite intersection of such subcomplexes is called a *distinguished subcomplex*. Thus a distinguished subcomplex is one of the form

$$[F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_r)]' = \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} [F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_r)]_{\mathcal{C}_i}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_i$, $i = 1, \cdots, m$ is a finite collection of conditions of constraint; for distinct i's, the inclusions $I \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}_i$ are not assumed related.

We require two conditions:

(DS-1) The inclusion of any distinguished subcomplex $[F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_r)]' \hookrightarrow F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_r)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

(DS-2) As a special case, assume $I_1, \cdots, I_r$ is a segmentation of I, namely when $\mathrm{in}(I_1) = \mathrm{in}(I)$, $\mathrm{tm}(I_i) = \mathrm{in}(I_{i+1})$ for $1 \leq i < r$, and $\mathrm{tm}(I_r) = \mathrm{tm}(I)$, the subcomplex of $F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_r)$ generated by $\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_r$ with $\{\alpha_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ properly intersecting is a distinguished subcomplex; it corresponds to the condition of constraint $\mathcal{C} = (I = \mathbb{I}, P = [1, r])$ with no $\{f_j\}$. We require that it coincides with $F(I|S)$ where $S \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$ is the subset corresponding to the segmentation.

The second condition can be phrased in concrete terms as follows. Recall that $F(I_i) = \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{S}_i$, the free abelian group on $\mathcal{S}_i := \mathcal{S}_F(I_i)$. We have

$$F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_r) = \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{S}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_r]\,,$$

and $F(I|S) = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{P}(\{I_i\}]$, with $\mathbb{P}(\{I_i\}) \subset \mathcal{S}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_r$. An element $u \in F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_r)$ has a basis expansion

$$u = \sum c_{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r} \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_r$$

with $(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r) \in \mathcal{S}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_r$ and $c_{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r}$ non-zero integers. We then say that $\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_r$ appears in the basis expansion of u. So $u \in F(I|S)$ if and only if for each $\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_r$ appearing in its basis expansion, $\{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r\}$ is properly intersecting. In particular, for elements for $u_i \in F(I_i)$, the index set $\{u_1, \cdots, u_r\}$ is properly intersecting if and only if $u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r \in F(I|S)$.

In the definition of a constraint, there appears the set P. If we take as P a smaller set, while keeping the other data, the corresponding distinguished subcomplex becomes larger, by condition (PI-1).

We also note that tensor product of distinguished subcomplexes is again a distinguished subcomplex. To explain it let $[F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_r)]'$ be a distinguished subcomplex as above. Let I' be another finite ordered set, $I'_1, \cdots, I'_{r'}$ be almost disjoint set of sub-intervals such that $\mathrm{in}(I'_1) < \cdots < \mathrm{in}(I'_{r'})$ and $\cup I'_i = I'$; let X' be a sequence of objects on I'. Assume given a distinguished subcomplex $[F(I'_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I'_{r'})]'$. Then the disjoint union $I \amalg I'$ is a finite totally ordered set (with $x < x'$ if $x \in I$ and $x' \in I'$), and $I_1, \cdots, I_r, I'_1, \cdots, I'_{r'}$ is an almost disjoint set of sub-intervals with union $I \amalg I'$. Under these hypotheses, the tensor product

$$[F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_r)]' \otimes [F(I'_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I'_{r'})]'$$

is a distinguished subcomplex of $F(I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes F(I_r)\otimes F(I'_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes F(I'_{r'})$. (Proof uses (PI-2)).

Remark to (v). When we assume the structure (iv), the condition (4) is redundant. From (7) and (8) it follows that $[\Delta_X]\in H^0F(X,X)$ is the identity in the sense of (1.5). Indeed for $u\in H^0F(X,Y)$ take its representative $\underline{u}\in F(X,Y)^0$, then take its diagonal extension $diag(\underline{u})\in F(X,X,Y)^0$. Then one has $\tau_2(diag(\underline{u}))=\Delta_X\otimes\underline{u}$ and $\varphi_2(diag(\underline{u})\,)=\underline{u}$. The same argument shows the following, which is stronger than (4):

(4)' For each $u\in H^nF(X,Y)$, $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, one has $1_X\cdot u=u$. Similarly for $u\in H^nF(Y,X)$, $u\cdot 1_X=u$.

(1.7) *Remarks to (1.6).* The reader may skip this subsection until it is needed in (2.6),(2.7), (2.10), and (3.7).

From the condition (5), we easily deduce that the properties (1)-(3) for $F(X_1,\cdots,X_n)$ "descend" to the corresponding properties (1)'-(3)' for $F(X_1,\cdots,X_n|S)$, as we list below.

(1.7.1) **Properties of $F(I|S)$.** (1)' (Functoriality.) The complex $F(X_1,\cdots,X_n|S)$ is covariantly functorial for morphisms: for a sequence of morphisms $f=(f_1,\cdots,f_n):(X_1,\cdots,X_n)\to(Y_1,\cdots,Y_n)$, there corresponds an isomorphism of multiple complexes

$$f_*:F(X_1,\cdots,X_n|S)\to F(Y_1,\cdots,Y_n|S)$$

that is covariantly functorial in f. If $X_i=O$ for some i, then $F(X_1,\cdots,X_n|S)$ equals zero.

The inclusion $\iota_{S/T}$ is covariantly functorial: For a sequence of morphisms f, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X;I|S) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{S/T}} & F(X;I_1|S_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes F(X;I_c|S_c) \\ {\scriptstyle f_*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_*} \\ F(Y;I|S) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{S/T}} & F(Y;I_1|S_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes F(Y;I_c|S_c) \end{array}$$

commutes.

The map $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ is covariantly functorial: For a morphism f, the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X;I|S) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{S\,S'}} & F(X;I|S') \\ {\scriptstyle f_*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_*} \\ F(Y,I|S) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{S\,S'}} & F(Y,I|S')\,, \end{array}$$

namely $f_*\sigma_{S\,S'}=\sigma_{S\,S'}f_*$.

The map φ_K is covariantly functorial: For a morphism f the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X;I|S) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_K} & F(X;I-K|S) \\ {\scriptstyle f_*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_*} \\ F(Y;I|S) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_K} & F(Y;I-K|S) \end{array}$$

commutes, namely $f_*\varphi_K=\varphi_K f_*$.

(2)' (Commutation identities.) The maps $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ and φ_K are compatible with each other, as follows.

We have that $\sigma_{S\,S}=id$ and, for $S\subset S'\subset S''$, $\sigma_{S\,S''}=\sigma_{S'\,S''}\sigma_{S\,S'}$. If $K=K'\amalg K''$ then $\varphi_K=\varphi_{K''}\varphi_{K'}:F(I|S)\to F(I-K|S)$.

The maps σ and φ commute: For K and S' disjoint, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(I|S) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_K} & F(I-K|S) \\ {\scriptstyle \sigma_{SS'}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_{SS'}} \\ F(I|S') & \xrightarrow{\varphi_K} & F(I-K|S')\ . \end{array}$$

(3)' (Additivity of $F(X_1,\cdots,X_n|S)$.) For each i, $1\le i\le n$, and a sequence of objects $(X_1,\cdots,X_{i-1},Y_i,Z_i,X_{i+1},\cdots,X_n)$, one has maps of complexes

$$s_i(Y_i,Z_i): F(X_1,\cdots,X_{i-1},Y_i,X_{i+1},\cdots,X_n|S)\to F(X_1,\cdots,X_{i-1},Y_i\oplus Z_i,X_{i+1},\cdots,X_n|S)$$

and

$$t_i(Y_i,Z_i): F(X_1,\cdots,Z_i,\cdots,X_n|S)\to F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n|S)\,.$$

If $1<i<n$ and $i\notin S$, one also has a map of complexes

$$\pi_i(Y_i,Z_i): F(X_1,\cdots,X_{i-1},Y_i|S_1)\otimes F(Z_i,X_{i+1},\cdots,X_n|S_2)\to F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n|S)$$

where $S_1=(1,i)\cap S$ and $S_2=(i,n)\cap S$. These maps satisfy conditions parallel to those in (3); they are obtained in a obvious manner by replacing $F(X_1,\cdots,X_n)$ with $F(X_1,\cdots,X_n|S)$. Among them we will mention below only less trivial ones.

- Compatibility with σ. The maps s_i and $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ commute, namely the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i,\cdots,X_n|S) & \xrightarrow{s_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n|S) \\ {\scriptstyle \sigma_{S\,S'}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_{S\,S'}} \\ F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i,\cdots,X_n|S') & \xrightarrow{s_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n|S') \end{array}$$

commutes. The maps π_i and $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ commute, namely if $S\subset S'$ and $i\notin S'$, the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i|S_1)\otimes F(Z_i,\cdots,X_n|S_2) & \xrightarrow{\pi_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n|S) \\ {\scriptstyle \sigma_{S_1\,S_1'}\otimes\sigma_{S_2\,S_2'}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_{S\,S'}} \\ F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i|S_1')\otimes F(Z_i,\cdots,X_n|S_2') & \xrightarrow{\pi_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n|S')\,. \end{array}$$

If $i\in S$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i|S_1)\otimes F(Z_i,\cdots,X_n|S_2) & \xrightarrow{\pi_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n|S) \\ & {\scriptstyle s\otimes t}\searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_{S/\{i\}}} \\ & & F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i|S_1)\otimes F(Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n|S_2)\,. \end{array}$$

- Compatibility with φ. The maps s_i and φ_i commute, which means the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i,\cdots,X_n|S) & \xrightarrow{s_i(Y_i,Z_i)} & F(X_1,\cdots,Y_i\oplus Z_i,\cdots,X_n|S) \\ & {\scriptstyle \varphi_i}\searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_i} \\ & & F(X_1,\cdots,X_{i-1},X_{i+1},\cdots,X_n|S)\,. \end{array}$$

- The map of additivity θ. The map

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_i(Y_i, Z_i): \quad & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i, \cdots, X_n|S) \oplus F(X_1, \cdots, Z_i, \cdots, X_n|S) \\ & \oplus F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i|S_1) \otimes F(Z_i, \cdots, X_n|S_2) \\ & \oplus F(X_1, \cdots, Z_i|S_1) \otimes F(Y_i, \cdots, X_n|S_2) \\ \longrightarrow \quad & F(X_1, \cdots, Y_i \oplus Z_i, \cdots, X_n|S)\,,\end{aligned}$$

defined as the sum of the maps s_i, t_i, $\pi_i(Y_i, Z_i)$, and $\pi_i(Z_i, Y_i)$ (if $i = 1$ or n, there are no "cross terms"), is a quasi-isomorphism.

We note that the map θ is compatible with σ and φ.

(1.7.2) **Functorial properties of proper intersection.** Assuming (v) and (8), we state functorial properties of the notion of proper intersection. These are translations of functorial properties of the complex $F(I|S)$ discussed above.

(1) Let X, Y be sequences of objects indexed by $I = [1, n]$, and $f : X \to Y$ be a sequence of isomorphisms of objects. Let $I_1, \cdots, I_r$ be a segmentation of I. If $\{u_i \in F(X; I_i)\}_{i=1,\cdots,r}$ is properly intersecting, then $\{f_*(u_i) \in F(Y; I_i)\}_{i=1,\cdots,r}$ is also properly intersecting. (Indeed one has $u := u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r \in F(X|S)$ if S corresponds to the segmentation. Then $f_*(u) = (f_*u_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (f_*u_r) \in F(Y|S)$, showing the assertion.)

(2) Assume $X = Y_i \oplus Z_i$ for each $i \in [1, n]$, and $s : F(Y; I) \to F(X; I)$ be the corresponding map for $I \subset [1, n]$. If $\{u_i \in F(Y; I_i)\}_{i=1,\cdots,r}$ is properly intersecting, then $\{s(u_i) \in F(X; I_i)\}_{i=1,\cdots,r}$ is also properly intersecting. (The verification is similar to that for (1), using $s(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r) = s(u_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes s(u_r)$.)

(3) Let X be a sequence of objects on $I = [1, n]$, $I_1, \cdots, I_r$ a segmentation corresponding to S, and $K \subset (1, n)$ be disjoint from S. If $\{u_i \in F(X; I_i)\}_{i=1,\cdots,r}$ is properly intersecting, then letting $K_i = K \cap I_i$, the indexed set $\{\varphi_{K_i}(u_i) \in F(X : I_i - K)\}_{i=1,\cdots,r}$ is properly intersecting.

(4) To state that the notion of proper intersection is compatible with σ, we need some preliminaries. With X and $I_1, \cdots, I_r$ as above, a set of elements $v_j \in F(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(I_r)$ (for $j = 1, \cdots, m$) is said to be *basis-disjoint* if each basis $\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_r$ appears in at most one of v_j's. Then one has that $\sum_{j=1,\cdots,m} v_j \in F(I|S)$ if and only if each $v_j \in F(I|S)$.

Assume now given elements $u_i \in F(I_i)$ such that $\{u_1, \cdots, u_r\}$ is properly intersecting, an integer j with $1 \leq j \leq r$, and $k \in \overset{\circ}{I}_j$. Express

$$\sigma_k(u_j) = \sum_\lambda u'_\lambda \otimes u''_\lambda$$

where the set $\{u'_\lambda \otimes u''_\lambda\}$ indexed by λ is basis-disjoint. Then for each λ, the set

$$\{u_1, \cdots, u_{j-1}, u'_\lambda, u''_\lambda, u_{j+1}, \cdots, u_r\}$$

is properly intersecting. (For the proof, apply σ_k to the element $u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r \in F(I|S)$ to get

$$\sum_\lambda u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{j-1} \otimes u'_\lambda \otimes u''_\lambda \otimes \cdots u_r \in F(I|S \cup \{k\})\,.$$

Then the elements $\{u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u'_\lambda \otimes u''_\lambda \otimes \cdots u_r\}_\lambda$ are basis-disjoint, so we have $u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u'_\lambda \otimes u''_\lambda \otimes \cdots u_r \in F(I|S)$.)

(5) Let $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ be a sequence of objects, with a given decomposition $X_e = Y_e \oplus Z_e$ for an element e with $1 < e < n$. For $r, s \geq 1$, assume given elements

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 \in &\quad F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_{a-1}, X_a)\,, \\ u_2 \in &\quad F(X_a, X_{a+1}, \cdots, X_{b-1}, X_b)\,, \\ &\cdots \\ u_r \in &\quad F(X_d, X_{d+1}, \cdots, X_{e-1}, Y_e)\,, \\ u_{r+1} \in &\quad F(Z_e, X_{e+1}, \cdots, X_{f-1}, X_f)\,, \\ u_{r+2} \in &\quad F(X_f, X_{f+1}, \cdots, X_{g-1}, X_g)\,, \\ &\cdots \\ u_{r+s} \in &\quad F(X_i, X_{i+1}, \cdots, X_{n-1}, X_n)\,. \end{aligned}$$

(the variables are $X_1, \cdots, X_n$, except Y_e or Z_e in the e-th spot) such that $\{u_1, \cdots, u_r\}$ and $\{u_{r+1}, \cdots, u_{r+s}\}$ are both properly intersecting. Then the indexed set

$$\{u_1, \cdots, u_{r-1}, s(u_r), t(u_{r+1}), u_{r+2}, \cdots, u_{r+s}\}\,,$$

is properly intersecting, where $s(u_r)$ is the image by the map $s : F(X_d, X_{d+1}, \cdots, X_{e-1}, Y_e) \to F(X_d, X_{d+1}, \cdots, X_{e-1}, X_e)$, and similarly for $t(u_{r+1}) \in F(X_e, X_{e+1}, \cdots, X_{f-1}, X_f)$. Also the indexed set

$$\{u_1, \cdots, u_{r-1}, \pi_e(u_r \otimes u_{r+1}), u_{r+2}, \cdots, u_{r+s}\}$$

is properly intersecting, where $\pi_e(u_r \otimes u_{r+1})$ is the image of $u_r \otimes u_{r+1}$ by the map

$$\pi_e : F(X_d, \cdots, Y_e) \otimes F(Z_e, \cdots, X_f) \to F(X_d, X_{d+1}, \cdots, X_{e-1}, X_e, X_{e+1}, \cdots, X_{f-1}, X_f)\,.$$

(This is shown, if $r = s = 2$, say, as follows. One has $u = u_1 \otimes u_2 \in F(X_1, \cdots, X_a, \cdots, Y_e|\{a\}))$ and $u' = u_3 \otimes u_4 \in F(Z_e, \cdots, X_f, \cdots, X_n|\{f\})$. Let $v = \pi_e(u \otimes u') \in F(X_1, \cdots, X_e, \cdots, X_n|\{a, f\})$. Then we have

$$\pi_{\{a,e,f\}}(v) = u_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes u_3 \otimes u_4$$

and

$$\pi_{\{a,f\}}(v) = u_1 \otimes \pi_e(u_2 \otimes u_3) \otimes u_4$$

showing that both $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ and $\{u_1, \pi_e(u_2 \otimes u_3), u_4\}$ are properly intersecting.)

(1.8) **Example.** A DG category can be viewed as a quasi DG category. It is a weak DG category, as already discussed in (1.4).

The condition (5) is satisfied with $F(X_1, \cdots, X_n) = F(X_1, \cdots, X_n|S) = F(X_1, \cdots, X_n \rceil S)$ and $\sigma_S = \iota_S = id$. The condition (6) is also verified.

Indeed we also have the additional structure (iv) and (v). We define $\Delta_X = id_X \in F(X, X)$ and

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta}_X(I) = \Delta_X \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta_X \in F(X; I)\,;$$

the diagonal extension λ^* is defined in the evident manner. Then the condition (7) is satisfied. As for the condition of proper intersection, we declare *any* indexed set $\{\alpha_i\}$ in $F(I_i)$ be properly intersecting.

(1.9) *The quasi DG category Symb(S).* Let S be a quasi-projective variety. The category of smooth varieties X equipped with projective maps to S will be denoted by $(\mathrm{Smooth}/k\,, \mathrm{Proj}/S)$. A *symbol* over S is an object the form

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha\in A}(X_\alpha/S, r_\alpha)$$

where X_α is a collection of objects in $(\mathrm{Smooth}/k\,, \mathrm{Proj}/S)$ indexed by a finite set A, and $r_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. A morphism of symbols

$$f : \bigoplus_{\alpha\in A}(X_\alpha/S, r_\alpha) \to \bigoplus_{\beta\in B}(Y_\beta/S, s_\beta)$$

is given by an isomorphism of sets $u : A \to B$ such that $r_\alpha = s_{u(\alpha)}$ for each $\alpha \in A$ and a collection of isomorphisms of varieties over S, $f_\alpha : X_\alpha \to Y_{u(\alpha)}$ for $\alpha \in A$. Composition of morphisms is given in the evident way. The direct sum of two symbols is defined in an obvious manner, and the zero object corresponds to the symbol with $A = \emptyset$.

In [Ha-5] we defined

- the complexes $F(K_1, \cdots, K_n|S)$ for a sequence of symbols K_i and $S \subset (1, n)$,
- the maps ι, σ and φ,
- the diagonal elements $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_K(I)$ and the diagonal extension,
- the notion of proper intersection on $F(K_1, \cdots, K_n)$,

and verified the conditions (1)-(8) for a quasi DG category. We refer to this as the quasi DG category $Symb(S)$.

We have the relation to the cycle complex of S. Bloch, as follows. For $K = (X/S, r)$ and $(Y/S, s)$, there is a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\dim Y - s + r}(X \times_S Y) \to F(K, L)\,.$$

The left hand side is the cycle complex of the fiber product $X \times_S Y$ in dimension $\dim Y - s + r$. Thus there is a canonical isomorphism with the higher Chow group

$$H^{-n}F((X/S, r), (Y/S, s)\,) = \mathrm{CH}_{\dim Y - s + r}(X \times_S Y, n)\,.$$

Via this isomorphism, the map ψ in Remark to (1.5) reads

$$\psi : \mathrm{CH}_{\dim Y - s + r}(X \times_S Y, n) \otimes \mathrm{CH}_{\dim Z - t + s}(Y \times_S Z, m) \to \mathrm{CH}_{\dim Z - t + r}(X \times_S Z, n + m)\,.$$

If $f : X \to Y$ is a map over S, then its graph Γ_f is an element of $\mathcal{Z}_{\dim X}(X \times_S Y)$ of degree zero, thus it gives a cocycle of degree zero in the complex $F((Y/S, 0), (X/S, 0)\,)$. Let $[\Gamma_f] \in H^0F((Y/S, 0), (X/S, 0)\,)$ be its cohomology class. If $g : Y \to Z$ is another map, then one verifies that $\psi([\Gamma_f] \otimes [\Gamma_g]) = [\Gamma_{g\circ f}]$.

(1.10) *C-diagrams.* Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a quasi DG category. We will construct another quasi DG category $\mathcal{C}^\Delta$ out of $\mathcal{C}$. An object of $\mathcal{C}^\Delta$ is of the form $K = (K^m; f(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu)\,)$, where (K^m) is a sequence of objects of $\mathcal{C}$ indexed by $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, almost all of which are zero, and

$$f(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu) \in F(K^{m_1}, \cdots, K^{m_\mu})^{-(m_\mu - m_1 - \mu + 1)}$$

is a collection of elements indexed by sequences $(m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_\mu)$ with $\mu \geq 2$. We require the following conditions:

(i) For each $j = 2, \cdots, \mu - 1$

$$\sigma_{K^{m_j}}(f(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu)) = f(m_1, \cdots, m_j) \otimes f(m_j, \cdots, m_\mu)$$

in $F(K^{m_1}, \cdots, K^{m_j}) \otimes F(K^{m_j}, \cdots, K^{m_\mu})$.

(ii) For each $(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu)$, one has

$$\partial f(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu) + \sum_{1 \leq t < \mu} \sum_{m_t < k < m_{t+1}} (-1)^{m_\mu + \mu + k + t} \varphi_{K^{m_k}}(f(m_1, \cdots, m_t, k, m_{t+1}, \cdots, m_\mu)) = 0 \ .$$

Here ∂ is the differential of the complex $F(K^{m_1}, \cdots, K^{m_\mu})$. We call an object of $\mathcal{C}^\Delta$ a *C-diagram* in $\mathcal{C}$.

In subsequent sections, for C-diagrams $K_1, \cdots, K_n$ we will define complexes of abelian groups $\mathbb{F}(K_1, \cdots, K_n)$ together with maps σ_{K_i} and φ_{K_i}. It will be shown that $\mathcal{C}^\Delta$ forms a quasi *DG* category, and that its homotopy category $Ho(\mathcal{C}^\Delta)$ has the structure of a triangulated category.

2 Function complexes $\mathbb{F}(K_1, \cdots, K_n)$.

In this section we keep the notation of §1. The operation Φ of (0.4) is used in (2.6). A variant of the operation is discussed in (2.9), which is needed in (2.10). In (2.10) we also refer to (0.3) for tensor product of "double" complexes.

Throughout this section, let $\mathcal{C}$ be a quasi DG category having additional structure (iv) and (v) of (1.6). We have defined the notion of C-diagrams in the category. For a sequence of C-diagrams $K_1, \cdots, K_n$, we will define the complexes $\mathbb{F}(K_1, \cdots, K_n)$ and the maps φ and σ among them, and show that they satisfy the conditions for a quasi DG category (1.6), except two of them that will be proven in §3.

(2.1) In this section a *sequence* is a pair $(M|M')$ consisting of a finite increasing sequence of integers $M = (m_1, \cdots, m_\mu)$ where $m_1 < \cdots < m_\mu$ with $\mu \geq 2$, and a subset M' of $M - \{m_1, m_\mu\}$. We allow M' to be empty. For simplicity we also use the notation $\mathbb{M}$ for $(M|M')$. When there is no confusion denote $(M|\emptyset)$ by M.

Let $\mathrm{in}(M) = m_1$, $\mathrm{tm}(M) = m_\mu$, $\overset{\circ}{M} = M - \{m_1, m_\mu\}$. Let $|M| = \mu$. For sequences $(M|M')$ and $(N|N')$ with $\mathrm{tm}(M) = \mathrm{in}(N)$, let

$$\mathbb{M} \circ \mathbb{N} = (M \cup N | M' \cup \{\mathrm{tm}(M)\} \cup N') \ .$$

A *double sequence* a quadruple $(M_1|M_1'; M_2|M_2')$. Here M_1, M_2 are finite sequences of integers, each of cardinality ≥ 1, and M_1' and M_2' are subsets of $M_1 - \{\mathrm{in}(M_1)\}$, $M_2 - \{\mathrm{tm}(M_2)\}$, respectively. A double sequence may be viewed as a map defined on $[1, 2]$, which sends i to $\mathbb{M}_i := (M_i|M_i')$. To be specific, we will say it is a double sequence on the set $[1, 2]$. (Note however that $\mathbb{M}_i$ is not a sequence in the sense just defined, since M_i may have cardinality one, and even if $|M_1| \geq 2$, M_1' may contain $\mathrm{tm}(M_1)$.) We also use a single letter A to denote a double sequence,

$$A = (\mathbb{M}_1; \mathbb{M}_2) = (M_1|M_1'; M_2|M_2') \ .$$

The following figure on the left illustrates a sequence, where the line segment is $[m_1, m_\mu]$, the dots indicate the set M and the encircled dots the subset M'.



The figure on the right illustrates a double sequence. In the first line lies $\mathbb{M}_1$ which is a line segment with solid and encircled dots, and in the second lies $\mathbb{M}_2 = (M_2 = \{n_1, \cdots, n_\nu\}|M_2')$. (In the figure $m_\mu < n_1$, but there are also cases $m_\mu = n_1$ and $m_\mu > n_1$.)

(2.2) *The complex $F(M|M')$.* Let (K^m) be a sequence of objects in $\mathcal{C}$ indexed by integers m, all but a finite number of them being zero. To a sequence $(M|M')$, one can associate the complex

$$F(\mathbb{M}) = F(M|M') := F(K^{m_1}, \cdots, K^{m_\mu}|M') \ .$$

If $\mathbb{M} = (M|\emptyset)$, we simply write $F(M) = F(M|\emptyset)$; in general, if $M_1, \cdots, M_r$ is the segmentation of M given by M', $F(M|M') = F(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}F(M_r) \subset F(M_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes F(M_r)$. In this section the differential of $F(\mathbb{M})$ is denoted ∂. For $k \in \overset{\circ}{M} - M'$ there is the corresponding map of complexes $\varphi_k : F(M|M') \to F(M - \{k\}|M')$. There is also the map $\sigma_k : F(M|M') \to F(M|M' \cup \{k\})$. The maps φ_k commute with each other, σ_k commute with each other, and φ_k and σ_ℓ commute with other.

(2.3) *The complex $\oplus F(M|M')$.* We will define the structure of a complex on $\oplus F(M|M')$, the direct sum over all sequences $(M|M')$.

For $M = (m_1, \cdots, m_\mu)$, let

$$\gamma(M) = m_\mu - m_1 - \mu + 1 \ .$$

If M and N are sequences with $\operatorname{tm} M = \operatorname{in} N$, then $\gamma(M \cup N) = \gamma(M) + \gamma(N)$.

If $k \in \overset{\circ}{M}$, let $M_{\le k} := \{m_i \in M \mid m \le k\}$. In this section, for an integer d, write $\{d\} := (-1)^d$; this is useful when d is a complicated expression. It will not lead to a confusion since braces are used exclusively for this. For $u \in F(M|M')$, let $|u| = \deg u$ (the degree in $F(M|M')$).

We will define a map $\boldsymbol{\partial} : F(M|M') \to F(M|M')$ of degree 1, and a map $\boldsymbol{\varphi} : \oplus F(M|M') \to \oplus F(M|M')$ of degree 0. They are obtained from ∂ and φ by putting appropriate signs.

For $u \in F(M|\emptyset)$ define $\boldsymbol{\partial}(u) := \partial u$. For $k \in \overset{\circ}{M}$, define $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_k : F(M|\emptyset) \to F(M - \{k\}|\emptyset)$ by

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_k(u) := \{|u| + \gamma(M_{\le k})\}\varphi_k(u) \ .$$

Note that the maps $u \mapsto \boldsymbol{\partial}(u)$ and $u \mapsto \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k(u)$ increase the number $|u| + \gamma(M)$ by one. In general let $M_1, \cdots, M_r$ be the segmentation of M corresponding to M', so that $F(M|M') = F(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}F(M_r)$. For $u = u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r \in F(M|M')$, define

$$\boldsymbol{\partial}(u) = \sum_i \{\sum_{j>i} (|u_j| + \gamma(u_j)\,)\} u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes (\boldsymbol{\partial} u_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r \ .$$

Here $\gamma(u_i) := \gamma(M_i)$ if $u_i \in F(M_i)$. For $k \in \overset{\circ}{M} - M'$, let i be such that $k \in M_i$, and define $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_k : F(M|M') \to F(M - \{k\}|M')$ by

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_k(u) = \{\sum_{j>i}(|u_j| + \gamma(u_j))\}u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k(u_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r \ .$$

Now let

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}(u) := \sum_k \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k(u) \ ,$$

the sum over $k \in \overset{\circ}{M} - M'$.

One verifies the following equalities:

$$\boldsymbol{\partial}\boldsymbol{\partial}(u) = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\varphi}\boldsymbol{\varphi}(u) = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\partial}\boldsymbol{\varphi}(u) + \boldsymbol{\varphi}\boldsymbol{\partial}(u) = 0 \ . \tag{2.3.a}$$

For $u \otimes v \in F(M|M')$, where $u \in F(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}F(M_s)$, $v \in F(M_{s+1})\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}F(M_r)$,

$$\boldsymbol{\partial}(u\otimes v) = \{|v|+\gamma(v)\}\boldsymbol{\partial}u\otimes v + u\otimes\boldsymbol{\partial}v \ , \quad \boldsymbol{\varphi}(u\otimes v) = \{|v|+\gamma(v)\}\boldsymbol{\varphi}(u)\otimes v + u\otimes\boldsymbol{\varphi}(v) \ . \tag{2.3.b}$$

Indeed the equalities (2.3.b) are obvious. From these we obtain:

$$\boldsymbol{\partial}\boldsymbol{\partial}(u) = (\boldsymbol{\partial}\boldsymbol{\partial}u)\otimes v + u\otimes(\boldsymbol{\partial}\boldsymbol{\partial}v) \ ,$$

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}\boldsymbol{\varphi}(u) = (\boldsymbol{\varphi}\boldsymbol{\varphi}u)\otimes v + u\otimes(\boldsymbol{\varphi}\boldsymbol{\varphi}v) \ ,$$

$$(\boldsymbol{\partial}\boldsymbol{\varphi} + \boldsymbol{\varphi}\boldsymbol{\partial})(u\otimes v) = (\boldsymbol{\partial}\boldsymbol{\varphi} + \boldsymbol{\varphi}\boldsymbol{\partial})u\otimes v + u\otimes(\boldsymbol{\partial}\boldsymbol{\varphi} + \boldsymbol{\varphi}\boldsymbol{\partial})v \ .$$

Using them, one may assume $u \in F(M|\emptyset)$ to prove the three equalities (2.3.a). The verification for $\boldsymbol{\partial}$ is obvious. For the second equality of (1), one must show, for $k, l \in \overset{\circ}{M}$ with $k < l$ and $u \in F(M|\emptyset)$,

$$(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_k\boldsymbol{\varphi}_l + \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l\boldsymbol{\varphi}_k)u = 0 \ .$$

But

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_k\boldsymbol{\varphi}_l(u) = \{|u| + \gamma(M_{\le l})\}\{|u| + \gamma(M_{\le k})\}\varphi_k\varphi_l(u) \ ,$$

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_l\boldsymbol{\varphi}_k(u) = \{|u| + \gamma(M_{\le k})\}\{|u| + \gamma((M - \{k\})_{\le l})\}\varphi_l\varphi_k(u) \ ,$$

which add up to zero, since $\gamma((M - \{k\})_{\le l}) = \gamma(M_{\le l}) + 1$. The verification of the second equality is similar.

Let $\oplus F(M|M')$ be the direct sum over all sequences $(M|M')$, and

$$\delta := \boldsymbol{\partial} + \boldsymbol{\varphi} : \oplus F(M|M') \to \oplus F(M|M') \ .$$

Define the *first degree* of $u \in F(M|M')$ by

$$\deg_1(u) = |u| + \gamma(M) \ .$$

Then δ increases the first degree by 1. We have the following proposition, so $\oplus F(M|M')$ is a complex with degree $\deg_1$ and differential δ, and the differential is compatible with tensor product. The next proposition is a restatement of the identities (2.3.a) and (2.3.b).

(2.3.1) **Proposition.** (1) $\delta\delta = 0$.

(2) For $u \otimes v \in F(M|M')$, where $u \in F(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}F(M_s)$, $v \in F(M_{s+1})\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}F(M_r)$, one has $\delta(u \otimes v) = \{|v| + \gamma(v)\}\delta u \otimes v + u \otimes \delta v$.

If one fixes M' and takes the sum over $(M|M')$ where only M varies with condition $M' \subset \overset{\circ}{M}$, one still obtains a complex; taking then the sum over M' gives the complex discussed above.

In particular, $\oplus F(M) = \oplus F(M|\emptyset)$ is a complex, which appears in the following subsection.

(2.4) In the complex $\oplus F(M)$, an element $f = (f(M)) \in \oplus F(M)$ is of first degree 0 if $\deg f(M) + \gamma(M) = 0$. It satisfies $\delta(f) = 0$ if for each $M = (m_1, \cdots, m_\mu)$,

$$\partial f(M) + \sum_k \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k(f(M \cup \{k\})) = 0$$

where k varies over the set $[\operatorname{in} M, \operatorname{tm} M] - M$. Concretely

$$\partial f(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu) + \sum_{t=1}^{\mu-1} \sum_{m_t < k < m_{t+1}} (-1)^{m_\mu+\mu+k+t} \varphi_k(f(m_1, \cdots, m_t, k, m_{t+1}, \cdots, m_\mu) = 0 \ .$$

We now restate the definition of a C-diagram.

(2.4.1) **Definition.** A *C-diagram* $K = (K^m; f(M))$ in the quasi DG category is a sequence of objects K^m indexed by $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, all but a finite number of them being zero, and a set of elements $f(M) \in F(M)^{-\gamma(M)}$ indexed by $M = (m_1, \cdots, m_\mu)$, satisfying the following conditions:

(i) For each $k \in \overset{\circ}{M}$, $\sigma_k(f(M)) = f(M_{\le k}) \otimes f(M_{\ge k})$ in $F(M_{\le k}) \otimes F(M_{\ge k})$. (To be precise one should write τ_k for σ_k, but we may not make the distinction.)

(ii) $f = (f(M)) \in \oplus F(M)$ satisfies $\delta(f) = 0$.

For an object X and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a C-diagram K with $K^n = X$, $K^m = 0$ if $m \neq n$, and $f(M) = 0$ for all M. We write $X[-n]$ for this.

(2.5) *The differential $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$.* Under the same assumption, we define, for each $k \in \overset{\circ}{M} - M'$, the map $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k : F(M|M') \to F(M|M' \cup \{k\})$ as follows. For $u \in F(M|\emptyset)$, if $\sigma_k(u) = \sum u' \otimes u''$ where $u' \in F(M_{\le k})$ and $u'' \in F(M_{\ge k})$, let

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u) = \sum \{\deg_1(u') \cdot \gamma(u'')\} u' \otimes u'' \ .$$

One has $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u) \in F(M|\{k\})$. Indeed, since $F(M|\{k\})$ is a "double" subcomplex of $F(M_{\le k}) \otimes F(M_{\ge k})$, writing $\sigma_k(u) = \sum u^{a,b}$, the sum of elements of bidegree (a, b), each $u^{a,b}$ is in $F(M|\{k\})$; hence $\sum\{a \cdot \gamma(M_{\ge k})\}u^{a,b}$ is also in $F(M|\{k\})$.

In general, let $M_1, \cdots, M_r$ be the segmentation of M by M'. For $u = \sum u_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r \in F(M|M')$ with $u_i \in F(M_i)$, and for $k \in M_i - M'$, set

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u) = (-1)^{|M'_{>k}|} u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r \, ;$$

then we let

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(u) := \sum_k \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u)$$

where k varies over the set $\overset{\circ}{M} - M'$. (Here $M'_{>k}$ denotes the subset of M' of elements $> k$.) For $u \in F(M|M')$, define $\tau(u) := |M'|$. Note $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ increases $\tau(u)$ by one.

(2.5.1) **Proposition.** (1) $\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{\sigma}(u) = 0$.
(2) $\delta\boldsymbol{\sigma}(u) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}\delta(u)$.
(3) $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(u \otimes v) = \{\tau(v) + 1\}\boldsymbol{\sigma}(u) \otimes v + u \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}(v)$.

Proof. (3) is obvious from the definitions. For (1), using (3) one may thus assume $u \in F(M|\emptyset)$. If $u \in F(M|\emptyset)$, for $k, l \in \overset{\circ}{M}$ with $k < l$, write

$$\sigma_k\sigma_l(u) = \sum u' \otimes u'' \otimes u'''$$

with $u' \in F(M_{\leq k})$, $u'' \in F(M_{\geq k} \cap M_{\leq l})$, and $u''' \in F(M_{\geq l})$. Then we have

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k\boldsymbol{\sigma}_l(u) = \{(|u'| + |u''| + \gamma(u') + \gamma(u'')\,) \cdot \gamma(u''')\}\{1 + (|u'| + \gamma(u')) \cdot \gamma(u'')\}\sigma_k\sigma_l(u) \ ,$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_l\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u) = \{(|u'| + \gamma(u')) \cdot (\gamma(u'') + \gamma(u'''))\}\{(|u''| + \gamma(u'')) \cdot \gamma(u''')\}\sigma_l\sigma_k(u) \ .$$

The sum of them is zero.

For (2), using (3) and (2.3.1), (2), one may again assume $u \in F(M|\emptyset)$. We will then show

$$\boldsymbol{\partial}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k\boldsymbol{\partial}(u)$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k\boldsymbol{\varphi}_l(u) = \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u)$$

for $k \neq l$. For the first equality, writing $\sigma_k(u) = \sum u' \otimes u''$, one has

$$\sigma_k(\partial u) = \partial\sigma_k(u) = \sum\{|u''|\}\partial u' \otimes u'' + \sum u' \otimes (\partial u'')$$

since σ_k and ∂ commute. So

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(\partial u) = \sum\{|u''| + (|\partial u'| + \gamma(u')) \cdot \gamma(u'')\}(\partial u') \otimes u'' + \sum\{|u'| + \gamma(u')) \cdot \gamma(u'')\}u' \otimes (\partial u'') \ .$$

On the other hand,

$$\boldsymbol{\partial}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u) = \{(|u'| + \gamma(u')) \cdot \gamma(u'')\} \cdot \boldsymbol{\partial}(u' \otimes u'') \ ,$$

which coincides with the above. The proof of the second equality is similar: write $\sigma_k(u) = \sum u' \otimes u'' \otimes u'''$ as in the proof of (2.3.1), and compute $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k\boldsymbol{\varphi}_l(u)$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_l\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u)$.

(2.6) *The complexes* $\mathbb{G}(K, L)$ *and* $\mathbb{F}(K, L)$. Let $K = (K^m; f_K(M))$ and $L = (L^m; f_L(M))$ be C-diagrams. To a double sequence $A = (M|M'; N|N')$ one associates the complex

$$F(A) = F(M|M'; N|N') := F(K^{m_1}, \cdots, K^{m_\mu}; L^{n_1}, \cdots, L^{n_\nu}|M' \cup N') \ .$$

To be precise, consider the finite ordered set $M \amalg N$ (where we give the ordering $m < n$ if $m \in M$ and $n \in N$), the sequence of objects $(K^m)_{m\in M}$, $(L^n)_{n\in N}$ on it, and the subset $M' \amalg N' \subset M \amalg N$. Then the corresponding complex is the $F(A)$.

If $M_1, \cdots, M_r$ is the segmentation of M given by M', and $N_1, \cdots, N_s$ that of N given by N', then

$$F(M|M'; N|N') = F(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}F(M_r \cup N_1)\hat{\otimes}F(N_2)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}F(N_s) \ .$$

We refer to $M_1, \cdots, M_{r-1}, M_r \cup N_1, N_2, \cdots, N_s$ as the segmentation of $M \cup N$ by $M' \cup N'$.

Let us say the double sequence is *free* when M' and N' are empty; then the corresponding complex is free of tensor products.

As for $F(M|M')$, one has maps ∂, φ and σ among the $F(A)$. Recall for $M = (m_1, \cdots, m_\mu)$, $\gamma(M) := m_\mu - m_1 - \mu + 1$. For $A = (\mathbb{M}; \mathbb{N})$, set $|A| = |M| + |N|$,

$$\gamma(A) = \gamma(M) + \gamma(N) + (n_1 - m_\mu)$$

and $\tau(A) = |M'| + |N'|$. Note $|A|$ and $\gamma(A)$ depend only on $(M; N)$, while $\tau(A)$ depends only on $(M'; N')$. The following obvious equalities will be repeatedly used. If $k \in A$ with $k \notin \{m_1, n_\nu\} \cup M' \cup N'$, then let $A - \{k\}$ be the double sequence obtained by removing k from M or N, and keeping M' and N'. Then

$$\gamma(A - \{k\}) = \gamma(A) + 1 \ .$$

Also,

$$\gamma(A) = \gamma(A_{\leq k}) + \gamma(A_{\geq k})$$

where $A_{\leq k}$, for instance, is the (double or single) sequence consisting of elements $a \in A$ with $a \leq k$.

For $u \in F(A)$, let $\gamma(u) = \gamma(A)$ and $\tau(u) = \tau(A)$. One can then define the maps $\boldsymbol{\partial}$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ as before, as well as the sum

$$\delta = \boldsymbol{\partial} + \boldsymbol{\varphi} : \oplus F(A) \to \oplus F(A) \ .$$

Specifically if $u \in F(A)$ with $A = (M|\emptyset; N|\emptyset)$, then $\boldsymbol{\partial}(u) = \partial(u)$. If $u = u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r \otimes u_{r+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{r+s-1} \in F(M_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}F(N_s)$ as above, then

$$\boldsymbol{\partial}(u) = \sum_i \{\sum_{j>i} (|u_j| + \gamma(u_j)\,)\} u_1 \otimes \cdots (\boldsymbol{\partial} u_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{r+s-1} \ .$$

Similarly for $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$.

One also has the map $\boldsymbol{\sigma} : \oplus F(A) \to \oplus F(A)$. These maps satisfy the same identities as before. In addition, we will define maps $\mathbf{f}_K$ and $\mathbf{f}_L$.

For this purpose one needs to invoke (1.6), (v) and take appropriate quasi-isomorphic subcomplexes. There is a distinguished subcomplex $[F(M|M'; N|N')]_f \hookrightarrow F(M|M'; N|N')$ satisfying the following conditions:

- If $P = (P|\emptyset)$ is a sequence with $\mathrm{tm}(P) = \mathrm{in}(M)$, then the map

$$f_K(P) \otimes (-) : [F(\mathbb{M}; \mathbb{N})]_f \to [F(P \circ \mathbb{M}; \mathbb{N})]_f$$

is defined. Here $P \circ \mathbb{M} := (P \cup M | \{\mathrm{tm}(M)\} \cup M')$.



- Similarly if $\mathrm{tm}(N) = \mathrm{in}(Q)$, then

$$(-) \otimes f_L(Q) : [F(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N})]_f \to [F(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N} \circ Q)]_f$$

is defined.

- $[F(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N})]_f$ is closed under the maps φ and σ.

For the existence of such a subcomplex, with reference to (1.6), (8), we proceed as follows. Let $I = M \amalg N$, and $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ be its segmentation by $M' \amalg N'$ (same as $M_1, \cdots, M_{r-1}, M_r \cup N_1, N_2, \cdots, N_s$ at the beginning of this subsection). To specify a condition of constraint $\mathcal{C}$ on this, let

$$\mathbb{I} := [-w, \mathrm{tm}(M)] \amalg [\mathrm{in}(N), w]$$

where w is a positive integer large enough so that $K^m = O$ for $m < -w$ and $L^m = O$ for $m > w$. As a subset of $[1, c]$, we take $P = [1, c]$. Choose a set of almost disjoint sub-intervals of $\mathbb{I}$ such that for each j, one has either $J_j \subset [-w, \mathrm{in}(M)]$ or $J_j \subset [\mathrm{tm}(N), w]$. Set

$$f(J_j) = \begin{cases} f_K(J_j) & \text{if } J_j \subset [-w, \mathrm{in}(M)]\,, \\ f_L(J_j) & \text{if } J_j \subset [\mathrm{tm}(N), w]\,. \end{cases}$$

These give a constraint $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbb{I}; P; \{J_j\}; \{f(J_j)\})$. Thus the corresponding distinguished subcomplex is generated by $u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c$ with $u_i \in F(I_i)$ such that

$$\{u_1, \cdots, u_c, \{f(J_j)\}\} \qquad \text{is properly intersecting.} \tag{2.6.a}$$

We take all possible sets of sub-intervals $\{J_j\}$, and take the intersection of the corresponding distinguished subcomplexes; this gives a subcomplex satisfying the required conditions, as can be shown using functorial properties of proper intersection, (1.7.2). In short, we could say that we take $\{f_K(P)\}$ and $\{f_L(Q)\}$ as the set of constraints. In the rest of this paper we write $F(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N})$ for $[F(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N})]_f$ as long as no confusion is likely.

For a sequence $P = (P|\emptyset)$ with $\mathrm{tm}(P) = \mathrm{in}(M)$ and $u \in F(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N})$, let

$$\mathbf{f}_K(P) \otimes u = \{|\tau(u)| + 1\} f_K(P) \otimes u \in F(P \circ \mathbb{M};\mathbb{N})\ .$$

Let $\mathbf{f}_K \otimes u := \sum \mathbf{f}_K(P) \otimes u$ where P varies over sequences with $\mathrm{tm}(P) = \mathrm{in}(M)$. When there is no confusion, we also write $\mathbf{f}_K(u) = \mathbf{f}_K \otimes u$ like an operator.

If $\mathrm{in}(Q) = \mathrm{tm}(N)$ let

$$u \otimes \mathbf{f}_L(Q) = -u \otimes f_L(Q) \in F(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N} \circ Q)\ ,$$

and $u \otimes \mathbf{f}_L = \sum u \otimes \mathbf{f}_L(Q)$, the sum over Q with $\mathrm{in}(Q) = \mathrm{tm}(N)$. We also write $\mathbf{f}_L(u) = u \otimes \mathbf{f}_L$. These operations are subject to the following identities.

(2.6.1) **Proposition.** *(1)* $\delta \mathbf{f}_K = \mathbf{f}_K \delta$. $\delta \mathbf{f}_L = \mathbf{f}_L \delta$.

(2) One has

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{f}_K \otimes u) + \mathbf{f}_K \otimes (\mathbf{f}_K \otimes u) + \mathbf{f}_K \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}(u) = 0\ .$$

Similarly $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(u \otimes \mathbf{f}_L) + (u \otimes \mathbf{f}_L) \otimes \mathbf{f}_L + \boldsymbol{\sigma}(u) \otimes \mathbf{f}_L = 0$. *(With the operator-like notation,* $\boldsymbol{\sigma}\mathbf{f}_K + \mathbf{f}_K\boldsymbol{\sigma} + \mathbf{f}_K\mathbf{f}_K = 0$, *etc.)*

(3) One has $\mathbf{f}_K \otimes (u \otimes v) = \{\tau(v)+1\}(\mathbf{f}_K \otimes u) \otimes v$. *(Here* $u \in F(\mathbb{M}) = F(K^{\mathbb{M}})$, $v \in F(\mathbb{M}';\mathbb{N})$ *with* $\mathrm{tm}(M) = \mathrm{in}(M')$*; or either,* $u \in F(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N})$, $v \in F(\mathbb{N}') = F(L^{\mathbb{N}'})$ *with* $\mathrm{tm}(N) = \mathrm{in}(N')$. *)* *Similarly* $(u \otimes v) \otimes \mathbf{f}_L = u \otimes (v \otimes \mathbf{f}_L)$.

(4) $(\mathbf{f}_K \otimes u) \otimes \mathbf{f}_L + \mathbf{f}_K \otimes (u \otimes \mathbf{f}_L) = 0$. *(With the operator-like notation,* $\mathbf{f}_K \mathbf{f}_L + \mathbf{f}_L \mathbf{f}_K = 0$. *)*

Proof. (1) We show $\delta \mathbf{f}_K = \mathbf{f}_K \delta$. Since $\delta(f) = 0$, by (2.3.1), (2),

$$\delta(\mathbf{f} \otimes u) = \{\tau(u) + 1\} f \otimes \delta(u) \ .$$

Noting $\tau(\delta(u)) = \tau(u)$, one has

$$\mathbf{f} \otimes \delta(u) = \{\tau(u) + 1\} f \otimes \delta(u)$$

as well. The proof of $\delta \mathbf{f}_L = \mathbf{f}_L \delta$ is similar.

(2) By $|f(P)| + \gamma(P) = 0$, one has

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(f(P)) = \sum f(P_1) \otimes f(P_2) \, ,$$

the sum over all segmentations of P to P_1 and P_2. So, using (2.5.1),

$$\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{f}(P) \otimes u) \\ = \ & \{\tau(u) + 1\}(\{\tau(u) + 1\} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(f(P)) \otimes u + f(P) \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}(u) \,) \\ = \ & \sum f(P_1) \otimes f(P_2) \otimes u + \{\tau(u) + 1\} f(P) \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}(u) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\mathbf{f}(P_1) \otimes \mathbf{f}(P_2) \otimes u = -f(P_1) \otimes f(P_2) \otimes u \, ,$$

and

$$\mathbf{f}(P) \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}(u) = \{\tau(\sigma(u)) + 1\} f(P) \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}(u) \, ,$$

where $\tau(\sigma(u)) = \tau(u) + 1$. The equality hence follows.

(3) Obvious from the definitions.

(4) One has

$$(\mathbf{f}_K \otimes u) \otimes \mathbf{f}_L = -\sum \{\tau(u) + 1\} (f_K(P) \otimes u) \otimes f_L(Q) \, ,$$

and

$$\mathbf{f}_K \otimes (u \otimes \mathbf{f}_L) = -\sum \{\tau(u)\} f_K(P) \otimes (u \otimes f_L(Q)) \, ,$$

which add to zero.

For $u \in F(A)$ define

$$d'(u) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}(u) + \mathbf{f}_K(u) + \mathbf{f}_L(u) \ .$$

It increases $\tau(u)$ by one, and by (2.5.1) and (2.6.1), we have $d'd' = 0$ and $\delta d' = d'\delta$. In addition, $d'(u \otimes v) = \{\tau(v) + 1\}(d'u) \otimes v + u \otimes (d'v)$. Thus the direct sum $\oplus_A F(A)$ is a "double" complex $H^{a,b}$ with respect to the two gradings

$$a = \deg_1(u) + 1, \quad b = \tau(u) \, ,$$

with $\deg_1(u) := |u| + \gamma(A)$, and the commuting differentials $-\delta$, d'. Denote it by $H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L)$:

$$H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L) = \oplus_A F(A) = \oplus_A [F(A)]_f \, .$$

Clearly $H^{a,b} = 0$ unless $b \geq 0$.

Let

$$\mathbb{H}(K, L) = \mathrm{Tot}(H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L))$$

be the associated total complex. The total degree is of $u \in F(A)$ is given by $\deg_{\mathbb{H}}(u) = \deg_1(u) + \tau(u) + 1$, and the total differential $d_{\mathbb{H}}$ is given by

$$d_{\mathbb{H}}(u) = -\delta(u) + (-1)^{\deg_1(u)+1} d'(u)$$

on $u \in F(A)$.

(2.6.2) **Proposition.** *For each a, the complex with respect to d',*

$$H^{a,0} \to H^{a,1} \to \cdots$$

is exact.

Proof. On the complex $(H^{a,\bullet}, d')$, consider the filtration given by the sum of terms $F(A)$ with $\mathrm{in}\, M \leq p$ and $\mathrm{tm}\, N \geq q$, for varying p, q; in the subquotients the maps $\mathbf{f}_K$ and $\mathbf{f}_L$ are zero, so the differentials d' are just $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. So they are sums of the complexes

$$F(\mathcal{I}|\emptyset) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \bigoplus_{|S|=1} F(\mathcal{I}|S) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \bigoplus_{|S|=2} F(\mathcal{I}|S) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \cdots \to F(\mathcal{I}|\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{I}}) \to 0$$

where $\mathcal{I} = M \amalg N$ with $\mathrm{in}\, M = p$ and $\mathrm{tm}\, N = q$ given, and S varies over subsets of $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{I}}$. The claim follows from the acyclicity axiom of σ, (1.6), (6).

Applying the operation Φ in (0.4) we obtain a complex

$$\mathbb{G}^{\bullet}(K, L) := \Phi H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L) = \mathrm{Ker}(d' : H^{\bullet 0} \to H^{\bullet 1}) \ ,$$

and the differential is the restriction of $d_{\mathbb{H}}$ to $\Phi H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L)$. So the degree and differential are given by $\deg_{\mathbb{G}}(u) = \deg_1(u) + 1$ and $d_{\mathbb{G}} = -\delta$ on $u \in F(A)$. Set finally

$$\mathbb{F}(K, L) = \mathbb{G}(K, L)[1] \ .$$

The degree and the differential are given as follows:

$$\deg_{\mathbb{F}}(u) = \deg_1(u) \ , \quad d_{\mathbb{F}}(u) = \delta(u).$$

The following facts are obvious from the definitions.

(2.6.3) An element $u \in H^{a,0}$ consists of $u(M; N) \in F(K^M; L^N)$ with $\deg_1 u(M; N) = a-1$, where $(M; N)$ varies over free double sequences. It is in $\mathbb{G}(K, L)^a$ if the following condition is satisfied.

(i) For $k \in M$, $k \neq \mathrm{in}(M)$,

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u(M; N)) = f_K(M_{\leq k}) \otimes u(M_{\geq k}; N) \ ,$$

equivalently,

$$\sigma_k(u(M; N)) = f_K(M_{\leq k}) \otimes u(M_{\geq k}; N) \ .$$

(ii) For $k \in N$, $k \neq \mathrm{tm}(N)$,

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u(M;N)\,) = u(M;N_{\leq k}) \otimes f_L(N_{\geq k})\,,$$

equivalently,

$$\sigma_k(u(M;N)\,) = \{(a-1)\cdot\gamma(N_{\geq k})\}\, u(M;N_{\leq k}) \otimes f_L(N_{\geq k})\,.$$

(2.6.4) **Example.** If X, Y are objects in $\mathcal{C}$ and $K = X[0]$ and $L = Y[n]$ (see (2.4)), we have $\mathbb{F}(K,L) = F(X,Y)[n]$.

(2.7) *The complexes* $\mathbb{H}(I)$ *and* $\mathbb{G}(I)$. Let $n \geq 2$. Assume given a sequence of C-diagrams $K_i = (K_i^m; f_{K_i}(M))$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. We will define complexes $\mathbb{H}(K_1, \cdots, K_n)$ and $\mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_n)$, generalizing $\mathbb{H}(K,L)$ and $\mathbb{G}(K,L)$ in case $n = 2$. As in the case $|I| = 2$, $\mathbb{G}$ is a quasi-isomorphic subcomplex of $\mathbb{H}$. The generalization of $\mathbb{F}(K,L)$ to $\mathbb{F}(K_1, \cdots, K_n)$ will be discussed later in this section.

A *multi-sequence* on $[1,n]$ is a $2n$-tuple of finite sequences

$$A = (\mathbb{M}_1; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_n) = (M_1|M_1'; M_2|M_2'; \cdots, M_{n-1}|M_{n-1}'; M_n|M_n')$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- Each M_i is non-empty;
- $M_j' \subset M_j$ for $j = 1, \cdots, n$, and $\mathrm{in}(M_1) \notin M_1'$, and $\mathrm{tm}(M_n) \notin M_n'$.

The following illustrates a multi-sequence. The vertical direction is for $[1,n]$, and the horizontal direction for the $\mathbb{M}_i$.



Associated to A is a finite ordered set $M = M_1 \cup \cdots \cup M_n$ (disjoint union) and (K_i^m) defines a sequence of objects on it, $M_i \ni m \mapsto K_i^m$ on each M_i. There corresponds the complex

$$F(A) = F(\mathbb{M}_1; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_n) = F(M_1 \cup \cdots \cup M_n | M_1' \cup \cdots \cup M_n')\ .$$

An element $u \in F(A)$ can be written as a sum of $u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r$ where $u_i \in F(A_i)$ and $(A_1, \cdots, A_r)$ is the segmentation of $\amalg M_i$ by $\amalg M_i'$. Let ∂ be its differential. Set $|A| = \sum |M_i|$,

$$\gamma(A) = \sum_{1\leq i\leq n} \gamma(M_i) + \sum_{1\leq i<n} (\mathrm{in}(M_{i+1}) - \mathrm{tm}(M_i)\,)\ ,$$

and $\tau(A) = \sum |M_i'|$.

Now consider the group

$$\oplus_A F(A)$$

the direct sum over all multi-sequences A on $[1,n]$. We make it into a "triple" complex, denoted $H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet} = H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(K_1, \cdots, K_n)$. It is analogous to the double complex $H^{\bullet\bullet}$ in the previous subsection. Set

$$M'_{int} = \bigcup_{1<i<n} M'_i\,, \quad M'_{out} = M'_1 \cup M'_n\ .$$

• The first degree (namely the number a in $H^{a,b,c}$) is $\deg_1(u) = |u| + \gamma(u)$, and the first differential is $d_1 = -\delta$, where δ is to be defined as follows.

As in the case $n = 2$, one has the map $\boldsymbol{\partial}$. For each $k \in M_1 - M'_1$ with $k \neq \mathrm{in}(M_1)$ (resp. $k \in M_n - M'_n$ with $k \neq \mathrm{tm}(M_n)$), one has the map

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_k : F(\mathbb{M}_1; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_n) \to F((M_1 - \{k\}|M'_1); \mathbb{M}_2; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_n)$$

(resp. $F(\mathbb{M}_1; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_n) \to F(\mathbb{M}_1; \mathbb{M}_2; \cdots; (M_n - \{k\}|M'_n))$). So $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \sum \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k$ and $\delta = \boldsymbol{\partial} + \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ are endomorphisms of $\oplus F(A)$.

• The second degree is $\deg_2(u) = |M'_{int}| + 1$, and the second differential is $d_2 = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}$ defined as follows: For $k \in \cup_{1<i<n}(M_i - M'_i)$, define

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u) = (-1)^{|(M'_{int})_{>k}|} u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u_j) \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u_j)$ is as in (2.5), and taking the sum of them,

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}(u) := \sum \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u)\,.$$

• The third degree is $\deg_3(u) = |M'_{out}|$, and the third differential is $d_3 = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{out} + \mathbf{f}_{K_1} + \mathbf{f}_{K_n}$, where the three operators are defined as follows.

For $k \in (M_1 - M'_1) \cup (M_n - M'_n)$, let

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u) = (-1)^{|(M'_{out})_{>k}|} u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u_j) \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r$$

(so $j = 1$ or r), and

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{out}(u) := \sum \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u)\,,$$

the sum over $k \in (M_1 - M'_1) \cup (M_n - M'_n)$.

For a sequence P with $\mathrm{tm}(P) = \mathrm{in}(M)$, set

$$\mathbf{f}_{K_1}(P) \otimes u = \{|M'_{out}| + 1\} f_{K_1}(P) \otimes u \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{f}_{K_1}(u) = \sum_P \mathbf{f}_{K_1}(P) \otimes u\ .$$

Similarly set

$$u \otimes \mathbf{f}_{K_n}(Q) = -u \otimes f_{K_n}(Q) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{f}_{K_n}(u) = \sum_Q u \otimes \mathbf{f}_{K_n}(Q)\,.$$

For these maps to be defined, one must take appropriate distinguished subcomplexes of $F(A)$ as for the case $n = 2$.

By the following result, which can be shown as in the previous subsection, we have a "triple" complex.

(2.7.1) **Proposition.** *(1) $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}$ is a differential, and it commutes with δ; namely we have the following identities:*

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int} = 0\,, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}\delta = \delta\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}\,.$$

Similarly for $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{out}$:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{out}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{out} = 0\,, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{out}\delta = \delta\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{out}\,.$$

The differentials $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{out}$ commute:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{out} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{out}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}\ .$$

(2) The maps $d_1 = -\delta$, $d_2 = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}$, and $d_3 = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{out} + \mathbf{f}_{K_1} + \mathbf{f}_{K_n}$ are differentials, commuting with each other.

Proof. (1) Similar to the proof of (2.5.1).
(2) Similar to the proof of (2.6.1).
(3) Follows from (1) and (2).

Let $\mathbb{H}(K_1, \cdots, K_n)$ be the total complex of $H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}$. The total differential is

$$d_{\mathbb{H}} = -\delta + (-1)^{\deg_1} d_2 + (-1)^{\deg_1 + \deg_2} d_3\ .$$

As in the case $|I| = 2$, we have the following claim; the proof is parallel to that for (2.6.2).

(2.7.2) **Proposition.** *The complex*

$$H^{\bullet\bullet 0} \xrightarrow{d_3} H^{\bullet\bullet 1} \xrightarrow{d_3} \cdots$$

is exact.

Now set $G^{\bullet\bullet}(K_1, \cdots, K_n) = \Phi(H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(K_1, \cdots, K_n))$; it is a "double" complex. We also define $\mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_n)$ to be its total complex. Note the total degree and differential of this complex are $\deg_1 + \deg_2$ and

$$d_{\mathbb{G}} = -\delta + (-1)^{\deg_1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}$$

when acting on u. It is a quasi-isomorphic subcomplex of $\mathbb{H}(K_1, \cdots, K_n)$. When the sequence $K_1, \cdots, K_n$ is understood, write them as $G^{\bullet\bullet}([1,n])$ and $\mathbb{G}([1,n])$, respectively.

The same construction applies to any finite totally ordered set I and a sequence of C-diagrams indexed by I, so we have the complex $\mathbb{G}(I)$.

If $|I| = 2$, say $I = [1,2]$, the construction in this subsection is related to that in (2.6) as follows. Let $K = K_1$ and $L = K_2$. Then the second degree of $H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(K, L)$ is concentrated in one, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int} = 0$. Thus one sees that the partial totalization $\mathrm{Tot}_{12}(H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(K, L))$ coincides with $H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L)$ in (2.6), and that the totalization of $G^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L)$ coincides with $\mathbb{G}(K, L)$ in (2.6).

For the sake of reference we record the condition for an element of $H^{a,b,0}(I)$ be in $G^{a,b}(I)$.

(2.7.3)(σ-consistency) Let $u \in H^{a,b,0}([1,n])$ be an element with components

$$u(M_1; \mathbb{M}_2; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_{n-1}; M_n) \in F(M_1; \mathbb{M}_2; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_{n-1}; M_n)$$

(note the sequences M_1 and M_n are free by the assumption $\deg_3(u) = 0$). Then u is in $G^{a,b}([1,n])$ if and only if the following equalities are satisfied (we then say u is *σ-consistent.*) Note the signs in the second equality.

(i) For each $k \in M_1 - \{\mathrm{in}(M_1)\}$, one has

$$-f_{K_1}((M_1)_{\le k}) \otimes u((M_1)_{\ge k}; \mathbb{M}_2; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_{n-1}; M_n) + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u(M_1; \mathbb{M}_2; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_{n-1}; M_n)\,) = 0\ ,$$

equivalently,

$$-f_{K_1}((M_1)_{\le k}) \otimes u((M_1)_{\ge k}; \mathbb{M}_2; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_{n-1}; M_n) + \sigma_k(u(M_1; \mathbb{M}_2; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_{n-1}; M_n)\,) = 0\ .$$

(ii) For each $k \in M_n - \{\mathrm{tm}(M_n)\}$,

$$-u(M_1; \mathbb{M}_2; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_{n-1}; (M_n)_{\le k}) \otimes f_{K_n}((M_n)_{\ge k}) + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u(M_1; \mathbb{M}_2; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_{n-1}; M_n)\,) = 0\ ,$$

equivalently, writing $u(M_1; \mathbb{M}_2; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_{n-1}; M_n) = \sum u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r$, with $u_i \in F(A_i)$ as before, one has

$$-u(M_1; \mathbb{M}_2; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_{n-1}; (M_n)_{\le k}) \otimes f_{K_n}((M_n)_{\ge k}) + \sum \pm\sigma_k(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r) = 0\ ,$$

the sign for σ_k being given by $(-1)^{\deg_1(u_r)\cdot\gamma((M_n)_{\ge k})}$.

The following proposition shows a difference between the cases $|I| = 2$ and $|I| \ge 3$.

(2.8) **Proposition.** *$\mathbb{G}(I)$ is acyclic if $|I| \ge 3$.*

Proof. We show the acyclicity of $\mathbb{H}(I)$ for $I = [1,n]$. For each pair of integers (a,b), the sum

$$\mathcal{F}(a,b) = \bigoplus_{\mathrm{in}\, M_1 \le a,\, \mathrm{tm}\, M_n \ge b} F(\mathbb{M}_1; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_n)$$

is a subcomplex of $\mathbb{H}(I)$, and gives its filtration (increasing in a, decreasing in b). In a successive quotient, which is the form

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{(a,b)} = \bigoplus_{\mathrm{in}\, M_1 = a,\, \mathrm{tm}\, M_n = b} F(\mathbb{M}_1; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_n)\ ,$$

the maps $\mathbf{f}_K$ are zero, so the differential is a signed sum of ∂, φ, and σ. Consider an increasing filtration on it defined by

$$Fil_c = \bigoplus_{|M| \le c} F(\mathbb{M}_1; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_n)\ .$$

In a successive quotient $\mathrm{Gr}_{Fil}^c \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{(a,b)}$, one has $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = 0$, so it is a sum of the total complexes of the following form:

$$0 \to F(\mathcal{I}|\emptyset) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \bigoplus_{|S|=1} F(\mathcal{I}|S) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \bigoplus_{|S|=2} F(\mathcal{I}|S) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \cdots \to F(\mathcal{I}|\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{I}}) \to 0$$

where $\mathcal{I} = \amalg M_i$ and S varies over subsets of $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{I}}$. Since $|I| \ge 3$, one has $|\mathcal{I}| \ge 3$; thus the total complex of the above is acyclic as shown by the spectral sequence argument using the following obvious lemma.

(2.8.1) **Lemma.** *Let A be an abelian group, T be a non-empty finite set. For each subset S of T let $A_S = A$ be a copy of A, and for an inclusion $S \subset S'$, let $\alpha_{S\,S'} : A_S \to A_{S'}$ be the identity map. Then the sequence*

$$0 \to A_\emptyset \to \bigoplus_{|S|=1} A_S \to \bigoplus_{|S|=2} A_S \to \cdots \to A_T \to 0\,,$$

where the maps are alternating sums of $\alpha_{S\,S'}$, is exact.

(2.9) *The subcomplexes $\Phi(A)\hat{\otimes}\Phi(B)$.* This subsection is a complement to (0.4), from which we keep the notation. We explain a procedure to give a quasi-isomorphic subcomplex of the complexes $\Phi(A) \otimes \Phi(B)$. (This will be needed in the next subsection.)

Assume we have a quasi-isomorphic "quadruple" subcomplex $A^{\bullet\bullet}\hat{\otimes}B^{\bullet\bullet} \hookrightarrow A^{\bullet\bullet} \otimes B^{\bullet\bullet}$, see (0.1.1). Assume further that $A^{\bullet\bullet}$ and $B^{\bullet\bullet}$ are complexes of *free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules*. By the freeness assumption, the natural map $\Phi(A)^\bullet \otimes \Phi(B)^\bullet \to A^{\bullet\,0} \otimes B^{\bullet\,0}$ is an injection. We set

$$\Phi(A)^\bullet\hat{\otimes}\Phi(B)^\bullet := (\Phi(A)^\bullet \otimes \Phi(B)^\bullet\,) \cap (A^{\bullet\,0}\hat{\otimes}B^{\bullet\,0})$$

the intersection being taken in $A^{\bullet\,0} \otimes B^{\bullet\,0}$. Note one has the identity

$$\Phi(A)^\bullet\hat{\otimes}\Phi(B)^\bullet = \Phi(\mathrm{Tot}_{24}(A^{\bullet\bullet}\hat{\otimes}B^{\bullet\bullet})\,)\,. \tag{2.9.a}$$

Using this one sees that the four inclusions in the following square are all quasi-isomorphisms.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi(A)^\bullet\hat{\otimes}\Phi(B)^\bullet & \hookrightarrow & A^{\bullet\bullet}\hat{\otimes}B^{\bullet\bullet} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Phi(A)^\bullet \otimes \Phi(B)^\bullet & \hookrightarrow & A^{\bullet\bullet} \otimes B^{\bullet\bullet}\,. \end{array}$$

If the freeness assumption is not satisfied, one could take the identity (2.9.a) as the definition of the left hand side; but in this paper the freeness assumption will be always satisfied.

More generally, assume given a sequence of "n-tuple" complexes $A_1^{\bullet\cdots\bullet}, A_2^{\bullet\cdots\bullet}, \cdots, A_c^{\bullet\cdots\bullet}$, and a quasi-isomorphic multiple subcomplex $A_1^{\bullet\cdots\bullet}\hat{\otimes}A_2^{\bullet\cdots\bullet}\hat{\otimes}A_c^{\bullet\cdots\bullet}$. One then has a "$c(n-1)$"-tuple subcomplex

$$\Phi(A_1)\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\Phi(A_c) \hookrightarrow \Phi(A_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Phi(A_c)\,.$$

In the next subsection, we have "triple" complexes $A^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}$, $B^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}$, and a quasi-isomorphic subcomplex $A^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}\hat{\otimes}B^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}$ is given. One then has a "quadruple" complex $\Phi(A)^{\bullet\bullet}\hat{\otimes}\Phi(B)^{\bullet\bullet}$. We have, as in (0.3), a "double" complex

$$\Phi(A)^{\bullet\bullet}\hat{\times}\Phi(B)^{\bullet\bullet} := \mathrm{Tot}_{13}\,\mathrm{Tot}_{24}(\Phi(A)^{\bullet\bullet}\hat{\otimes}\Phi(B)^{\bullet\bullet})\,,$$

and an isomorphism

$$u : \mathrm{Tot}(\Phi(A)^{\bullet\bullet})\hat{\otimes}\,\mathrm{Tot}(\Phi(B)^{\bullet\bullet}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Tot}(\Phi(A)^{\bullet\bullet}\hat{\times}\Phi(B)^{\bullet\bullet})\,. \tag{2.9.b}$$

(2.10) *The complex $\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)$* In the rest of this section we work under the following assumption: I is a finite totally ordered set, and given a sequence of C-diagrams $K_i = (K_i^m; f_{K_i}(M))$ indexed by I. For simplicity of notation we often assume $I = [1, n]$.

Let $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ be a segmentation of I. We will define a quasi-isomorphic multiple subcomplex denoted

$$\mathbb{G}(I_1)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}(I_c) \subset \mathbb{G}(I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathbb{G}(I_c)\ .$$

The usage of the symbol $\tilde{\otimes}$ is made in line with the convention (0.1.1) for a quasi-isomorphic multiple subcomplex, but to avoid confusion with $\hat{\otimes}$.

First consider the case $c = 2$, and for simplicity assume $I_1 = [1, m]$ and $I_2 = [m, n]$. Let $A_1 = (\mathbb{M}_1; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_m)$ and $A_2 = (\mathbb{N}_m; \cdots; \mathbb{N}_n)$ be multi-sequences on $[1, m]$ and $[m, n]$, respectively.



Pair of multi-sequences.



The ordered set $\mathbb{I}$ in Case (ii).

Let

$$F(A_1)\tilde{\otimes}F(A_2)$$

be the distinguished subcomplex of $F(A_1)\otimes F(A_2)$ defined as follows.

(i) *Case* $\mathrm{tm}(M_m) > \mathrm{in}(N_m)$. One has a distinguished subcomplex $[F(A_1)]_f \hookrightarrow F(A_1)$ satisfying the same conditions with respect to $f_{K_1}(P)\otimes(-)$ and $(-)\otimes f_{K_n}(Q)$ as the complex $[F(M|M'; N|N')]_f$ in (2.6). Similarly one has $[F(A_2)]_f$. We set

$$F(A_1)\tilde{\otimes}F(A_2) = [F(A_1)]_f \otimes [F(A_2)]_f\ ,$$

which is a distinguished subcomplex as a tensor product of distinguished subcomplexes, see (1.6), (8).

(ii) *Case* $\mathrm{tm}(M_m) \leq \mathrm{in}(N_m)$. Set $M = \amalg M_i$, $N = \amalg N_i$, $M' = \amalg M'_i$ and $N' = \amalg N'_i$ for convenience, and let $I = M \amalg N$, and $I_1, \cdots, I_r$ be the segmentation of I obtained as the union of the segmentation of M by M' and that of N by N'. The corresponding tensor product complex is $F(I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes F(I_r)$, and it contains $F(A_1)\otimes F(A_2)$ as a distinguished subcomplex. To specify a condition of constraint on the tensor product complex, let

$$\mathbb{I} = [-w, \mathrm{tm}(M_1)] \amalg (\coprod_{2\leq i\leq m-1} M_i) \amalg [\mathrm{tm}(M_m), \mathrm{in}(N_m)] \amalg (\coprod_{m+1\leq i\leq n-1} N_i) \amalg [\mathrm{in}(N_n), w]\ ,$$

with w large enough (see the figure on the right). Choose a set of almost disjoint sub-intervals $\{J_j\}$ such that each J_i is contained in one of the intervals $[-w, \mathrm{in}(M_1)]$, $[\mathrm{in}(M_m), \mathrm{tm}(N_m)]$, or $[\mathrm{tm}(N_n), w]$. Correspondingly let

$$f(J_j) = \begin{cases} f_{K_1}(J_j) & \text{if } J_j \subset [-w, \mathrm{in}(M_1)], \\ f_{K_m}(J_j) & \text{if } J_j \subset [\mathrm{tm}(M_m), \mathrm{in}(N_m)], \\ f_{K_n}(J_j) & \text{if } J_j \subset [\mathrm{tm}(N_n), w]. \end{cases}$$

The set of data $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbb{I}; P = [1,r]; \{J_j\}; \{f(J_j)\})$ gives a constraint; the corresponding distinguished subcomplex is generated by $u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r$ with $u_i \in F(I_i)$ such that

$$\{u_1, \cdots, u_r, \{f(J_j)\}\} \qquad \text{is properly intersecting.} \tag{2.10.a}$$

To obtain our subcomplex $F(A_1)\tilde{\otimes}F(A_2)$, take the intersection of such distinguished subcomplexes for all possible sets of sub-intervals $\{J_j\}$, then take the intersection with $[F(A_1)]_f \otimes [F(A_2)]_f$ as in Case (i):

$$F(A_1)\tilde{\otimes}F(A_2) = \bigcap_{\mathcal{C}} [F(A_1) \otimes F(A_2)]_{\mathcal{C}} \cap ([F(A_1)]_f \otimes [F(A_2)]_f)\,.$$

The subcomplex $F(A_1)\tilde{\otimes}F(A_2)$ was so specified that

(a) $H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}\tilde{\otimes}H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}$ is a subcomplex of $H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet} \otimes H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}$ (see below for this), and

(b) the map ρ_m can be defined in the next subsection; the following fact will be used there. If $\mathrm{tm}(M_m) = \mathrm{in}(N_m) = \{\ell\}$ (we will then say that A_1 and A_2 are *composable*) one has $F(A_1)\tilde{\otimes}F(A_2) \subset [F(A_1 \circ A_2)]_f$, where we recall $A_1 \circ A_2$ is the "concatenation" of A_1 and A_2, namely

$$A_1 \circ A_2 := (\mathbb{M}_1; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_{m-1}; (M_m \cup N_m | M'_m \cup \{\ell\} \cup N'_m); \cdots; \mathbb{N}_n)\,.$$

Indeed $\cap_{\mathcal{C}}[F(A_1) \otimes F(A_2)]_{\mathcal{C}}$ equals $[F(A_1 \circ A_2)]_f$ in this case.

With these subcomplexes, let

$$H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}([1,m])\tilde{\otimes}H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}([m,n]) \subset H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}([1,m]) \otimes H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}([m,n])$$

be the "6-tuple" subcomplex defined as the sum $\oplus F(A_1)\tilde{\otimes}F(A_2) \subset \oplus [F(A_1)]_f \otimes [F(A_2)]_f$. It is a quasi-isomorphic subcomplex. To this subcomplex, we apply the construction of (2.9) to obtain a quasi-isomorphic "4-tuple" subcomplex

$$G^{\bullet\bullet}([1,m])\tilde{\otimes}G^{\bullet\bullet}([m,n]) = \Phi(H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}([1,m]))\tilde{\otimes}\Phi(H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}([m,n])) \hookrightarrow G^{\bullet\bullet}([1,m]) \otimes G^{\bullet\bullet}([m,n])\,.$$

Then set

$$\mathbb{G}([1,m])\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}([m,n]) = \mathrm{Tot}_{12}\,\mathrm{Tot}_{34}(G^{\bullet\bullet}([1,m])\tilde{\otimes}G^{\bullet\bullet}([m,n]))\,.$$

This is a "double" complex, which can be turned into a double complex by changing signs as in (0.1).

Also, as discussed in (2.9), we have the "double" complex

$$G^{\bullet\bullet}([1,m])\,\tilde{\times}\,G^{\bullet\bullet}([m,n]) = \mathrm{Tot}_{13}\,\mathrm{Tot}_{24}(G^{\bullet\bullet}([1,m])\tilde{\otimes}G^{\bullet\bullet}([m,n]))$$

and an isomorphism of complexes (2.9.b)

$$u : \mathbb{G}([1,m])\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}([m,n]) \to \mathrm{Tot}(G^{\bullet\bullet}([1,m])\,\tilde{\times}\,G^{\bullet\bullet}([m,n]))\,.$$

If $c > 2$ the definition is similar. For multi-sequences $A_1, \cdots, A_c$ on $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ respectively, one can define a distinguished subcomplex

$$F(A_1)\tilde{\otimes}F(A_2)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}F(A_c)$$

subject to similar conditions. Taking the sum of them we have the "3c-tuple" subcomplex

$$H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_1)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_c)$$

(also denoted $H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I|\Sigma)$ if Σ corresponds to the segmentation $I_1, \cdots, I_c$) and taking the Φ-part of this we get a "2c-tuple" quasi-isomorphic subcomplex

$$G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_1)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_c) \subset G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_c)\,.$$

Then we get a "c-tuple" subcomplex

$$\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) = \mathbb{G}(I_1)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}(I_c) := \mathrm{Tot}_{12}\,\mathrm{Tot}_{34}\cdots\mathrm{Tot}_{2c-1,2c}(G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_1)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_c)) \qquad (2.10.b)$$

of $\mathbb{G}(I\left\lceil\Sigma\right) = \mathbb{G}(I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathbb{G}(I_c)$. We always view this as a c-tuple complex by changing signs as in (0.1). Let $\iota_\Sigma : \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I\left\lceil\Sigma\right)$ be the inclusion. The complex $\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)$ will play a major role in the rest of this section.

(2.11) *The maps ρ and Π.* We define a map called the product map (for $m \in \Sigma$)

$$\rho_m : \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma - \{m\})\ .$$

This is to be a map of multiple complexes as follows. If $I_1, \cdots, I_a$ is the segmentation of I by $\Sigma - \{m\}$, $I'_1, \cdots, I'_{a+1}$ the segmentation by Σ, and $f : [1, a+1] \to [1, a]$ the map such that $I'_{f(i)} \subset I_i$, then $\mathrm{Tot}^f\,\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)$ and $\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma - \{m\})$ are a-tuple complexes, and ρ_m is a map of a-tuple complexes.

For simplicity of notation let us consider the case $\Sigma = \{m\}$, where the map is of the form $\rho_m : \mathbb{G}([1,m])\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}([m,n]) \to \mathbb{G}([1,n])$. Consider the map

$$\rho_m : H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}([1,m])\tilde{\otimes}H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}([m,n]) \to H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}([1,n])$$

defined as follows. The source is the sum of $F(A_1)\tilde{\otimes}F(A_2)$, where A_1 and A_2 are multi-sequences on $[1,m]$ and $[m,n]$, respectively. On each direct summand $F(A_1)\tilde{\otimes}F(A_2)$ where A_1 and A_2 are composable, ρ_m is the inclusion map $F(A_1)\tilde{\otimes}F(A_2) \to [F(A_1 \circ A_2)]_f$. If A_1 and A_2 are not composable, ρ_m is set to be zero on $F(A_1)\tilde{\otimes}F(A_2)$.

Observe that ρ_m takes $H^{a,b,c}\tilde{\otimes}H^{a',b',c'}$ to $H^{a+a',b+b',c+c'}$. Indeed if $A_1 = (\mathbb{M}_1; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_m)$, $A_2 = (\mathbb{N}_m; \cdots; \mathbb{N}_n)$ are composable, $M_m = N_m = \{\ell\}$, and $u \in F(A_1)$, $v \in F(A_2)$, set

$$M'_{int} = \cup_{1<i\le m} M'_i\,, \quad N'_{int} = \cup_{m\le i<n} N'_i\,.$$

One has

$$\deg_1(u\otimes v) = |u| + |v| + \gamma(M_1) + \gamma(N_n) = \deg_1(u) + \deg_1(v)\,,$$
$$\deg_2(u\otimes v) = |M'_{int} \cup \{\ell\} \cup N'_{int}| + 1 = \deg_2 u + \deg_2 v\,,$$

and

$$\deg_3(u\otimes v) = |M'_1 \cup N'_m| = \deg_3(u) + \deg_3(v)\,.$$

By restriction one obtains a map $\rho_m : H^{\bullet\bullet 0}([1,m])\tilde{\otimes}H^{\bullet\bullet 0}([m,n]) \to H^{\bullet\bullet 0}([1,n])$.

(2.11.1) **Lemma.** *(1) The map ρ_m above gives rise to a map of "double" complexes*

$$\rho_m : G^{\bullet\bullet}([1,m])\tilde{\times}G^{\bullet\bullet}([m,n]) \to G^{\bullet\bullet}([1,n])\ .$$

(2) One has an induced map of complexes

$$\rho_m : \mathbb{G}([1,m])\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}([m,n]) \to \mathbb{G}([1,n])\,;$$

it sends the sum of terms $u \otimes v \in F(A_1)\tilde{\otimes}F(A_2)$, with A_1, A_2 composable, to the sum of $\{(\deg_1 u)\cdot(\deg_2 v)\}u\otimes v$.

Proof. (1) The meaning of the statement is that the degree-preserving map

$$\rho_m : H^{\bullet\bullet 0}([1,m])\tilde{\otimes}H^{\bullet\bullet 0}([m,n]) \to H^{\bullet\bullet 0}([1,n])$$

sends $G^{\bullet\bullet}\tilde{\otimes}G^{\bullet\bullet}$ into $G^{\bullet\bullet}$, and the induced map

$$G^{\bullet\bullet}\tilde{\times}G^{\bullet\bullet} := \mathrm{Tot}_{13}\,\mathrm{Tot}_{24}(G^{\bullet\bullet}\tilde{\otimes}G^{\bullet\bullet}) \to G^{\bullet\bullet}$$

is a map of "double" complexes: One has, for a homogeneous element $u\otimes v \in G^{\bullet\bullet}\tilde{\otimes}G^{\bullet\bullet}$, equalities

$$\delta\rho(u\otimes v) = (-1)^{\deg_1 v}\rho(\delta u\otimes v) + \rho(u\otimes\delta v)\,,$$
$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}\rho(u\otimes v) = (-1)^{\deg_2 v}\rho(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}u\otimes v) + \rho(u\otimes\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}v)\ .$$

For $u = (u(A_1)) \in G^{\bullet\bullet}([1,m])$ and $v = (v(A_2)) \in G^{\bullet\bullet}([m,n])$, one has $\rho_m(u\otimes v) = \sum u(A_1)\otimes v(A_2)$, the sum over composable pairs $A_1 = (\mathbb{M}_1;\cdots;\mathbb{M}_m)$ and $A_2 = (\mathbb{N}_m;\cdots;\mathbb{N}_n)$ both of third degree 0 ($\mathbb{M}_1 = (M_1|\emptyset)$ and $\mathbb{M}_m, \mathbb{N}_m, \mathbb{N}_n$ are free sequences). It is in $G^{\bullet\bullet}$ since the condition (2.7.3) holds; this shows the first assertion.

The first equality holds, since for each composable (A_1, A_2), one has

$$\delta(u(A_1)\otimes v(A_2)) = (-1)^{\deg_1 v}(\delta u(A_1))\otimes v(A_2) + u(A_1)\otimes(\delta v(A_2))\,.$$

by (2.3.1).

For the second equality, if A_1 and A_2 are composable with $\mathrm{tm}(M_m) = \mathrm{in}(N_m) = \{\ell\}$, one has from the definition

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}(u(A_1)\otimes v(A_2)) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}'_{int}(u(A_1)\otimes v(A_2)) + \boldsymbol{\sigma}''_{int}(u(A_1)\otimes v(A_2))$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}'_{int} := \sum\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k$, the sum over $k \in \cup_{1<i<m}(M_i - M'_i)$ and $k \in \cup_{m<i<n}(N_i - N'_i)$, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}''_{int} := \sum\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k$, the sum over $k \in (M_m \cup N_m) - \{\ell\}$. We have

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}'_{int}(u(A_1)\otimes v(A_2)) = (-1)^{\deg_2 v}\,(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}(u(A_1))\otimes v(A_2) + u(A_1)\otimes\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}(v(A_2)))\ \ ,$$

by the same proof as for (2.5.1), (3).

As for the other term $\boldsymbol{\sigma}''_{int}(u(A_1)\otimes v(A_2))$, we claim that the sum of them over composable pairs (A_1, A_2) equals zero. If $(L|\{\ell,\ell'\})$ is a sequence with $\ell < \ell'$ in L, L is segmented into three intervals $L_{\le\ell}$, $L_{[\ell,\ell']}$, and $L_{\ge\ell'}$. The elements $u(A_1)$, $v(A_2)$ associated to the composable pair

$$A_1 = (M_1;\mathbb{M}_2;\cdots;\mathbb{M}_{m-1};L_{\le\ell}),\quad A_2 = (L_{\ge\ell};\mathbb{N}_{m+1};\cdots;\mathbb{N}_{n-1};N_n)$$

will be denoted by $u(L_{\le\ell})$ and $v(L_{\ge\ell})$. Similarly we have elements $u(L_{\le\ell'})$ and $v(L_{\ge\ell'})$. Now $\boldsymbol{\sigma}''_{int}(u\otimes v)$ has in it terms

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\ell'}(u(L_{\le\ell})\otimes v(L_{\ge\ell}))\,+\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\ell}(u(L_{\le\ell'})\otimes v(L_{\ge\ell'}))\,,$$

that equals (with $\tau = |N'|$)

$$(-1)^{\tau}u(L_{\le\ell})\otimes\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\ell'}(v(L_{\ge\ell}))\,+\,(-1)^{\tau+1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\ell}(u(L_{\le\ell'}))\otimes v(L_{\ge\ell'})\,.$$

We find it is zero using the identities (see (2.7.3))

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\ell'}(v(L_{\geq \ell})\,) = f_{K^m}(L_{[\ell,\ell']}) \otimes v(L_{\geq \ell'})\,,$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\ell}(u(L_{\geq \ell'})\,) = u(L_{\leq \ell}) \otimes f_{K^m}(L_{[\ell,\ell']})\,.$$

(2) Apply the operation Tot to the map ρ_m of (1) to get a map of complexes

$$\mathrm{Tot}(G^{\bullet\bullet}([1,m])\tilde{\times}G^{\bullet\bullet}([m,n])\,) \to \mathbb{G}([1,n])\,,$$

and compose it with the isomorphism $u : \mathbb{G}([1,m])\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}([m,n]) \to \mathrm{Tot}(G^{\bullet\bullet}\tilde{\times}G^{\bullet\bullet})$ recalled in (2.9). This completes the proof of the lemma.

The case Σ contains more than one element is similar, so one has $\rho_m : \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma - \{m\})$. Explicitly, consider the map ($I_i \cup I_{i+1} = \{m\}$)

$$\rho_m : H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_1)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_c) \to H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_i\cup I_{i+1})\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_c)$$

which is the identity on $H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_j)$ with $j \neq i, i+1$, and which is, on the remaining factors, the map

$$\rho_m : H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_i)\tilde{\otimes}H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_{i+1}) \to H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_i\cup I_{i+1})$$

as in (2.10). This induces by restriction the map of "$2(c-1)$-tuple" complexes

$$\begin{array}{ll}\rho_m : & G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_1)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}(G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_i)\tilde{\times}G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_{i+1})\,)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_c)\\ \to & G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_1)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_i\cup I_{i+1})\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_c)\,.\end{array}$$

Taking the totalization $\mathrm{Tot}_{12}\cdots\mathrm{Tot}_{2c-3,2c-2}$, obtains a map of "$(c-1)$-tuple" complexes

$$\begin{array}{ll}\rho_m : & \mathbb{G}(I_1)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}\,\mathrm{Tot}(\mathbb{G}(I_i)\tilde{\times}\mathbb{G}(I_{i+1})\,)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}(I_c)\\ \to & \mathbb{G}(I_1)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}(I_i\cup I_{i+1})\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}(I_c)\,.\end{array}$$

This is also a map of $(c-1)$-tuple complexes if we view the source and the target as "$(c-1)$"-tuple complexes as in (0.1). Arguing as before on the factor $G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_i)\tilde{\otimes}G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_{i+1})$ $\to G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_i\cup I_{i+1})$, we obtain a map of $(c-1)$-tuple complexes

$$\rho_m : \mathbb{G}(I_1)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}(I_c) \to \mathbb{G}(I_1)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}(I_i\cup I_{i+1})\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}(I_c)\,.$$

By definition it is obvious that, for m, m' distinct, $\rho_m\rho_{m'} = \rho_{m'}\rho_m$. So one can define, for $K \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$, a map

$$\rho_K : \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma - K) \qquad (2.11.a)$$

by composing ρ_m for $m \in \Sigma$.

For $k \in \overset{\circ}{I} - \Sigma$, define a map of complexes

$$\Pi_k : \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I - \{k\}|\Sigma) \qquad (2.11.b)$$

as follows. Let $I = [1,n]$ for simplicity.

First assume $\Sigma = \emptyset$. On the direct sum $F(A)$ where $A = (\mathbb{M}_1; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_n)$ is a multi-sequence on $[1, n]$, let $\Pi_k = 0$ unless $\mathbb{M}_k = (M_k|\emptyset)$ with $|M_k| = 1$. For such $\mathbb{M}_k$, letting $M_k = \{j\}$ and $A|_{[1,n]-\{k\}} = (\mathbb{M}_1; \cdots; \widehat{\mathbb{M}_k}; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_n)$, we define

$$\Pi_k : F(A) \to F(A|_{[1,n]-\{k\}}) \ ,$$

by $\Pi_k(u) = (-1)^j \varphi_j(u)$ (*not* a typo for $(-1)^j \boldsymbol{\varphi}_j(u)$). The following figure is for the case $n = 3$ and $k = 2$.



Taking the sum over A's, we obtain a map $\Pi_k : H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I) \to H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I - \{k\})$. Note that the map $u \mapsto \Pi_k(u)$ preserves the number γ, and thus also $\deg_1$. One verifies

(2.11.2) **Lemma.** *(1) The map $\Pi_k : H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I) \to H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I - \{k\})$ is a map of "triple" complexes.*

(2) If $k \neq k'$, $\Pi_k \Pi_{k'} = \Pi_{k'} \Pi_k$.

Proof. (1) For $A = (\mathbb{M}_1; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_n)$ with $\mathbb{M}_k = (M_k|\emptyset)$, $|M_k| = 1$ and $M_k = \{j\}$, if $u \in F(A)$, one has

$$\boldsymbol{\partial} \varphi_j(u) = \varphi_j \boldsymbol{\partial}(u) \ ,$$

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_i \varphi_j(u) = \varphi_j \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i(u) \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq j.$$

To show the first equality, let $A_1, \cdots, A_r$ be the segmentation of $M = M_1 \cup \cdots \cup M_n$ by M', and assume $u = u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r \in F(A)$ with $u_i \in F(A_i)$ as before. Then

$$\boldsymbol{\partial}(u) = \sum_i \{\sum_{a>i} \deg_1(u_a)\} u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes (\partial u_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r \ .$$

One also has, if M_k is contained in A_s,

$$\varphi_j(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r) = u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_j(u_s) \otimes \cdots u_r$$

by the compatibility of φ_j and the tensor product, (1.6),(2). Since $\deg_1(u_k) = \deg_1 \varphi_j(u_k)$, the first equality follows. The proof of the second equality is similar.

We also have

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_a \varphi_j(u) = \varphi_j \boldsymbol{\sigma}_a(u) \ .$$

Indeed if $a \in M - M'$, $a \neq j$, and if $\sigma_a(u) = \sum u' \otimes u''$, then $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_a(u) = \sum \{\deg_1(u') \cdot \gamma(u'')\} u' \otimes u''$. If $a > j$, u' and $\varphi_j(u')$ have the same $\deg_1$; if $a < j$, u'' and $\varphi_j(u'')$ have the same $\deg_1$. Hence the equality follows. Taking the sum over a, we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int} \varphi_j(u) = \varphi_j \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}(u) \ ,$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{out} \varphi_j(u) = \varphi_j \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{out}(u) \ .$$

As for $\mathbf{f}$, one easily verifies

$$\mathbf{f}_{K_1}\varphi_j(u) = \varphi_j\mathbf{f}_{K_1}(u)\ , \quad \mathbf{f}_{K_n}\varphi_j(u) = \varphi_j\mathbf{f}_{K_n}(u)\ .$$

When $\mathbb{M}_k = (M_k|\emptyset)$ with $M_k = \{j, j'\}$, $j < j'$, then for $u \in F(A)$,

$$((-1)^{j'}\varphi_{j'})\boldsymbol{\varphi}_j + \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{j'}((-1)^j\varphi_j) = 0\ .$$

(These terms appear in $\Pi_k\boldsymbol{\varphi}(u)$.) To prove this assume A_s contains M_k, so that

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_j(u) = \sum_i\{\sum_{a>i}\deg_1(u_a)\}u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\boldsymbol{\varphi}_j(u_i)\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r\ ,$$

and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_j(u_i) = \{|u_i| + \gamma((A_s)_{\le j})\}\varphi_j(u_i)$. The identity follows from $\gamma((A_s)_{\le j'}) - \gamma((A_s)_{\le j}) = j' - j - 1$.

Combining these, we conclude that Π_k commutes with δ, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}$, and $d_3 = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{out} + \mathbf{f}_{K_1} + \mathbf{f}_{K_n}$.

(2) is obvious from the definition.

Taking Φ and then Tot we get an induced map $\Pi_k : \mathbb{G}(I) \to \mathbb{G}(I - \{k\})$.

We extend this to a map of complexes $\Pi_k : \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I - \{k\}|\Sigma)$ as follows. First consider the map (where $k \in I_i$)

$$H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_1)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_c) \to H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_1)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_i - \{k\})\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_c)$$

which sends $u = u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes u_c$ to

$$u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\Pi_k(u_i)\otimes\cdots\otimes u_c\ .$$

Applying Φ, we obtain a map of "$2c$-tuple" complexes

$$G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_1)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_c) \to G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_1)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_i - \{k\})\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}G^{\bullet\bullet}(I_c)\,,$$

and further applying Tot, we get a map of "c-tuple" complexes

$$\Pi_k : \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I - \{k\}|\Sigma)\,.$$

The source and target can be viewed as c-tuple complexes, and then Π_k is a map of c-tuple complexes. It satisfies the following properties.

(2.11.3) **Lemma.** *(1) The $\Pi_k : \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I - \{k\}|\Sigma)$ is a map of multiple complexes.*
(2) If $k \neq k'$, $\Pi_k\Pi_{k'} = \Pi_{k'}\Pi_k$.
(3) If $m \neq k$, $\Pi_k\rho_m = \rho_m\Pi_k$.
(4) The map $\Pi_m\rho_m : \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I - \{m\}|\Sigma - \{m\})$ is zero.

Proof. (1) holds by definition. (2) holds at the level of "triple" complexes $H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I|\Sigma)$, the proof being parallel to that for (2.11.2). (3) and (4) are obvious from the definitions.

For a non-empty subset $K \subset \overset{\circ}{I} - \Sigma$, define

$$\Pi_K : \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I - K|\Sigma)$$

by composing Π_k for $k \in K$. If K is the disjoint union of K' and K'', then $\Pi_K = \Pi_{K'}\Pi_{K''}$.

(2.12) *Complements on double complexes.* A "double" complex $(A^{\bullet\bullet}; d_1, d_2)$ is the same thing as a complex of complexes

$$\xrightarrow{d_1} A^{p,\bullet} \xrightarrow{d_1} A^{p+1,\bullet} \xrightarrow{d_1} \cdots$$

where each $A^{p,\bullet} = (A^{p,\bullet}; d_2)$ is a complex. The total complex $\mathrm{Tot}(A)$, as defined in (0.1), has a filtration by subcomplexes with graded quotients $A^{p,\bullet}[-p]$.

Note that the total complex $A^\bullet = \mathrm{Tot}(A)$ satisfies the following properties. For each i, there is a direct sum decomposition $A^i = \bigoplus_p A^i_p$, where $A^i_p := A^{p,i-p}$, and the differential d is the sum of $d' : A^i_p \to A^{i+1}_{p+1}$ and $d'' : A^i_p \to A^{i+1}_p$.

Conversely assume $(A^\bullet; d)$ is a complex of abelian groups and given a direct sum decomposition

$$A^i = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} A^i_p$$

for each i, such that d decomposes as $d' + d''$ as above. Then if we set $A^{p,q} = A^{p+q}_p$, $d_1 = d'$ and $d_2 = (-1)^p d''$, then we obtain a "double" complex $(A^{\bullet\bullet}; d_1, d_2)$. We recover $(A^\bullet, d)$ as the associated total complex.

In the next we have a complex equipped with such a direct sum decomposition, which we will view as a "double" complex.

(2.13) *The complexes* $\mathbb{G}(I, T)$. For $I = [1, n]$, and a multi-sequence $A = (\mathbb{M}_1; \cdots; \mathbb{M}_n)$, the *type* of A is the subset of $\overset{\circ}{I}$ defined by

$$T = \{i \in \overset{\circ}{I} | M'_i \neq \emptyset\}.$$

For $T \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$, consider the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{A \text{ of type } T} F(A) \ .$$

We form a "triple" complex denoted $H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I, T)$ as follows.

The first degree and differential are the same as before in (2.7). The second degree is $\deg_2 = |M'_{int}|$, and the second differential is $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int} = \sum \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(u)$, the sum over $k \in M_i - M'_i$ with $i \in T$. The third degree and differential are the same as before: $\deg_3(u) = |M'_{out}|$, $d_3 = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{out} + \mathbf{f}_{K_1} + \mathbf{f}_{K_n}$.

The $H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I, T)$ is a subquotient of $H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I)$, as follows. The complex $H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I)$ has a filtration by subcomplexes indexed by types. For a given type T the corresponding subcomplex Fil^T is given as the sum $\oplus F(A)$, where A varies over multi-sequences A with type containing T. The subquotient (at T) in the filtration is the complex $H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I, T)$ introduced above.

Temporarily let $\mathbb{G}'(I, T)$ be the complex obtained from $H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I, T)$ by applying Φ and taking Tot: $\mathbb{G}'(I, T) = \mathrm{Tot}(\Phi H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I, T))$. Thus its differential is $-\delta + (-1)^{\deg_1} d_2$, with

$$d_2 = \sum_k \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k \,, \qquad \text{the sum over } k \in M_i - M'_i \text{ with } i \in T.$$

As a graded group $\mathbb{G}(I)$ is the direct sum of $\mathbb{G}'(I, T)$ for $T \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$. Recall that the differential of $\mathbb{G}(I)$ is $-\delta + \sum(-1)^{\deg_1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k$, where the sum is over $k \in M_i - M'_i$, $i \neq 1, n$. Also note:

- The map δ takes $\mathbb{G}'(I,T)$ to itself.
- For $k \in M_i - M_i'$ with $i \in T$, the map $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k$ takes $\mathbb{G}'(I,T)$ to itself.
- For $k \in M_i - M_i'$ with $i \notin T$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k$ takes $\mathbb{G}'(I,T)$ to $\mathbb{G}'(I, T \cup \{i\})$.

Now we can apply the discussion of (2.12) and present $\mathbb{G}(I)$ as a "double" complex. We define $\mathbb{G}(I,T)$ to be the complex obtained from $\mathbb{G}'(I,T)$ by shifting the degree by $-|I|+|T|+2$:

$$\mathbb{G}(I,T) = \mathrm{Tot}(\Phi H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I,T)\,)[\,-|I|+|T|+2\,]\ .$$

Namely

$$\deg_{\mathbb{G}(I,T)}(u) = \deg_{\mathbb{G}(I)}(u) + |I| - |T| - 2$$

and the differential $d_{\mathbb{G}(I,T)}$ is $(-1)^{-|I|+|T|+2}$ times the original differential of $\mathrm{Tot}(\Phi H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I,T)\,)$.

The complex $\mathbb{G}(I)$ is the total complex of the "double" complex

$$\mathbb{G}(I,\emptyset) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}} \bigoplus_{|T|=1} \mathbb{G}(I,T) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}} \mathbb{G}(I, \overset{\circ}{I})\ , \tag{2.13.a}$$

where $\mathbb{G}(I,T)$ is placed in first degree $-|I|+|T|+2$, and the first differential $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ on $\mathbb{G}(I,T)$ is given by

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \sum_k (-1)^{\deg_1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k\,, \qquad \text{the sum over } k \in M_i - M_i' \text{ with } i \notin T. \tag{2.13.b}$$

The following remark is obvious, but will be often used. If $|I| = 2$, then T is an empty set, so $\mathbb{G}(I,\emptyset)$ is placed in first degree 0, and

$$\mathbb{G}(I) = \mathbb{G}(I,\emptyset)\ .$$

If $|I| = 3$, say $I = [1,3]$, then the complex $\mathbb{G}([1,3])$ is of the form

$$\mathbb{G}([1,3],\emptyset) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}} \mathbb{G}([1,3],\{2\})$$

with the two terms placed in degrees -1 and 0, respectively. More generally, $\mathbb{G}([1,n],T)$ is placed in degrees $-n+2,\dots,0$.

We go one step further, and write $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ as a signed sum of maps $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T,T'}$. For $T \subset T'$ with $|T'| = |T|+1$, let

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T,T'} := (-1)^{|T_{>i}|} \sum (-1)^{\deg_1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k : \mathbb{G}(I,T) \to \mathbb{G}(I,T')\ , \tag{2.13.c}$$

the sum over $k \in M_i$, $T' = T \cup \{i\}$. Then $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T,T'}$ is a map of complexes. The map $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ is the sum of $(-1)^{|T_{>i}|}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T,T'}$.

(2.13.1) **Lemma.** *(1) If i,j are distinct elements not in T, letting $T_1 = T \cup \{i\}$, $T_2 = T \cup \{j\}$, and $T'' = T \cup \{i,j\}$, one has $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T_1 T''}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T T_1} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T_2 T''}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T T_2}$.*

(2) $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T,T'}$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. (1) Immediate from the definition, the property $\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0$, (2.5.1), and the sign change by $(-1)^{|T_{>i}|}$.

(2) For each $A = (\mathbb{M}_1;\cdots;\mathbb{M}_n)$ of type T, $T' = T \cup \{i\}$, the total complex of the following double complex is acyclic by (1.6), (6):

$$F(A) \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \bigoplus_{|M_i'|=1} F(\mathbb{M}_1;\cdots;(M_i|M_i');\cdots;\mathbb{M}_n)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \bigoplus_{|M_i'|=2} F(\mathbb{M}_1;\cdots;(M_i|M_i');\cdots;\mathbb{M}_n)\xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\cdots\to F(\mathbb{M}_1;\cdots;(M_i|M_i);\cdots;\mathbb{M}_n)\ .$$

Taking the sum over A and applying Φ, the first term $F(A)$ gives $\mathbb{G}(I,T)$, and the terms from the second to the last give $\mathbb{G}(I,T')$. Hence the assertion.

By (1) can define, for any pair T, T' with $T \subset T'$, the map $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T\,T'} : \mathbb{G}(I,T) \to \mathbb{G}(I,T')$ by taking a chain $T = T_0 \subset T_1 \subset \cdots \subset T_a = T'$ with $|T_{i+1}| = |T_i| + 1$ and setting

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T\,T'} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T_{a-1},T_a}\cdots\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T_1,T_2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T_0,T_1}\ .$$

This is a quasi-isomorphism.

(2.14) *The complex* $\mathbb{G}(I,T|\Sigma)$. One can refine (2.10) taking the type into account. For $\Sigma \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$ and $T \subset \overset{\circ}{I} - \Sigma$, letting $I_1,\cdots,I_c$ be the corresponding segmentation of I and $T_i = T \cap \overset{\circ}{I}_i$, let

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I,T|\Sigma) &= H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_1,T_1)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_c,T_c) \\ &= \bigoplus F(A_1)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}F(A_c)\ , \end{aligned}$$

the sum over $(A_1,\cdots,A_c)$ where A_i is a multi-sequence on I_i of type T_i.

With this subcomplex we proceed as follows.

- Applying the construction of (2.9), we get the "2c-tuple" subcomplex

$$\Phi(H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_1,T_1)\,)\tilde{\otimes}\cdots\tilde{\otimes}\Phi(H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I_c,T_c)\,)\hookrightarrow H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I,T|\Sigma)$$

which we abbreviate to $\Phi(H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I,T|\Sigma)\,)$.

- Take the totalization $\mathrm{Tot}_{12}\cdots\mathrm{Tot}_{2c-1,2c}$ to get a "c-tuple" complex, then turn it into a c-tuple complex by changing signs of the differentials as in (0.1).

- Finally shift the degree by $[d_1,\cdots,d_c]$, with $d_i = -|I_i| + |T_i| + 2$, to obtain a c-tuple complex denoted $\mathbb{G}(I,T|\Sigma)$. So

$$\mathbb{G}(I,T|\Sigma) := (\mathrm{Tot}_{12}\cdots\mathrm{Tot}_{2c-1,2c}\,\Phi(H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(I,T|\Sigma)\,)\,)[d_1,\cdots,d_c]\ .$$

The differential of the complex $\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)$ comes from the maps δ, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k$ on each $\mathbb{G}(I_i)$ by taking tensor product, namely

$$d = \sum \pm 1\otimes\cdots\otimes\delta\otimes 1\otimes\cdots\otimes 1 + \sum \pm 1\otimes\cdots\otimes\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k\otimes\cdots\otimes 1\ .$$

The map $1\otimes\cdots\otimes\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k\otimes\cdots\otimes 1$ takes $\mathbb{G}(I,T|\Sigma)$ to itself if $k \in M_i - M_i'$ with $i \in T$, and takes $\mathbb{G}(I,T|\Sigma)$ to $\mathbb{G}(I,T\cup\{i\}|\Sigma)$ if $k \in M_i - M_i'$ with $i \notin T$. So the situation is parallel to that in the (2.13). Thus the c-tuple complex $\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)$ is identified with the total complex of the $(c+1)$-tuple complex

$$\mathbb{G}(I,\emptyset|\Sigma)\xrightarrow{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}}\bigoplus_{|T|=1}\mathbb{G}(I,T|\Sigma)\xrightarrow{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}}\cdots\xrightarrow{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}}\mathbb{G}(I,\overset{\circ}{I}-\Sigma|\Sigma)$$

where $\mathbb{G}(I,T|\Sigma)$ is placed in degree $-|I|+|T|+|\Sigma|+2$ and the differential $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ is a signed sum of the maps $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k$.

One can define the map of complexes

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T,T'} : \mathbb{G}(I,T|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I,T'|\Sigma)$$

for $T \subset T'$, $|T'| = |T|+1$ by changing the sign of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ by $(-1)^{|T_{>i}|}$. It is, up to quasi-isomorphism, of the form $\pm 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T_l,T'_l} \otimes \cdots 1$ where $i \in I_l$. Since $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T_l,T'_l}$ is a quasi-isomorphism (2.13.1), it follows $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T,T'}$ is also a quasi-isomorphism (recall all the complexes involved are free). We have thus obtained the following generalization of (2.13.1).

(2.14.1) **Lemma.** *The map $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T,T'} : \mathbb{G}(I,T|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I,T'|\Sigma)$ satisfies the following properties.*

(1) If i,j are distinct elements not in T, letting $T_1 = T \cup \{i\}$, $T_2 = T \cup \{j\}$, and $T'' = T \cup \{i,j\}$, one has $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T_1 T''}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T T_1} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T_2 T''}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T T_2}$.

(2) $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T,T'}$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

As before, one can define the map $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T T'}$ for any $T \subset T'$.

The maps ι_Σ, ρ and Π for $\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)$ defined in previous subsections decompose according to the type as follows.

- There is an injective quasi-isomorphism

$$\iota_\Sigma : \mathbb{G}(I,T|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I,T\,\overline{|}\,\Sigma) \tag{2.14.d}$$

The map $\iota_\Sigma : \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I\,\overline{|}\,\Sigma)$ is the sum of them as a map of graded groups.

- The map $\rho_m : \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma - \{m\})$ defined in (2.11) is, as a map of graded groups, the direct sum of the maps $\rho_m : \mathbb{G}(I,T|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I,T\cup\{m\}|\Sigma - \{m\})$. Observe that the degree shift is the same for the source and the target (indeed the shift was chosen for this to hold).

For a subset $K \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$ disjoint from T and Σ, composing ρ_m's for $m \in K$ one obtains the map

$$\rho_K : \mathbb{G}(I,T|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I,T\cup K|\Sigma - K)\,. \tag{2.14.e}$$

The map $\rho_K : \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma - K)$ is the sum of them.

- The map $\Pi_k : \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I - \{k\}|\Sigma)$ defined in (2.11) is the sum of $\Pi_k : \mathbb{G}(I,T|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I - \{k\},T|\Sigma)[-1]$. If $K \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$ is disjoint from Σ, composing Π_k's for $k \in K$ one obtains (with $c = |K|$)

$$\Pi_K : \mathbb{G}(I,T|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I-K,T|\Sigma)[-c]\ . \tag{2.14.f}$$

The map $\Pi_K : \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I-K|\Sigma)$ is the sum of them.

(2.15) *The complex* $\mathbb{F}(I|S)$. For each subset $S \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$ we define a complex of abelian groups $\mathbb{F}(I|S)$. The construction is a variant of the so-called *bar construction*. For simplicity assume $I = [1,n]$.

First we consider the case $S = \emptyset$. As an abelian group,

$$\mathbb{F}(I) = \mathbb{F}(I|\emptyset) := \bigoplus_\Sigma \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)\ ,$$

where Σ varies over subsets of $\overset{\circ}{I}$. The degree of $u = u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c \in \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) = \mathbb{G}(I_1)\tilde{\otimes} \cdots \tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}(I_c)$ is

$$\deg_{\mathbb{F}}(u) := \deg_{\mathbb{G}}(u) - c = \sum(\epsilon_j - 1) \quad \epsilon_j = \deg_{\mathbb{G}} u_j \,.$$

The differential $d_{\mathbb{F}}$ is the sum $\bar{d}_{\mathbb{G}} + \bar{\rho}$ of the maps given as follows. If $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ is the partition of I corresponding to Σ, on an element $u = u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c \in \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)$ with $\epsilon_j = \deg(u_j) - 1$,

$$\bar{d}_{\mathbb{G}}(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c) = -\sum(-1)^{\sum_{j>i}\epsilon_j}\, u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{i-1} \otimes d_{\mathbb{G}}(u_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c \in \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)\ , \quad (2.15.a)$$

$$\bar{\rho}(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c) = \sum_{1\le i\le c-1} (-1)^{\sum_{j\ge i}\epsilon_j}\rho_{k_i}(u) \in \bigoplus_{k\in\Sigma} \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma - \{k\}) \quad (2.15.b)$$

with $k_i = \mathrm{tm}(I_i)$. One easily verifies $\bar{d}_{\mathbb{G}}\bar{d}_{\mathbb{G}} = 0$, $\bar{\rho}\bar{\rho} = 0$, and $\bar{d}_{\mathbb{G}}\bar{\rho} + \bar{\rho}\bar{d}_{\mathbb{G}} = 0$ so that $d_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a differential that increases $\deg_{\mathbb{F}}$ by one. Note that there is an increasing filtration of $\mathbb{F}(I)$ by subcomplexes, in which Fil_c is the sum of $\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)$ with $|\Sigma| + 1 \le c$. The graded quotient Gr_c^{Fil} is, as a group, the sum of $\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)$ with given $c = |\Sigma| + 1$. As a complex, $\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)$ has degree given by $\deg_{\mathbb{G}}(u) - c$ and differential given by $\bar{d}_{\mathbb{G}}$; we denote it by $\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)^{shift}$. Then by (2.15.a), $\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)^{shift}$ is a subcomplex of the tensor product complex $\mathbb{G}(I_1)[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{G}(I_c)[1]$. There is a canonical isomorphism of complexes

$$\mathbb{G}(I_1)[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{G}(I_c)[1] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}(I \,\overline{|}\, \Sigma)[c]$$

(see [Ma-2], §§8 and 9, in particular the proof of Proposition 9.3, for the isomorphism $K[1] \otimes L[1] \to (K \otimes L)[2]$ for complexes K, L); it induces by restriction an isomorphism of complexes

$$\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)^{shift} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)[c]\,. \quad (2.15.c)$$

If $|I| = 2$, $\mathbb{F}(I)$ coincides with $\mathbb{F}(K, L)$ defined before.

In general, let $|I| = n$ and set

$$G_{i,j} = \bigoplus_{i=|T|,\, j=|\Sigma|} \mathbb{G}(I, T|\Sigma)$$

for $0 \le i, j \le n-2$ and $0 \le i + j \le n-2$. Then $\mathbb{F}(I)$ may be displayed as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & & & & & & & G_{0,n-2} \\
 & & & & & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\rho} \\
 & & & & & & G_{0,n-3} & \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & G_{1,n-3} \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\rho} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\rho} \\
 & & & & G_{0,n-4} & \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & G_{1,n-4} & \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & G_{2,n-4} \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & G_{0,1} \to & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\rho} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\rho} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\rho} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\rho} \\
G_{0,0} & \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & G_{1,0} \to \cdots \to & & G_{n-4,0} & \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & G_{n-3,0} & \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & G_{n-2,0}\,.
\end{array}$$

For $S \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$, the complex $\mathbb{F}(I|S)$ is the quotient complex of $\mathbb{F}(I)$ given by

$$\mathbb{F}(I|S) := \bigoplus_{\Sigma\supset S} \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)\ ,$$

where Σ varies over subsets containing S. Note $\bigoplus_{\Sigma \not\supset S} \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)$ is a subcomplex, and $\mathbb{F}(I|S)$ is the quotient. Obviously $\mathbb{F}(I|\overset{\circ}{I}) = \mathbb{G}(I|\overset{\circ}{I})[n-1]$ if $n = |I|$.

One has the corresponding surjection

$$\sigma_{S\,S'} : \mathbb{F}(I|S) \to \mathbb{F}(I|S')$$

for $S \subset S'$; it is easy to see $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ is a quasi-isomorphism (since all summands $\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)$ except $\mathbb{G}(I|\overset{\circ}{I})$ are acyclic by (2.8)).

There is an injective quasi-isomorphism $\iota_S : \mathbb{F}(I|S) \to \mathbb{F}(I \top S)$, defined as follows. Let $I_1, \cdots, I_c$ be the segmentation of I by S. For $\Sigma \supset S$, let $\Sigma_i = \Sigma \cap \overset{\circ}{I_i}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}(I \top S) &= \mathbb{F}(I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{F}(I_c) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\Sigma \supset S} \mathbb{G}(I_1|\Sigma_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{G}(I_c|\Sigma_c) \end{aligned}$$

using the definition of $\mathbb{F}(I_i)$. On the other hand $\mathbb{F}(I|S) = \bigoplus_{\Sigma \supset S} \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)$ by definition. The ι_S is defined to be the sum of inclusions $\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}(I_1|\Sigma_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{G}(I_c|\Sigma_c)$. One easily verifies ι_S is compatible with the differentials.

For $K \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$ disjoint from S, let

$$\varphi_K : \mathbb{F}(I|S) \to \mathbb{F}(I - K|S)$$

be the sum of the maps $\Pi_K : \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I - K|\Sigma)$ for K is disjoint from Σ, and the zero maps on $\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)$ with $K \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$. This is a map of complexes, as can be seen from the identities (see (2.11.3))

$$\Pi_K \rho_m = \rho_m \Pi_K : \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I - K|\Sigma - \{m\})$$

for $m \notin K$ and $\Pi_K \rho_m = 0 : \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(I - K|\Sigma - \{m\})$ for $m \in K$.

From the definitions it is obvious that one has $\sigma_{S\,S} = id$ and, for $S \subset S' \subset S''$, $\sigma_{S\,S''} = \sigma_{S'\,S''}\sigma_{S\,S'}$. Also, if $K = K' \amalg K''$ then $\varphi_K = \varphi_{K''}\varphi_{K'} : \mathbb{F}(I|S) \to \mathbb{F}(I - K|S)$. Further, the maps σ and φ commute.

(2.16) **Definition.** Let $(\mathcal{C}^\Delta)_0$ be the category defined as follows. The objects are the C-diagrams in $\mathcal{C}$. For C-diagrams $(K^m; f_K(M))$ and $(L^m; f_L(M))$, a morphism in $(\mathcal{C}^\Delta)_0$ is a collection of morphisms $u^m : K^m \to L^m$ in $\mathcal{C}_0$ such that, if $u : F(K^M) \to F(L^M)$ is the isomorphism of complexes induced by u, then $u(f_K(M)) = f_L(M)$. Composition of morphisms is defined in the obvious manner.

Thus $(\mathcal{C}^\Delta)_0$ is the category of C-diagrams and isomorphisms between them. The complexs $\mathbb{F}(I) = \mathbb{F}(K_1, \cdots, K_n)$ and $\mathbb{F}(I|S)$ defined in the previous subsection is functorial on $(\mathcal{C}^\Delta)_0$. Note that the maps $\sigma_{S,S'}$ and φ_K are functorial.

Let $\tau_S := \iota_S \sigma_S : F(I) \to F(I \top S)$ (in particular we have τ_k). We now have the category $(\mathcal{C}^\Delta)_0$, the complexes $\mathbb{F}(I)$, and the maps τ_k and φ_ℓ, that satisfy the conditions (1),(2),(5) of (1.6) (the same as (1),(2),(5) of (1.2)). Indeed the factorization (5) is given in the construction.

In the following proposition, we show that the condition (6) are also satisfied. The remaining condition (3) and (4) for a quasi DG category will be shown in §3.

(2.17) **Proposition.** *(1) $\mathbb{F}(I)$ is a complex of free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules and $\mathbb{F}(I|S)$ is a multiple complex of free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. The category $(\mathcal{C}^{\Delta})_0$, the complexes $\mathbb{F}(I)$, and the maps the maps τ_k and φ_ℓ satisfy the conditions (1),(2),(5) of (1.6).*

(2) The condition (6) of (1.6) is satisfied: Let R, J be disjoint subsets of $\overset{\circ}{I}$, with J non-empty. Then the following sequence of complexes is exact (the maps are alternating sums of the quotient maps σ)

$$\mathbb{F}(I|R) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \bigoplus_{\substack{S\subset J\\ |S|=1}} \mathbb{F}(I|R\cup S) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \bigoplus_{\substack{S\subset J\\ |S|=2}} \mathbb{F}(I|R\cup S) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \cdots \to \mathbb{F}(I|R\cup J) \to 0\ .$$

(2.18) **Proof of (2.17), (2).** We verify (2.17), (3), that is the acyclicity axiom of the map $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$.

We begin with noting that the complex $\mathbb{F}(I|S)$ has a decreasing filtration by subcomplexes, denoted Fil^{Σ}, indexed by sets Σ containing S, given by

$$Fil^{\Sigma} = \bigoplus_{\Sigma'\supset\Sigma} \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma')$$

(as a group). The graded quotient at Σ is $\mathrm{Gr}^{\Sigma}_{Fil}\mathbb{F}(I|S) = \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)[\,|\Sigma|+1\,]$. The map $\sigma_{S\,S'}$: $\mathbb{F}(I|S)\to\mathbb{F}(I|S')$ preserves the filtration.

Thus the sequence in (2.17), (3) also has a filtration indexed by Σ containing R. For the term $\mathbb{F}(I|R\cup S)$, the corresponding graded quotient $\mathrm{Gr}^{\Sigma}_{Fil}$ is non-zero if and only if $\Sigma\supset R\cup S$, equivalently, if $S\subset(\Sigma-R)\cap J$. So the graded quotient of the sequence in question is (setting $T:=(\Sigma-R)\cap J$):

$$\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \bigoplus_{\substack{S\subset T\\ |S|=1}} \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \bigoplus_{\substack{S\subset T\\ |S|=2}} \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \cdots \to \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) \to 0\ .$$

Here the maps σ are the alternating sums of the identity maps. If T is non-empty, the exactness of this sequence (even with $(0\to)$ at left) is consequence of Lemma (2.8.1). If $T=\emptyset$, the sequence is trivially exact.

3 The quasi DG category $\mathcal{C}^{\Delta}$.

(3.1) We keep the assumption from the previous section, so $\mathcal{C}$ is a quasi DG category with additional structure (iv) and (v). It has been shown that the complex $\mathbb{F}(K_1,\cdots,K_n)$ and maps τ, φ satisfy the conditions of (1.6), (1),(2) and (5). In this section we will verify the the remaining conditions in (3.2)-(3.11), establishing Theorem (3.12), which says that the C-diagrams in $\mathcal{C}$ form a quasi DG category.

We further give another theorem (3.13), which states that the homotopy category of the quasi DG category of C-diagrams has the structure of a triangulated category. The proof takes the rest of this section (3.14)-(3.17).

(3.2) *The complex* $\mathbb{F}(K,L)$. We will examine the composition in the homotopy category. Let us first recall $\mathbb{F}(K,L)$ is given by

$$\mathbb{F}(K,L) = \mathbb{G}(K,L)[1]\,,\quad \mathbb{G}(K,L) = \Phi H^{\bullet\bullet}\subset H^{\bullet 0}$$

where

$$H^{\bullet\,0} = \bigoplus F(M|\emptyset; N|\emptyset)$$

the sum over double sequences $(M|\emptyset; N|\emptyset)$. We will abbreviate $(M|\emptyset; N|\emptyset)$ to $(M; N)$. So an element of $H^{\bullet\,0}$ is of the form $u = (u(M; N)\,) \in \oplus F(M; N)$. The differential $d_{\mathbb{F}}$ acting on u is nothing but $\delta = \boldsymbol{\partial} + \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ as defined in §2. The u has $\deg_{\mathbb{F}} = 0$ if $u(M; N) \in F(M; N)^{-\gamma(M;N)}$. We will often make use of the following conditions.

(i) An element $u \in H^{\bullet\,0}$ is in $\mathbb{F}(K, L)^{\bullet-1}$ if the following condition (σ-*consistency*) is satisfied. For $k \in M$, $k \neq \mathrm{in}(M)$,

$$\sigma_k(u(M; N)) = f_K(M_{\leq k}) \otimes u(M_{\geq k}; N)\ .$$

For $k \in N$, $k \neq \mathrm{tm}(N)$,

$$\sigma_k(u(M; N)\,) = \{(\deg_1 u(M; N)) \cdot \gamma(N_{\geq k})\}\, u(M; N_{\leq k}) \otimes f_L(N_{\geq k})$$

(recall $\{i\} := (-1)^i$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$).

(ii) It is δ-closed if

$$\partial(u(M; N)\,) + \sum \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k(u(M \cup \{k\}; N)\,) + \sum \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k(u(M; N \cup \{k\})\,) = 0\ .$$

Here k in the first sum varies over the set $[\mathrm{in}\, M, \infty) - M := \{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid k \geq \mathrm{in}(M) \text{ and } k \notin M\}$, and k in the second sum over $(-\infty, \mathrm{tm}\, N] - N$.

Recall from §1 we have the homotopy category $Ho(\mathcal{C}^{\Delta})$, where the morphisms are given by $\mathrm{Hom}_{Ho}(K, L) = H^0\mathbb{F}(K, L)$. A morphism $u : K \to L$ in the homotopy category is represented by a cocycle of degree 0, namely by an element $\underline{u} \in Z^0\mathbb{F}(K, L)$.

(3.3) *The complex* $\mathbb{F}(K, L, M)$. Let K, L, M be three C-diagrams. The complex $\mathbb{F}(K, L, M)$ is of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbb{G}([1,3], \emptyset|\{2\}) \\ & & \big\downarrow \bar{\rho} \\ \mathbb{G}([1,3], \emptyset) & \xrightarrow{-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}} & \mathbb{G}([1,3], \{2\}) \end{array}$$

which we also write

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbb{G}(K, L)\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}(L, M) \\ & & \big\downarrow \bar{\rho} \\ \mathbb{G}(K, L, M, \emptyset) & \xrightarrow{-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}} & \mathbb{G}(K, L, M, \{2\})\ . \end{array} \qquad (3.3.a)$$

- The differential $d_{\mathbb{F}}$ is equal to $\bar{d}_{\mathbb{G}} + \bar{\rho}$, to be specified below.
- Recall that the complex $\mathbb{G}(I)$ has differential $u \mapsto d_{\mathbb{G}}(u) = -\delta(u) + (-1)^{\deg_1 u}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{int}(u)$. Also, $\mathbb{G}(I)$ is the direct sum of $\mathbb{G}(I, T)$, each $\mathbb{G}(I, T)$ is a complex with differential $d_{\mathbb{G}(I,T)}$, and on $\mathbb{G}(I, T)$

$$d_{\mathbb{G}} = \sum (-1)^{|T|+|I|} d_{\mathbb{G}(I,T)} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$$

where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \sum (-1)^{\deg_1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k$, the sum over $k \in M_i$ with $i \notin T$ (see (2.13.b)\,). In particular, if $I = [1, 3]$, $\mathbb{G}([1, 3])$ is of the form

$$\mathbb{G}([1,3], \emptyset) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}} \mathbb{G}([1,3], \{2\})\ .$$

- For $u \otimes v \in \mathbb{G}(K,L)^\bullet \tilde{\otimes} \mathbb{G}(L,M)^\bullet$, $\deg_{\mathbb{F}}(u \otimes v) = \deg_{\mathbb{G}}(u) + \deg_{\mathbb{G}}(v) - 2$, and $d_{\mathbb{F}}(u \otimes v) = \bar{d}_{\mathbb{G}}(u \otimes v) + \bar{\rho}(u \otimes v)$, where

$$\bar{d}_{\mathbb{G}}(u \otimes v) = -(-1)^{\deg_{\mathbb{G}}(v)-1} d_{\mathbb{G}}(u) \otimes v - u \otimes d_{\mathbb{G}}(v) \ ,$$

$$\bar{\rho}(u \otimes v) = (-1)^{\deg_{\mathbb{G}}(v)-1} \rho(u \otimes v) \ .$$

Note if $u \otimes v \in \mathbb{G}(K,L)^1 \tilde{\otimes} \mathbb{G}(L,M)^1$ the signs simplify as follows:

$$\bar{\rho}(u \otimes v) = \rho(u \otimes v) \, ,$$

and, since $\deg_1 u = \deg_1 v = 0$,

$$\rho(u \otimes v) = u \otimes v$$

if $\mathrm{tm}(A) = \mathrm{in}(B)$, and zero otherwise.

- For $W \in \mathbb{G}(\emptyset) := \mathbb{G}(K,L,M,\emptyset)$, $\deg_{\mathbb{F}}(W) = \deg_{\mathbb{G}}(W) - 1 = \deg_{\mathbb{G}(\emptyset)}(W) - 2$, and

$$d_{\mathbb{F}}(W) = -d_{\mathbb{G}}(W) = d_{\mathbb{G}(\emptyset)}(W) - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(W) \ .$$

- For $z \in \mathbb{G}(\{2\}) := \mathbb{G}(K,L,M,\{2\})$, $\deg_{\mathbb{F}}(z) = \deg_{\mathbb{G}}(z) - 1 = \deg_{\mathbb{G}(\{2\})}(z) - 1$, and

$$d_{\mathbb{F}}(z) = -d_{\mathbb{G}}(z) = -d_{\mathbb{G}(\{2\})}(z) \ .$$

In particular, for a degree 0 element of $\mathbb{F}(K,L,M)$ of the form $(u \otimes v, W, z)$ with

$$u \otimes v \in \mathbb{G}(K,L)^1 \tilde{\otimes} \mathbb{G}(L,M)^1 \ , \ W \in \mathbb{G}(K,L,M,\emptyset)^1 \ , \ z \in \mathbb{G}(K,L,M,\{2\})^2 \ ,$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbb{F}}(u \otimes v, W, z) \ &= \ -d_{\mathbb{G}}(u) \otimes v - u \otimes d_{\mathbb{G}}(v) + \rho(u \otimes v) \\ &\quad +d_{\mathbb{G}(\emptyset)}(W) - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(W) \\ &\quad -d_{\mathbb{G}(\{2\})}(z) \ . \end{aligned}$$

We also recall there is a map of complexes $\Pi_2 = \Pi_L : \mathbb{G}(K,L,M,\emptyset)[1] \to \mathbb{G}(K,M)$.

(3.4) **Proposition.** *Let $u : K \to L$ and $v : L \to M$ be morphisms. Assume u is represented by $u \in \mathbb{G}(K,L)^1$, v is represented by $v \in \mathbb{G}(L,M)^1$, and $u \otimes v \in \mathbb{G}(K,L) \tilde{\otimes} \mathbb{G}(L,M)$.*

(1) There are an element $W \in \mathbb{G}(K,L,M,\emptyset)^2$, d-closed in $\mathbb{G}(K,L,M,\emptyset)$ such that

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(W) = \rho(u \otimes v) \ .$$

In this case $(u \otimes v, W) \in \mathbb{F}(K,L,M)^0$ is $d_{\mathbb{F}}$-closed.

(2) If (1) is satisfied, the element $\Pi_L(W) \in \mathbb{G}(K,M)^1$ is d-closed and represents the morphism $u \cdot v : K \to M$.

Remark. If $W \in \mathbb{G}(K,L,M,\emptyset)^2$, then $\deg_1 W = 0$.

Proof. (1) For $i \geq 0$, let

$$F_i = \bigoplus_{|M_2'|=i} F(K^{\mathbb{M}_1}; L^{\mathbb{M}_2}; M^{\mathbb{M}_3}) \, ,$$

the sum over triple sequences $(\mathbb{M}_1;\mathbb{M}_2;\mathbb{M}_3)$. It is a "double" complex with respect to the differentials $-\delta$ and d_3 of (2.7). One has a sequence of "double" complexes (with c sufficiently large)

$$F_0 \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\sigma}'} F_1 \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\sigma}'} \cdots \to F_c \to 0$$

where the maps $\boldsymbol{\sigma}'$ are $\sum(-1)^{\deg_1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k$, the sum over $k \in M_2 - M_2'$; note the first $\boldsymbol{\sigma}' : F_0 \to F_1$ is $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ of (2.13). By (1.6), (6) and the remark to it, this sequence is exact and induces a surjective quasi-isomorphism

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} : F_0 \to \mathrm{Ker}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}' : F_1 \to F_2)\,.$$

Applying the exact functor Φ of (0.4), we obtain an exact sequence for $\mathbb{G}(K,L,M)_i := \Phi(F_i)$,

$$\mathbb{G}(K,L,M)_0 \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\sigma}'} \mathbb{G}(K,L,M)_1 \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\sigma}'} \cdots \to \mathbb{G}(K,L,M)_c \to 0\,.$$

Thus we get a surjective quasi-isomorphism

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} : \mathbb{G}(K,L,M)_0 \to \mathrm{Ker}[\boldsymbol{\sigma}' : \mathbb{G}(K,L,M)_1 \to \mathbb{G}(K,L,M)_2]\,.$$

Now $\rho(u\otimes v)$ is a cocycle in $\mathrm{Ker}[\boldsymbol{\sigma}' : \mathbb{G}(K,L,M)_1 \to \mathbb{G}(K,L,M)_2)]$. Thus we obtain the assertion by the following fact: If $f : A \to B$ is a surjective quasi-isomorphism of complexes, then the induced map $f : Z^nA \to Z^nB$ on cocycles is surjective.

(2) By definition the composition is defined by lifting the class $[u\otimes v]$ to a cohomology class in $H^0\mathbb{F}(K,L,M)$, then mapping by φ_L to $H^0\mathbb{F}(K,M)$. So the assertion follows.

(3.5) *The identity map.* Let $K = (K^m; f(M))$ be an object. For a non-decreasing sequence $M = (m_1,\cdots,m_\mu)$, $m_1 \le m_2 \le \cdots \le m_\mu$, with $\mu \ge 2$, let M' be the increasing sequence obtained by eliminating repetitions. Thus if, say, $M = (1,2,2,4,5,5)$, then $M' = (1,2,4,5)$. Set $\gamma(M) = \gamma(M')$. Assume first that M is a non-constant sequence. There is a natural surjection $\lambda : M \to M'$, and one has the corresponding diagonal extension map (1.6), (iv).

$$\lambda^* : F(K;M') \to F(\lambda^*K;M)\,;$$

this is also denoted $diag : F(M') \to F(M)$. Let $f(M) \in F(M)^{-\gamma(M)}$ be the image of $f(M') \in F(M')^{-\gamma(M)}$. We say that $f(M)$ is obtained from $f(M')$ by means of diagonal extension. If M is a constant sequence $M = (m,\cdots,m)$, we take

$$f(M) = \boldsymbol{\Delta}_M \in F(M) = F(K^m,\cdots,K^m)\,,$$

the diagonal element in (1.6), (iv).

These elements

$$f(M) = f(m_1,\cdots,m_\mu) \in F(K^{m_1},\cdots,K^{m_\mu})^{-\gamma(M)}$$

satisfy the following properties. They follow from the definition and the compatibility of λ^* with σ and φ.

(i) For each M,

$$\partial f(M) + \sum_a \boldsymbol{\varphi}_a(f(M\cup\{a\})\,) = 0$$

where a varies over $[\mathrm{in}(M),\mathrm{tm}(M)] - M = [\mathrm{in}(M'),\mathrm{tm}(M')] - M'$.

(ii) For $k = m_i$ with $k \neq m_1, m_\mu$, one has

$$\sigma_{m_i}(f(M)\,) = f(m_1, \cdots, m_i) \otimes f(m_i, \cdots, m_\mu)\,.$$

which we also write $\sigma_k(f(M)) = f(M_{\leq k}) \otimes f(M_{\geq k})$ for short, when there is no danger of confusion.

(iii) For $k = m_i$, $k \neq m_1, m_\mu$,

$$\varphi_{m_i}(f(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu)\,) = f(m_1, \cdots, \widehat{m_i}, \cdots, m_\mu)\ .$$

(iv) If $m_1 = \cdots = m_\mu = m$, then $f(m, \cdots, m) = \boldsymbol{\Delta}(m, \cdots, m) \in F(K^{m_1}, \cdots, K^{m_\mu})$.

For a free double sequence $(M; N) = (m_1, \cdots, m_\mu; n_1, \cdots, n_\nu)$ with $m_1 < \cdots < m_\mu$, $n_1 < \cdots < n_\nu$, define the element $\tilde{f}(M; N)$ in $F(M; N)^{-\gamma(M;N)}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(M; N) &= \tilde{f}(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu; n_1, \cdots, n_\nu) \\ &= \begin{cases} (-1)^{n_1} f(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu, n_1, \cdots, n_\nu) & \text{if } m_\mu = n_1 \\ 0 & \text{if } m_\mu \neq n_1\ . \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

One may simply write $\tilde{f}(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu; n_1, \cdots, n_\nu) = (-1)^{n_1}\delta_{m_\mu\, n_1} f(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu, n_1, \cdots, n_\nu)$. Note the repetition of indices can occur only in the first case, and then for m_μ and n_1. The collection $(\tilde{f}(M; N)\,)$, as $(M; N)$ varies, gives an element in $H^{1,0}(K, K)$.

(3.5.1)**Proposition.** *The element $(\tilde{f}(M; N)\,)$ is contained in $\mathbb{F}(K, K)^0$ and δ-closed.*

Proof. We verify the two conditions in (3.2). The first condition is obvious. To show the identity

$$\partial(\tilde{f}(M; N)\,) + \sum \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k(\tilde{f}(M \cup \{k\}; N)\,) + \sum \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k(\tilde{f}(M; N \cup \{k\})\,) = 0\ ,$$

there are cases $m_\mu > n_1$, $m_\mu = n_1$ and $m_\mu < n_1$. If $m_\mu > n_1$, all the three terms are zero, so the identity trivially holds. If $m_\mu = n_1$ it holds by property (i) for $f(M)$. If $m_\mu < n_1$, the first term is zero and the last two terms are

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{n_1}(\{n_1\}f(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu, n_1, n_1, \cdots, n_\nu)\,) + \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{m_\mu}(\{m_\mu\}f(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu, m_\mu, n_1, \cdots, n_\nu)\,)$$

which is zero, since by properties (ii) and (iii) above, we have

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{n_1}(f(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu, n_1, n_1, \cdots, n_\nu)\,) = \{-\gamma(M, N) + \gamma(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu, n_1)\}f(M, N)$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{m_\mu}(f(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu, m_\mu, n_1, \cdots, n_\nu)\,) = \{-\gamma(M, N) + \gamma(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu)\}f(M, N)\ .$$

(Note $\gamma(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu, n_1) - \gamma(m_1, \cdots, m_\mu) = n_1 - m_\mu - 1$.)

Let $\iota_K : K \to K$ be the morphism represented by $(\tilde{f}(M; N)\,)$. The following shows it is the identity map.

(3.6) **Proposition.** *For any morphism $u : K \to L$, one has $\iota_K \cdot u = u$. Similarly for any $u : K \to L$, $u \cdot \iota_L = u$.*

Proof. Let u be represented by $\underline{u} = (\underline{u}(M;N)) \in \mathbb{F}(K,L)^0$. Then by the definition of ρ recalled in (3.3), $\rho(\tilde{f} \otimes \underline{u})$ equals

$$\sum_{\mathrm{tm}\, N = \mathrm{in}\, \bar{N}} \tilde{f}(M;N) \otimes \underline{u}(\bar{N};R) \in \oplus F(K^M;K^N) \tilde{\otimes} F(K^{\bar{N}};L^R) \subset H^{0,2,0}(K,K,L,\{2\}) \ .$$

Here $(M;N)$ and $(\bar{N};R)$ are free double sequences. The restriction $\mathrm{tm}\, N = \mathrm{in}\, \bar{N}$ occurs since ρ acts as identity in that case, and as zero otherwise.



The element $\rho(\tilde{f} \otimes \underline{u})$ is σ-consistent (the condition (3.2), (i) is obviously satisfied), namely contained in $\mathbb{G}(K,K,L,\{2\})^1$, and d-closed (as the image of the closed element $\tilde{f} \otimes \underline{u}$).

For each pair $(M;N)$ of non-decreasing sequences $M = (m_1 \le \cdots \le m_\mu)$ and $N = (n_1 \le \cdots \le n_\nu)$ with $\mu \ge 1$, $\nu \ge 1$, one has the complex $F(M;N) = F(K^M;L^N)$ just as we obtained $F(M)$ in (3.5). To be specific, if M' (resp. N') is the sequence obtained from M (resp. N) by eliminating repetitions, and $\lambda : M \to M'$ (resp. $\mu : N \to N'$) is the natural surjection, we let

$$F(M;N) = F(K^M;L^N) := F((\lambda^* K)^M; (\mu^* L)^N) \, .$$

There is the diagonal extension map $diag : F(M';N') \to F(M;N)$. Thus we have elements $\underline{u}(M;N)$ as the image of $\underline{u}(M';N')$, and they satisfy properties similar to those for $f(M)$ in (3.5).

Let

$$W = (W(M;N;R)\,) \in \bigoplus F(K^M;K^N;L^R) \subset H^{0,1,0}(K,K,L,\emptyset)$$

where for each free triple sequence $(M;N;R)$,

$$W(M;N;R) = \underline{u}(M_\triangle N;R) := \begin{cases} (-1)^\ell \underline{u}(M,N;R) & \text{if } \mathrm{tm}\, M = \ell = \mathrm{in}\, N \ , \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathrm{tm}\, M \ne \mathrm{in}\, N \ . \end{cases}$$

The sign $_\triangle$ indicates applying diagonal extension at K^ℓ if $\mathrm{tm}\, M = \ell = \mathrm{in}\, N$ and putting sign $(-1)^\ell$. It is obvious that W is σ-consistent in the sense of (2.7.3), namely

$$\sigma_k(W(M;N;R)\,) \ = \ \begin{cases} f(M_{\le k}) \otimes W(M_{\ge k};N;R) & \text{if } k \in M - \{\mathrm{in}\, M\} \, , \\ W(M;N;R_{\le k}) \otimes f_L(R_{\ge k}) & \text{if } k \in R - \{\mathrm{tm}\, R\} \ . \end{cases}$$

Also, W is δ-closed, as can be shown by the same argument as in the proof of the above proposition.

Further one has

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(W) = \rho(\tilde{f} \otimes \underline{u}) \ .$$

Indeed, according to the definition of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ in (2.13),

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(W) = \sum_{(M;N;R)} \sum_{k} (-1)^{\deg_1 W} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(W(M;N;R)\,)$$

where k varies over $k \in N$. Since

$$\sigma_k(W(M;N;R)) = \tilde{f}(M; N_{\leq k}) \otimes \underline{u}(N_{\geq k}; R)$$

for $k \in N$, and since $\deg_1 \tilde{f}(M; N_{\leq k}) = 0$, one has

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k(W(M;N;R)) = \sigma_k(W(M;N;R))\,.$$

Thus

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(W) = \sum_{(M;N;R)} \sum_{k} \tilde{f}(M; N_{\leq k}) \otimes \underline{u}(N_{\geq k}; R)\,.$$

The right hand side coincides with $\rho(\tilde{f} \otimes \underline{u})$ in view of the description of $\rho(u \otimes v)$ in (3.3).

By Proposition (3.4), $\iota \cdot u$ is represented by $\Pi_L(W)(M;R)$, and they are:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_L(W)(M;R) &= (-1)^{\ell} \varphi_\ell(W(M;\{\ell\};R)\,) \\ &= \underline{u}(M;R)\ . \end{aligned}$$

Thus one has $\iota \cdot u = u$. The argument for the second statement is similar.

(3.7) *σ-consistent prolongation.* For $a = 1, 2$, assume given a finite sequence of objects $k \mapsto X_a^k$. Let $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ be the direct sum sequence, namely the sequence of objects given by $X^k = X_1^k \oplus X_2^k$. For a finite set of integers M with cardinality ≥ 2 and a map $\alpha : M \to \{1, 2\}$, let X_α^M denote the sequence of objects $X_{\alpha(k)}^k$ indexed by $k \in M$, and let $F(X_\alpha^M) := F(X_\alpha; M\,)$ be the corresponding complex. One also has the complex $F(X^M) = F(X; M)$ associated to the sequence X^k on M. Generalizing the map θ of (1.6), we give a quasi-isomorphism

$$\theta : \quad \bigoplus F(X_{\alpha_1}^{M_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(X_{\alpha_c}^{M_c}) \to F(X^M) \tag{3.7.a}$$

where the sum is over all segmentations $M_1, \cdots, M_c$ of M and functions $\alpha_i : M_i \to \{1, 2\}$ satisfying the following condition:

$$\text{at each} \quad k = \operatorname{tm} M_i = \operatorname{in} M_{i+1},\ 1 \leq i < c, \quad \alpha_i(k) \neq \alpha_{i+1}(k)\,. \tag{3.7.b}$$

In other words, the maps α_i take distinct values at the overlaps of the segmentation. This map θ on the summand $F(X_{\alpha_1}^{M_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(X_{\alpha_c}^{M_c})$ is obtained as follows. Let $S \subset M$ correspond to the segmentation $M_1, \cdots, M_c$. On each M_i, consider a new sequence of objects $\tilde{X}$ given by

$$\tilde{X}^k = \begin{cases} X_{\alpha(k)}^k & \text{if } k \in S\,, \\ X^k & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$

(keep the $X_{\alpha(k)}^k$ for $k \in S$ and replace it with X^k for $k \notin S$). Recall from (1.6), (3) that we have maps s and t for $X^k = X_1^k \oplus X_2^k$. Let

$$F(X_{\alpha_i}^{M_i}) \to F(\tilde{X}^{M_i}), \quad u \mapsto u'\,,$$

be the map of complexes obtained by composing (in any order) s_k or t_k (according as $\alpha(k) = 1, 2$) for $k \in \overset{\circ}{M}_i$. One has the tensor product of these maps,

$$F(X_{\alpha_1}^{M_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(X_{\alpha_c}^{M_c}) \to F(\tilde{X}^{M_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(\tilde{X}^{M_c}), \quad u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c \mapsto u_1' \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c'.$$

Compose this with the map

$$\pi : F(\tilde{X}^{M_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(\tilde{X}^{M_c}) \to F(X^M)$$

which is the composition (in any order) of π_k in (3) of (1.6) for $k \in S$. We thus have the map

$$\theta : F(X_{\alpha_1}^{M_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(X_{\alpha_c}^{M_c}) \to F(X^M)\,,$$

$\theta(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c) = \pi(u_1' \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c')$. Taking the sum of these, we have the map θ of (3.7.a).

Note that θ is compatible with the maps σ and φ. The compatibility with σ means, for $u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c \in F(X_{\alpha_1}^{M_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(X_{\alpha_c}^{M_c})$, one has

$$\sigma_k(\theta(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c)\,) = \theta(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_i) \otimes \theta(u_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c)$$

if $k = \mathrm{tm}(M_i)$, and

$$\sigma_k(\theta(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c)\,) = \sum \theta(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{i-1} \otimes u') \otimes \theta(u'' \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c)$$

if $k \in \overset{\circ}{M}_i$ and $\sigma_k(u_i) = \sum u' \otimes u''$ with $u' \in F((M_i)_{\leq k})$, $u'' \in F((M_i)_{\geq k})$.

In particular, an element $u \in F(X_\alpha^M)$ for $\alpha : M \to \{1, 2\}$ (namely an element in a summand with $c = 1$) – called a *primary component* – gives rise to the element $u' \in F(X^M)$.

It is convenient to introduce a variant of the complex $\oplus F(X^M)$ in (2.3). We consider the group, called the *primary part*, defined by

$$\bigoplus_{(\alpha, M)} F(X_\alpha^M)\,,$$

the sum over sequences M and $\alpha : M \to \{1, 2\}$; this is a double complex where the two differentials are

$$\partial : F(X_\alpha^M) \to F(X_\alpha^M)$$

and the sum of

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_k : F(X_\alpha^M) \to F(X_{\alpha'}^{M - \{k\}})$$

for $k \in \overset{\circ}{M}$ where α' is the restriction of α to $M - \{k\}$. From this one obtains a complex with degree given by $\deg_1(u) = |u| + \gamma(M)$ and differential $\delta = \partial + \boldsymbol{\varphi}$.

An element $(f(\alpha, M))$ of in $\oplus F(X_\alpha^M)$ is said *σ-consistent* if for each pair (α, M) and $k \in \overset{\circ}{M}$, one has

$$\sigma_k(f(\alpha, M)\,) = f(\alpha_{\leq k}, M_{\leq k}) \otimes f(\alpha_{\geq k}, M_{\geq k})\ ,$$

where $\alpha_{\leq k}$ (resp. $\alpha_{\geq k}$) is the restriction of α to $M_{\leq k}$ (resp. $M_{\geq k}$)). We say $(f(\alpha, M))$ is δ-closed if it is closed in the complex $\oplus F(X_\alpha^M)$, namely if for each (α, M),

$$\partial f(\alpha, M) + \sum_{(k, \tilde{\alpha})} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k\,(f(\tilde{\alpha}, M \cup \{k\})) = 0$$

where the sum is over elements $k \in [\mathrm{in}\, M, \mathrm{tm}\, M] - M$ and maps $\tilde{\alpha} : M \cup \{k\} \to \{1,2\}$ extending α. One has:

(3.7.1)**Proposition.** *(1) Assume given a σ-consistent set of elements of first degree zero, $(f(\alpha, M))$ in $\oplus F(X_\alpha^M)$. Define elements $f(M) \in F(X^M)$ by*

$$f(M) = \theta\left(\sum f(\alpha_1, M_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes f(\alpha_c, M_c)\right) ,$$

the sum over segmentations $M_1, \cdots, M_c$ and functions $\alpha_i : M_i \to \{1,2\}$ satisfying (3.7.b). Then $f(M) \in F(X^M)$ is σ-consistent, namely it satisfies for $k \in \overset{\circ}{M}$, $\sigma_k(f(M)\,) = f(M_{\leq k}) \otimes f(M_{\geq k})$ in $F(M_{\leq k}) \otimes F(M_{\geq k})$. We call $(f(M)\,)$ the σ-consistent prolongation *of $(f(\alpha, M)\,)$.*

(2) Assume in addition the element $(f(\alpha, M)\,) \in \oplus F(X_\alpha^M)$ is δ-closed. Then $(f(M)) \in \oplus F(X^M)$ is δ-closed.

Proof. (1) This follows from the compatibility of σ with the map θ.

(2) Let $M_1, \cdots, M_c$ be a segmentation of M, and $\alpha_i : M_i \to \{1,2\}$ be functions satisfying the condition (3.7.b). Assume given elements $u_i \in F(X_{\alpha_i}^{M_i})$. Then one has $u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c \in F(X_{\alpha_1}^{M_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(X_{\alpha_c}^{M_c})$. One verifies the following identities using the definitions and the compatibility of the map φ with the decomposition (3.7.a):

$$\partial(\,\theta(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c)\,) = \theta\left(\sum_i (-1)^{\nu_i} u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{i-1} \otimes (\partial u_i) \otimes u_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c\right)$$

with $\nu_i = \sum_{j>i} |u_j|$, and

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,(\theta(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c)\,) = \theta\left(\sum (-1)^{\mu_i} u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{i-1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\varphi}(u_i) \otimes u_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c\right)$$

with $\mu_i = \sum_{j<i} (\deg_1 u_j) + \sum_{j>i} |u_j|$. The latter is shown by a repeated application of the equality (which follows from the definition)

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\theta(u \otimes v)) = (-1)^{|v|}\theta(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(u) \otimes v) + (-1)^{\deg_1 u}(u \otimes \boldsymbol{\varphi}(v)\,) .$$

Thus if $\deg_1(u_i) = 0$ for $i < c$, then $\nu_i = \mu_i$ for all i and

$$\delta\,(\,\theta(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c)) = \theta\left(\sum_i (-1)^{\nu_i} u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta(u_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c\right) .$$

Applying these to the elements $u_i = f(\alpha_i, M_i)$, the assertion follows.

(3.7.2) **Definition.** Let (K_1^m) and (K_2^m) be finite sequences of objects indexed by $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If $(f(\alpha, M)) \in \oplus F(K_\alpha^M)$ is of degree zero, σ-consistent and δ-closed, so that the element $(f(M)) \in \oplus F(K^M)$ given by the above procedure is σ-consistent and δ-closed, $(K^m; f(M))$ is called the C-diagram obtained by means of σ-consistent prolongation from $(f(\alpha, M)\,)$.

If $(K_1, f_{K_1}(M))$ and $(K_2, f_{K_2}(M))$ are C-diagrams, and if one defines elements $f(\alpha, M) \in F(K_\alpha^M)$ by setting $f(\underline{1}, M) = f_{K_1}(M)$, $f(\underline{2}, M) = f_{K_2}(M)$ for constant functions $\alpha = \underline{1}$ and $\underline{2}$ and $f(\alpha, M) = 0$ otherwise, they give a set of σ-consistent and δ-closed elements (we then say that $(f(\alpha, M)\,)$ is *split*). The resulting C-diagram is called the *direct sum* of the C-diagrams K_1 and K_2.

Remark. (1) In all the examples we encounter, the set of elements $(f(\alpha, M))$ is either split, or the following weaker condition will be satisfied: $f(\alpha, M) = 0$ unless α is non-decreasing, i.e., of the form $\alpha = (1, \cdots, 1, 2, \cdots, 2)$.

(2) It is clear that one can generalize the argument to the case K is the direct sum of more than two objects.

(3.8) With regard to the map θ in the previous subsection, we state a proposition which will be used in the rest of this section. Recall that we have $X^m = X_1^m \oplus X_2^m$, and for a function $\alpha : M \to \{1, 2\}$, we have the complexes $F(X_\alpha^M) = F(\alpha, M)$ and $F(X^M) = F(M)$.

If I is a finite set of integers, $\alpha : I \to \{1, 2\}$ is a function, and $I_1, \cdots, I_r$ is a segmentation of I, then for elements $u_i \in F(\alpha, I_i)$, $i = 1, \cdots, r$, we have the condition of proper intersection for $\{u_i\}$, with respect to the sequence $m \mapsto X^m_{\alpha(m)}$.

Let I be a finite set of integers, $T \subset S \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$, and $I_1, \cdots, I_r$ (resp. $J_1, \cdots, J_s$) be the segmentation of I by S (resp. T). Thus there are integers $1 = i_1 < \cdots < i_s < i_{s+1} = r + 1$ such that

$$J_j = I_{i_j} \cup \cdots \cup I_{i_{j+1}-1}\,, \qquad \text{for } j = 1, \cdots, s.$$

Let $\alpha_i : I_i \to \{1, 2\}$, $i = 1, \cdots, r$ be functions such that if $k = \mathrm{tm}(I_i) \in T$ (resp. $\in S - T$) then $\alpha_i(k) = \alpha_{i+1}(k)$ (resp. $\alpha_i(k) \neq \alpha_{i+1}(k)$) (in other words, α_i are consistent on T, and inconsistent on $S - T$). Assume given elements $u_i \in F(\alpha_i, I_i)$ for $i = 1, \cdots, r$.

Before giving the statement, we give a few remarks. Note we have the maps

$$\theta : F(\alpha_{i_j}, I_{i_j}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(\alpha_{i_{j+1}-1}, I_{i_{j+1}-1}) \to F(J_j)$$

for $j = 1, \cdots, s$.

Assume that $k < \ell$ and $i_k, \cdots, i_\ell$ are consecutive integers so that $J_j = I_{i_j}$ for $j = k, \cdots, \ell$. Then $\mathbb{I} := I_{i_k} \cup \cdots \cup I_{i_\ell} = J_k \cup \cdots \cup J_\ell$, and the functions α_i on I_i glue to define a function α on $\mathbb{I}$. By what we said before, it then makes sense to ask whether the set $\{u_{i_k}, \cdots, u_{i_\ell}\}$ is properly intersecting.

By the property (5) of proper intersection in (1.7.2), we have:

Proposition. *Under the above hypothesis, assume that the elements $u_i \in F(\alpha_i, I_i)$ satisfy the following condition:*

If $k < \ell$ and $i_k, \cdots, i_\ell$ are consecutive integers, then $\{u_{i_k}, \cdots, u_{i_\ell}\}$ is properly intersecting. Then if we set $v_j = \theta(u_{i_j} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{i_{j+1}-1}) \in F(I_i)$ for $j = 1, \cdots, s$, the set $\{v_1, \cdots, v_s\}$ is properly intersecting.

(3.9) *The additivity of $\mathbb{G}(K, L)$.* Assume given two sequences of objects $K_a = (K_a^m)$ for $a = 1, 2$, and a set of elements $(f(\alpha, M)) \in \oplus F(K_\alpha^M)$ of first degree zero which is σ-consistent and δ-closed, see (3.7). Let $(K^m = K_1^m \oplus K_2^m; f(M))$ with $f(M) \in F(K^M)$ be the C-diagram obtained by σ-consistent prolongation (3.7).

Let $(L; g(N))$ be another C-diagram. As a variant of the complex $H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L)$ in (2.6), consider the "double" complex (the P in the notation indicates "primary part")

$$PH^{\bullet\bullet} = PH^{\bullet\bullet}(K_1 \oplus K_2; L) := \oplus [F(A)]_f\,,$$

the sum over $A = (\alpha, \mathbb{M}; \mathbb{N})$, where $(\mathbb{M}; \mathbb{N})$ is a double sequence and $\alpha : M \to \{1, 2\}$ is a function, and $F(A) = F(\alpha, \mathbb{M}; \mathbb{N}) := F(K_\alpha^{\mathbb{M}}; L^{\mathbb{N}})$; we denote by $[F(A)]_f$ a distinguished subcomplex.

The constraint for the distinguished subcomplex $[F(A)]_f$ is given by modifying that in (2.6) as follows. Let $I = M \amalg N$, the segmentation $I_1, \cdots, I_c$, and $\mathbb{I}$ be the same as just before (2.6.1). Take a function $\alpha : [-w, \mathrm{tm}(M)] \to \{1, 2\}$ that takes the same values as $\alpha : M \to \{1, 2\}$ on M. Let $\{J_j\}$ be a set of almost disjoint sub-intervals $\mathbb{I}$ such that for each j, one has either $J_j \subset [-w, \mathrm{in}(M)]$ or $J_j \subset [\mathrm{tm}(N), w]$, and set

$$f(J_j) = \begin{cases} f(\alpha, J_j) & \text{if } J_j \subset [-w, \mathrm{in}(M)]\,, \\ g(J_j) & \text{if } J_j \subset [\mathrm{tm}(N), w]\,. \end{cases}$$

Then one has a condition of constraint $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbb{I}; [1, c]; \{J_j\}; \{f(J_j)\})$, and the corresponding distinguished subcomplex $[F(A)]_{\mathcal{C}}$. Consider all possible functions α extending M and all possible sets of sub-intervals $\{J_j\}$, and take the intersection of the corresponding distinguished subcomplexes, and denote it by $[F(A)]_f$. The constraint has been so chosen that the operations $\boldsymbol{\partial}$, $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, and $\mathbf{f}_K$, $\mathbf{f}_L$ below are defined on $[F(A)]_f$.

The bigrading $PH^{a,b}$ is given by $a = \deg_1(u) + 1 = |u| + \gamma(M; N) + 1$, $b = \tau(u)$ as in (2.6). The first differential is $\boldsymbol{\partial} + \boldsymbol{\varphi}$, where $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ is the sum of

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_k : [F(\alpha, \mathbb{M}; \mathbb{N})]_f \to [F(\alpha, \mathbb{M} - \{k\}; \mathbb{N})]_f$$

for $k \in \overset{\circ}{M} - (M' \cup \{\mathrm{in}(M)\})$ and

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_k : [F(\alpha, \mathbb{M}; \mathbb{N})]_f \to [F(\alpha, \mathbb{M}; \mathbb{N} - \{k\})]_f$$

for $k \in \overset{\circ}{N} - (N' \cup \{\mathrm{tm}(N)\})$. The second differential is $d' = \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \mathbf{f}_K + \mathbf{f}_L$, where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and $\mathbf{f}_L$ are the same as in (2.6), and $\mathbf{f}_K$ is the sum of

$$[F(\alpha', \mathbb{M}; \mathbb{N})]_f \ni u \mapsto \{|\tau(u)| + 1\} f(\alpha, P) \otimes u \in [F(\alpha' \circ \alpha, P \circ \mathbb{M}; \mathbb{N})]_f$$

for all pairs (α', P) with $\mathrm{tm}(P) = \mathrm{in}(M) = \ell$ and $\alpha'(\ell) = \alpha(\ell)$, where $P \circ \mathbb{M} := (P \cup M | \{\ell\} \cup M')$ as before and $\alpha' \circ \alpha : P \cup M \to \{1, 2\}$ is the function that extends both α' and α. From now we will write $F(A)$ for $[F(A)]_f$, except when we need to exercise caution.

For the "double" complex $PH^{\bullet\bullet}(K_1 \oplus K_2, L)$, we have an analogue of the claim (2.6.2), so that we can form the complex

$$P\mathbb{G}(K_1 \oplus K_2, L) := \Phi PH^{\bullet\bullet}(K_1 \oplus K_2; L)\,.$$

We shall study the relationship to the complex $\mathbb{G}(K, L) := \Phi PH^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L)$. Recall that an element u of $\mathbb{G}(K, L)$ is a σ-consistent collection $u = (u(M; N))$ with $u(M; N) \in F(K^M; L^N)$ for free double sequences $(M; N)$. As in (3.7), one has a quasi-isomorphism

$$\theta : \bigoplus F(\alpha_1, K^{M_1}) \otimes F(\alpha_2, K^{M_2}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(\alpha_r, K^{M_r}; L^N) \to F(K^M; L^N)$$

where the sum is over segmentations $M_1, \cdots, M_r$ of M and functions $\alpha_i : M_i \to \{1, 2\}$ taking distinct values at the overlaps of the segmentation, $M_i \cap M_{i+1}$, $1 \leq i < r$. We call the summands $\oplus F(\alpha, K^M; L^N)$ the *primary part*.

(3.9.1)**Proposition.** *There is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes*

$$\mathcal{P} : P\mathbb{G}(K_1 \oplus K_2, L) \to \mathbb{G}(K, L)\,.$$

Proof. To an element u of $P\mathbb{G}(K_1 \oplus K_2, L)$, namely for a collection $u = (u(\alpha, M; N))$, $u(\alpha, M; N) \in F(\alpha, K^M; L^N)$ for free double sequences $(M; N)$ and $\alpha : M \to \{1, 2\}$ satisfying the σ-consistency, associate an element $v = (v(M; N))$ in $\oplus F(K^M; L^N)$ given by

$$v(M; N) = \theta \left(\sum f(\alpha_1, M_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes f(\alpha_c, M_c) \otimes u(\alpha', M'; N) \right)$$

the sum over segmentations $(M_1, \cdots, M_c, M')$ of M and functions $\alpha_i : M_i \to \{1, 2\}$, $\alpha' : M' \to \{1, 2\}$ that take distinct values on the overlaps of the segmentation. By (3.8), one has $v(M; N) \in [F(K^M; L^N)]_f$. Further v is σ-consistent (the condition in (3.2) is clearly satisfied), so it is an element of $\mathbb{G}(K, L)$. Set $\mathcal{P}(u) = v$.

The assignment $u \mapsto \mathcal{P}(u)$ is a map of complexes, since by the same computation as in the proof of (3.7.1), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta(\theta\,(\textstyle\sum f(\alpha_1, M_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes f(\alpha_c, M_c) \otimes u(\alpha', M'; N))\,) \\ = \;& \theta\,(\textstyle\sum f(\alpha_1, M_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes f(\alpha_c, M_c) \otimes \delta(u(\alpha', M'; N))\,)\,, \end{aligned}$$

in other words, $\delta(\mathcal{P}(u)) = \mathcal{P}(\delta u)$.

We next show that $\mathcal{P}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. On the "double" complex $H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L)$ consider a filtration by subcomplexes indexed by pairs of integers (m, n)

$$F^{m,n} H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L) = \bigoplus_{\mathrm{tm}(M) \le m,\, \mathrm{in}(N) \ge n} F(K^{\mathbb{M}}; L^{\mathbb{N}})\,.$$

One has an analogue of (2.6.2) for $F^{m,n} H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L)$ with the same proof, so one can apply the operation Φ to obtain a subcomplex

$$F^{m,n}\mathbb{G}(K, L) := \Phi\,(F^{m,n} H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L)) \subset \mathbb{G}(K, L)\,,$$

giving a filtration of $\mathbb{G}(K, L)$ by subcomplexes. Since the operation Φ is exact, a subquotient in the filtration is

$$\mathrm{Gr}_F^{m,n}\, \mathbb{G}(K, L) = \Phi\,(\mathrm{Gr}_F^{m,n}\, H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L))\ ,$$

where

$$\mathrm{Gr}_F^{m,n}\, H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L) \bigoplus_{\mathrm{tm}(M) = m,\, \mathrm{in}(N) = n} F(K^{\mathbb{M}}; L^{\mathbb{N}})$$

is a "double" complex with differentials $-\delta$ and d', where $\delta = \partial + \sum \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k$, the sum over $k \in M - (M' \cup \{m\})$ and $k \in N - (N' \cup \{n\})$.

On $\mathrm{Gr}_F^{m,n}\, H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L)$ we consider the filtration analogous to the one in the proof of (2.8), indexed by (a, b) with $a \le m$ and $b \ge n$,

$$\mathcal{F}(a, b)\, \mathrm{Gr}_F^{m,n}\, H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L) = \bigoplus_{\mathrm{in}\, M \le a, \mathrm{tm}\, N \ge b} F(K^{\mathbb{M}}; L^{\mathbb{N}})\,.$$

We show that the graded quotient

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{a,b}\, \mathrm{Gr}_F^{m,n}\, H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L) = \bigoplus F(K^{\mathbb{M}}; L^{\mathbb{N}})\,,$$

the sum over $(\mathbb{M}; \mathbb{N})$ satisfying $\mathrm{in}\, M = a \le \mathrm{tm}\, M = m$, $\mathrm{in}\, N = n \le \mathrm{tm}\, N = b$, is acyclic unless $(a, b) = (m, n)$. Indeed consider the filtration Fil_c on it given by $Fil_c = \oplus F(K^{\mathbb{M}}; L^{\mathbb{N}})$, the sum

over $(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N})$ satisfying $|M|+|N|\leq c$. Then the graded quotient $\mathrm{Gr}^c_{Fil}\,\mathrm{Gr}^{a,b}_{\mathcal{F}}\,\mathrm{Gr}^{m,n}_F$ is a sum of the total complexes of the complexes of the form

$$0\to F(\mathcal{I}|\emptyset)\xrightarrow{\sigma}\bigoplus_{|S|=1}F(\mathcal{I}|S)\xrightarrow{\sigma}\bigoplus_{|S|=2}F(\mathcal{I}|S)\xrightarrow{\sigma}\cdots\to F(\mathcal{I}|\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{I}})\to 0$$

where $\mathcal{I}=M\amalg N$ and S varies over subsets of $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{I}}$. When $a<m$ or $b>n$, we have $|\mathcal{J}|\geq 3$, so the total complex is acyclic by Lemma (2.8.1).

Thus the natural surjection

$$\mathrm{Gr}^{m,n}_F\,H^{\bullet\bullet}(K,L)\to\mathrm{Gr}^{m,n}_{\mathcal{F}}\,\mathrm{Gr}^{m,n}_F\,H^{\bullet\bullet}(K,L)=F(K^m;L^n)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism; consequently the induced surjection

$$\mathrm{Gr}^{m,n}_F\,\mathbb{G}(K,L)\to F(K^m;L^n)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

We have a similarly defined filtration on the "double" complex $PH^{\bullet\bullet}(K_1\oplus K_2,L)$:

$$F^{m,n}PH^{\bullet\bullet}(K_1\oplus K_2,L)=\bigoplus_{\mathrm{tm}(M)\leq m,\mathrm{in}(N)\geq n}F(\alpha,K^{\mathbb{M}};L^{\mathbb{N}})\,,$$

and a proof parallel to the one above shows that there is a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Gr}^{m,n}_F\,P\mathbb{G}(K_1\oplus K_2,L)\to F(K_1^m;L^n)\oplus F(K_2^m;L^n).$$

The map $\mathcal{P}:P\mathbb{G}(K_1\oplus K_2,L)\to\mathbb{G}(K,L)$ respects the filtrations $F^{m,n}$, so there is an induced map on $\mathrm{Gr}^{m,n}_F$, and we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathrm{Gr}^{m,n}_F\,P\mathbb{G}(K_1\oplus K_2,L) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} & \mathrm{Gr}^{m,n}_F\,\mathbb{G}(K,L)\\ \downarrow & & \downarrow\\ F(K_1^m;L^n)\oplus F(K_2^m;L^n) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & F(K^m;L^n)\end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the above quasi-isomorphisms. Since the lower horizontal map θ is a quasi-isomorphism, it follows that the upper horizontal arrow $\mathcal{P}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Hence the map $\mathcal{P}:P\mathbb{G}(K_1\oplus K_2,L)\to\mathbb{G}(K,L)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Assume now given two sequences of objects $L_a=(L_a^m)$ for $a=1,2$, and a set of elements $(g(\alpha,N))\in\oplus F(L_\alpha^N)$ of first degree zero which is σ-consistent and δ-closed; let $(L^m=L_1^m\oplus L_2^m;g(N))$ with $g(N)\in F(L^N)$ be the C-diagram given by σ-consistent prolongation. Let $K=(K^m;f(M))$ be another C-diagram. We define the "double" complex

$$PH^{\bullet\bullet}=PH^{\bullet\bullet}(K;L_1\oplus L_2)$$

as the sum $\oplus[F(A)]_f$; the sum is over $A=(\mathbb{M};\alpha,\mathbb{N})$, where $(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N})$ is a double sequence, $\alpha:N\to\{1,2\}$ is a function, and $F(A)=F(K^{\mathbb{M}};L_\alpha^{\mathbb{N}})$; by $[F(A)]_f$ we denote an appropriately defined distinguished subcomplex. One makes it into a "double" complex as one did with $PH^{\bullet\bullet}(K_1\oplus K_2;L)$, and then form the complex $P\mathbb{G}(K;L_1\oplus L_2):=\Phi PH^{\bullet\bullet}(K;L_1\oplus L_2)$. With this we have:

(3.9.2)**Proposition.** *There is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes*

$$\mathcal{P} : P\mathbb{G}(K, L_1 \oplus L_2) \to \mathbb{G}(K, L)\,.$$

Proof. Take an element u of $P\mathbb{G}(K, L_1 \oplus L_2)$, namely a σ-consistent collection of elements $u = (u(M;\alpha, N))$, $u(\alpha, M; N) \in F(K^M; \alpha, L^N)$ for free double sequences $(M;N)$ and $\alpha : N \to \{1,2\}$, and define an element $v = (v(M;N))$ in $\oplus F(K^M; L^N)$ given by

$$v(M;N) = \theta\left(\sum (-1)^k u(M;\alpha', N') \otimes g(\alpha_1, N_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes g(\alpha_c, N_c)\right)\,,$$

$$\text{with} \quad k = (\deg_1 u(M;\alpha', N')\,) \cdot (\gamma(N_1) + \cdots + \gamma(N_c)\,)\,,$$

the sum over segmentations $(N', N_1, \cdots, N_c)$ of N and functions $\alpha_i : N_i \to \{1,2\}$, $\alpha' : N' \to \{1,2\}$ that take distinct values on the overlaps of the segmentation. Here

$$\theta : \bigoplus F(K^M; \alpha', L^{N'}) \otimes F(\alpha_1, L^{N_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F(\alpha_c, N_c) \to F(K^M; L^N)$$

is the quasi-isomorphism as in (3.7). Notice the sign assigned for each term. We have $v(M;N) \in [F(K^M; L^N)]_f$ by (3.8), thus $v \in H^{\bullet\bullet}(K; L)$; also v is σ-consistent, namely $v \in \mathbb{G}(K, L)$. Set $\mathcal{P}(u) = v$. One verifies that $\mathcal{P}$ is a map of complexes and is a quasi-isomorphism as in the proof for the previous proposition.

We next specialize to the split case.

(3.9.3)**Proposition.** *Let $(K_1, f_{K_1}(M))$ and $(K_2, f_{K_2}(M))$ be C-diagrams, and $(K; f(M))$ be the direct sum of them, see (3.7.2). Then there is a canonical quasi-isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{P} : \mathbb{G}(K_1, L) \oplus \mathbb{G}(K_2, L) \to \mathbb{G}(K, L)\,.$$

Similarly, one has a canonical quasi-isomorphism $\mathbb{G}(K, L_1) \oplus \mathbb{G}(K, L_2) \to \mathbb{G}(K, L)$.

Proof. In the first case, one has an inclusion $H^{\bullet\bullet}(K_1, L) \oplus H^{\bullet\bullet}(K_2, L) \to PH^{\bullet\bullet}(K_1 \oplus K_2, L)$. Indeed each summand $[F(K_1^{\mathbb{M}}; L^{\mathbb{N}})]_f$ maps into $[F(K^{\mathbb{M}}; L^{\mathbb{N}})]_f$ by (3.8). Composing it with the map $\mathcal{P} : PH^{\bullet\bullet}(K_1 \oplus K_2, L) \to H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L)$ in (3.9.1), we obtain a map

$$H^{\bullet\bullet}(K_1, L) \oplus H^{\bullet\bullet}(K_2, L) \to H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L)\,.$$

It induces a map $\mathbb{G}(K_1, L) \oplus \mathbb{G}(K_2, L) \to \mathbb{G}(K, L)$. This is shown to be a quasi-isomorphism by an argument parallel to that for (3.9.1). The proof for the latter case is similar.

Remarks. • We refer to the maps $\mathcal{P}$ in (3.9.1), (3.9.2) and (3.9.3) as the σ-consistent prolongation.

• It is obvious how to generalize the above to the case where the object K (or L) is the direct sum of a finite number of objects, $K_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_r$.

• Combining (3.9.1) and (3.9.2), one can consider σ-consistent prolongation when $K = K_1 \oplus K_2$ as in (3.9.1), and $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$ as in (3.9.2). Then we should consider a σ-consistent set of elements

$$u(\alpha, M; \beta, N) \in F(K_\alpha^M; L_\beta^N)$$

for free double sequences $(M;N)$ and functions α on M, β on N.

(3.10) *The additivity of* $\mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_n|\Sigma)$. Let $n \geq 2$, $I = [1, n]$, and $K_1, \cdots, K_n$ be a sequences of C-diagrams. For $\Sigma \subset (1, n)$, we have the complex $\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma) = \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_n|\Sigma)$, as defined in (2.10). For this, we give statements of additivity, generalizing (3.9). Assume i is an integer with $1 \leq i \leq n$, and we are given a direct sum decomposition $K_i = K_i' \oplus K_i''$.

Proposition. *(1) For any i with $1 \leq i \leq n$, there is a map of complexes*

$$s_i : \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i', \cdots, K_n|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i, \cdots, K_n|\Sigma) \tag{3.10.a}$$

(similarly $t_i : \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i'', \cdots, K_n|\Sigma) \to \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i, \cdots, K_n|\Sigma)$). The map s_i is compatible with ρ_m (for $m \in \Sigma$, allowing $m = i$), namely the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i', \cdots, K_n|\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{s_i} & \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i, \cdots, K_n|\Sigma) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_m} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_m} \\ \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i', \cdots, K_n|\Sigma - \{m\}) & \xrightarrow{s_i} & \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i, \cdots, K_n|\Sigma - \{m\}) \end{array}$$

commutes. It is also compatible with Π_k (for $k \notin \Sigma$, allowing $k = i$), namely the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_n|\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{s_i} & \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_n|\Sigma) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Pi_k} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Pi_k} \\ \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, \widehat{K_k}, \cdots, K_n|\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{s_i} & \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, \widehat{K_k}, \cdots, K_n|\Sigma)\,. \end{array}$$

Also, in the sense as in (1.6),(3), s_i and s_j commute for $i \neq j$, and s_i is compatible with the constraint maps.

(2) In case $i \in \Sigma$, letting $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma \cap (1, i)$ and $\Sigma_2 = \Sigma \cap (i, n)$, one has a map of complexes

$$\pi_i(K_i', K_i'') : \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i'|\Sigma_1) \otimes \mathbb{G}(K_i'', \cdots, K_n|\Sigma_2) \to \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i, \cdots, K_n|\Sigma)\,. \tag{3.10.b}$$

It is compatible with ρ_m (for $m \in \Sigma$ with $m \neq i$), namely the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i'|\Sigma_1) \otimes \mathbb{G}(K_i'', \cdots, K_n|\Sigma_2) & \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i, \cdots, K_n|\Sigma) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1 \otimes \rho_m} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_m} \\ \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i'|\Sigma_1) \otimes \mathbb{G}(K_i'', \cdots, K_n|\Sigma_2 - \{m\}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i, \cdots, K_n|\Sigma - \{m\}) \end{array}$$

(the diagram is for $m > i$). It is compatible with Π_k for $k \notin \Sigma$. Also, π_i and π_j commute for $i \neq j$, and s_i and π_j commute (cf. (1.6),(3)).

(3) Assume $i \notin \Sigma$. Then the map

$$\theta_i(K_i', K_i'') : \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i', \cdots, K_n|\Sigma_1) \oplus \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i'', \cdots, K_n|\Sigma_2) \to \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i, \cdots, K_n|\Sigma)\,,$$

defined as the sum of the maps s_i and t_i, is a quasi-isomorphism.

(4) Assume $i \in \Sigma$. Then the map

$$\begin{array}{rl} \theta_i(K_i', K_i'') : & \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i', \cdots, K_n|\Sigma) \oplus \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i'', \cdots, K_n|\Sigma) \\ & \oplus \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i'|\Sigma_1) \otimes \mathbb{G}(K_i'', \cdots, K_n|\Sigma_2) \\ & \oplus \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i''|\Sigma_1) \otimes \mathbb{G}(K_i', \cdots, K_n|\Sigma_2) \\ \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}(K_1, \cdots, K_i, \cdots, K_n|\Sigma)\,, \end{array}$$

defined as the sum of the maps s_i, t_i, $\pi_i(K_i', K_i'')$, and $\pi_i(K_i'', K_i')$, is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. (1) First assume $\Sigma = \emptyset$ and $i = 1$ or n. If $n = 2$ the map s_i was constructed in the previous subsection (3.9.3), and the case $n \geq 3$ is similar.

Next assume $\Sigma = \emptyset$ and $1 < i < n$. For simplicity, we consider the case $n = 3$, $\Sigma = \emptyset$ and $i = 2$; thus we have $K_2 = K_2' \oplus K_2''$. Recall that the "triple" complex $H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(K_1; K_2; K_3)$ is the sum

$$\bigoplus [F(K_1{}^{\mathbb{M}_1}; K_2{}^{\mathbb{M}_2}; K_3{}^{\mathbb{M}_3})\,]_f$$

over triple sequences $(\mathbb{M}_1; \mathbb{M}_2; \mathbb{M}_3)$. Here $[-]_f$ denotes the distinguished complex with respect to $\{f_{K_1}(P)\}$ and $\{f_{K_3}(Q)\}$, see (2.6) and (2.7). Using the notation before (2.6.a), it is generated by $u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_c$ with $u_i \in F(K_1, K_2, K_3; I_i)$ such that

$$\{\{f_{K_1}(J_j)\}, u_1, \cdots, u_c, \{f_{K_3}(J_j)\}\} \quad \text{is properly intersecting.} \tag{3.10.c}$$

The subcomplex $[F(K_1{}^{\mathbb{M}_1}; K_2'{}^{\mathbb{M}_2}; K_3{}^{\mathbb{M}_3})\,]_f$ is also given by the same condition, except then $u_i \in F(K_1, K_2', K_3; I_i)$. For each $(\mathbb{M}_1; \mathbb{M}_2; \mathbb{M}_3)$, one has the map obtained by composing s_i for $i \in M_2$,

$$s : F(K_1{}^{\mathbb{M}_1}; K_2'{}^{\mathbb{M}_2}; K_3{}^{\mathbb{M}_3}) \to F(K_1{}^{\mathbb{M}_1}; K_2{}^{\mathbb{M}_2}; K_3{}^{\mathbb{M}_3})\,;$$

it induces a map between the distinguished subcomplexes

$$s : [F(K_1{}^{\mathbb{M}_1}; K_2'{}^{\mathbb{M}_2}; K_3{}^{\mathbb{M}_3})]_f \to [F(K_1{}^{\mathbb{M}_1}; K_2{}^{\mathbb{M}_2}; K_3{}^{\mathbb{M}_3})]_f\,.$$

because the condition (3.10.c) is respected by the map s by (2) in (1.7.2). Taking the sum over $(\mathbb{M}_1; \mathbb{M}_2; \mathbb{M}_3)$, we obtain a degree preserving map

$$s : H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(K_1; K_2'; K_3) \to H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(K_1; K_2; K_3)\,.$$

This is a map of "triple" complexes because (a) s is compatible with ∂ since s is a map of complexes, (b) s is compatible with φ and σ since s_i compatible with φ and σ by (1.7.2), and (c) s is compatible with with $\mathbf{f}_{K_1}$ and $\mathbf{f}_{K_3}$, since s_i is compatible with tensor product. Taking the operation Φ and then Tot as in (2.7), one obtains a map of complexes

$$s : \mathbb{G}(K_1, K_2', K_3) \to \mathbb{G}(K_1, K_2, K_3)\,.$$

If n is arbitrary and $\Sigma = \emptyset$, the argument is the same.

When Σ is non-empty and $i \notin \Sigma$, we slightly extend the argument as follows. Assume, say, $n = 4$, $\Sigma = \{3\}$ and $i = 2$ (the general case is the same). Then one already has given the map $s : H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(K_1, K_2', K_3) \to H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(K_1, K_2, K_3)$. We claim that there is a map

$$s \otimes 1 : H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(K_1, K_2', K_3)\tilde{\otimes}H^{\bullet\bullet}(K_3, K_4) \to H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(K_1, K_2, K_3)\tilde{\otimes}H^{\bullet\bullet}(K_3, K_4)\,.$$

This holds because the condition of constraint defining $H^{\bullet\bullet}\tilde{\otimes}H^{\bullet\bullet}$ in (2.10), for either Case (i) or (ii), is respected by the map s by (1.7.2). This induces the map as desired $s \otimes 1 : \mathbb{G}(K_1, K_2', K_3)\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}(K_3, K_4) \to \mathbb{G}(K_1, K_2, K_3)\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}(K_3, K_4)$.

When Σ is non-empty and $i \in \Sigma$, say $n = 3$, $\Sigma = \{2\}$ and $i = 2$, we argue as follows. We have the map of (3.9.3), $s : H^{\bullet\bullet}(K_1; K'{}_2) \to H^{\bullet\bullet}(K_1; K_2)$, and similarly $s : H^{\bullet\bullet}(K_2'; K_3) \to H^{\bullet\bullet}(K_2; K_3)$. For simplicity we will drop the double or triple dots for H. They induce the map

$$s \otimes s : H(K_1; K'{}_2)\tilde{\otimes}H(K_2'; K_3) \to H(K_1; K_2)\tilde{\otimes}H(K_2; K_3)$$

since the condition defining $H\tilde{\otimes}H$ is respected by the map $s\otimes s$ by (3.8). It induces the map

$$\mathbb{G}(K_1,K'_2)\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}(K'_2,K_3)\to\mathbb{G}(K_1,K_2)\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}(K_2,K_3)\,.$$

The compatibility with ρ_m and Π_k is obvious from the definitions.

(2) Assume $n=3$, $\Sigma=\{2\}$ and $i=2$ (the general case being similar). We have the maps $s: H(K_1;K'_2)\to H(K_1;K_2)$ and $t: H(K''_2;K_3)\to H(K_2;K_3)$. They induce the map

$$s\otimes t: H(K_1;K'_2)\tilde{\otimes}H(K''_2;K_3)\to H(K_1;K_2)\tilde{\otimes}H(K_2;K_3)$$

since the condition defining $H\tilde{\otimes}H$ is respected by the map $s\otimes s$ by (3.8). It induces the map

$$\pi_2:\mathbb{G}(K_1,K'_2)\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}(K''_2,K_3)\to\mathbb{G}(K_1,K_2)\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}(K_2,K_3)\,.$$

(3) If $\Sigma\neq(1,n)$, the assertion is obvious, because then the complex $\mathbb{G}([1,n]|\Sigma)$ is acyclic. If $\Sigma=(1,n)$, and $i=1$, say, then the assertion follows from the additivity of $\mathbb{G}(K_1,K_2)$ in K_1, proven in (3.9.3).

(4) If $\Sigma\neq(1,n)$, the assertion is obvious, because the complexes involved are all acyclic. If $\Sigma=(1,n)$ and $1<i<n$, then the assertion follows from the additivity of $\mathbb{G}(K_{i-1},K_i)$ in K_i, and of $\mathbb{G}(K_i,K_{i+1})$ in K_i.

Remark. Note in (3) there are no cross terms involved. It thus differs from the additivity for the complex $F(I|S)$ as in (1.7.1).

(3.11) *Additivity of* $\mathbb{F}(I)$. We keep the assumption of the previous subsection. Recall in (2.15) we have defined the complex $\mathbb{F}(I)$ as well as the maps τ and φ.

Proposition. *(1) For any i with $1\le i\le n$, there is a map of complexes*

$$s_i:\mathbb{F}(K_1,\cdots,K'_i,\cdots,K_n)\to\mathbb{F}(K_1,\cdots,K_i,\cdots,K_n)\qquad(3.11.a)$$

(similarly $t_i:\mathbb{F}(K_1,\cdots,K''_i,\cdots,K_n)\to\mathbb{F}(K_1,\cdots,K_i,\cdots,K_n)$). The map s_i is compatible with φ_k (for $1<k<n$), namely the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathbb{F}(K_1,\cdots,K'_i,\cdots K_n) & \xrightarrow{s_i} & \mathbb{F}(K_1,\cdots,K_i,\cdots,K_n)\\ {\scriptstyle\varphi_k}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle\varphi_k}\\ \mathbb{F}(K_1,\cdots,\widehat{K_k},\cdots,K_n) & \xrightarrow{s_i} & \mathbb{F}(K_1,\cdots,\widehat{K_k},\cdots,K_n)\,.\end{array}$$

In the sense as in (1.6.3), s_i commutes with τ_j for $i\neq j$, s_i and s_j commute for $i\neq j$, and s_i is compatible with the constraint maps.

(2) For i with $1<i<n$, there is a map of complexes

$$\pi_i(K'_i,K''_i):\mathbb{F}(K_1,\cdots,K'_i)\otimes\mathbb{F}(K''_i,\cdots,K_n)\to\mathbb{F}(K_1,\cdots,K_i,\cdots,K_n)\,.\qquad(3.11.b)$$

It is compatible with φ_k for $k\neq i$, namely the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathbb{F}(K_1,\cdots,K'_i)\otimes\mathbb{F}(K''_i,\cdots,K_n) & \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & \mathbb{F}(K_1,\cdots,K_i,\cdots,K_n)\\ {\scriptstyle\varphi_k\otimes1}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle\varphi_k}\\ \mathbb{F}(K_1,\cdots,\widehat{K_k},\cdots,K'_i)\otimes\mathbb{F}(K''_i,\cdots,K_n) & \xrightarrow{\pi_i\otimes1} & \mathbb{F}(K_1,\cdots,\widehat{K_k},\cdots,K_n)\end{array}$$

(the diagram is for $k < i$). Also, in the sense of (1.6.3), π_i is compatible with τ_j, π_i and π_j commute for $i \neq j$, and s_i and π_j commute.

(3) The map

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i(K_i', K_i'') : \ & \mathbb{F}(K_1, \cdots, K_i', \cdots, K_n) \oplus \mathbb{F}(K_1, \cdots, K_i'', \cdots, K_n) \\ & \oplus \mathbb{F}(K_1, \cdots, K_i') \otimes \mathbb{F}(K_i'', \cdots, K_n) \\ & \oplus \mathbb{F}(K_1, \cdots, K_i'') \otimes \mathbb{F}(K_i', \cdots, K_n) \\ \longrightarrow \quad & \mathbb{F}(K_1, \cdots, K_i, \cdots, K_n) \, , \end{aligned}$$

defined as the sum of s_i, t_i, $\pi_i(K_i', K_i'')$, and $\pi_i(K_i'', K_i')$, is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. (1) Recall $\mathbb{F}(I) = \oplus \mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)$ by definition. We take the sum of the maps s_i of (3.10.a) to define s_i of (3.11.a). Since the former is compatible with ρ_m, it follows that the the latter is a map of complexes. The compatibility of the former with Π_k implies the compatibility of the latter with φ_k. The other compatibilities are obvious from the definitions (recall that τ_j is the sum of the identity maps on some summands $\mathbb{G}(I|\Sigma)$).

(2) Take the sum of the maps π_i of (3.10.b) to define π_i of (3.11.b).

(3) Consider the filtration Fil_c on the complexes defined as in (2.15). Taking Gr^c_{Fil} of the map θ_i, one obtains the sum, over Σ with $|\Sigma| = c$, of the maps θ_i of (3.10), (3) if $i \notin \Sigma$, and θ_i of (3.10), (4) if $i \in \Sigma$. Since those θ_i are quasi-isomorphisms, the assertion follows.

The groupoid of C-diagrams defined in (2.16) is a symmetric monoidal category with respect to the direct sum of (3.7.2). The complexes $\mathbb{F}(K_1, \cdots, K_n)$ and the maps τ, φ satisfy conditions (1.6), (3) and (4) by (3.11) and (3.6), respectively. We have thus proven the following theorem. (Statement (2) is in (2.6.4), and statement (3) easily follows from (3.4).)

(3.12) **Theorem.** *(1) Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a quasi DG category possessing the additional structure (iv), (v) of (1.6). Then the symmetric groupoid $(\mathcal{C}^\Delta)_0$ of C-diagrams in $\mathcal{C}$, the complexes $\mathbb{F}(K_1, \cdots, K_n)$ and the maps τ, φ as in §2 satisfy the conditions of a quasi DG category. (This quasi DG category will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}^\Delta$.)*

(2) If X, Y are objects in $\mathcal{C}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we have $\mathbb{F}(X[m], Y[n]) = F(X, Y)[n - m]$.

(3) In the homotopy category $Ho(\mathcal{C}^\Delta)$, the composition of morphisms between the objects $X[m]$, $Y[n]$, $Z[\ell]$ (where X, Y, Z are objects of $\mathcal{C}$ and $m, n, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$),

$$H^0\mathbb{F}(X[m], Y[n]) \otimes H^0\mathbb{F}(Y[n], Z[\ell]) \to H^0\mathbb{F}(X[m], Z[\ell])$$

is identified via the isomorphisms (2) with the map

$$H^{n-m}F(X, Y) \otimes H^{\ell-n}F(Y, Z) \to H^{\ell-m}F(X, Z)$$

induced by τ and φ. (See Remark to (1.5) for the latter map).

The proof of the next theorem will take the rest of this section.

(3.13) **Theorem.** *Under the same assumption and notation, the homotopy category $Ho(\mathcal{C}^\Delta)$ of the quasi DG category $\mathcal{C}^\Delta$ has the structure of a triangulated category.*

(3.14) *Shifting functor.* For an increasing sequence $M = (m_1, \cdots, m_\mu)$, let $M[1] = (m_1 + 1, \cdots, m_\mu + 1)$. For a sequence $\mathbb{M} = (M|M')$ as in §2, let

$$\mathbb{M}[1] = (M[1]|M'[1]) \, .$$

Likewise for a double sequence $(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N})$, another double sequence $(\mathbb{M}[1];\mathbb{N}[1])$ is defined.

Let $K=(K^m;f(M))$ be a C-diagram. Define another C-diagram

$$K[1]=(K[1]^m;(f[1])(M)\,)$$

by $(K[1])^m=K^{m+1}$ and $(f[1])(M)=f(M[1])\in F(M[1])$ (namely $(f[1])(m_1,\cdots,m_\mu)=f(m_1+1,\cdots,m_\mu+1)\,$).

Let K and L be C-diagrams. Recall

$$H^{\bullet\bullet}(K;L)=\bigoplus_{(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N})}F(K^{\mathbb{M}};L^{\mathbb{N}})=\oplus F(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N})\ .$$

So an element u has $(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N})$-component $u(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N})\in F(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N})$. Similarly

$$H^{\bullet\bullet}(K[1],L[1])=\bigoplus_{(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N})}F(\mathbb{M}[1];\mathbb{N}[1])\ .$$

Define a map

$$shift: H^{\bullet\bullet}(K;L)\to H^{\bullet\bullet}(K[1];L[1])$$

by sending $u=(u(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N})\,)$ to $-u[1]$, where $(u[1])(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{N})=u(\mathbb{M}[1];\mathbb{N}[1])\in F(\mathbb{M}[1],\mathbb{N}[1])$. This map preserves $|u|$, $\gamma(u)$ and $\tau(u)$, and compatible with the maps δ and d'. Thus it is an isomorphism of "double" complexes. Taking Φ we get an isomorphism of complexes $shift:\mathbb{G}(K;L)\to\mathbb{G}(K[1],L[1])$.

Similarly for n C-diagrams $K_1,\cdots,K_n$, one has an isomorphism of "triple" complexes

$$shift: H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(K_1;\cdots;K_n)\to H^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}(K_1[1];\cdots;K_n[1])$$

by sending u to $shift(u)=(-1)^{n+1}u[1]$ where $(u[1])(\mathbb{M}_1;\cdots;\mathbb{M}_n)=u(\mathbb{M}_1[1];\cdots;\mathbb{M}_n[1])$. Taking Φ and then Tot, we get an isomorphism of complexes

$$shift:\mathbb{G}(K_1,\cdots,K_n)\to\mathbb{G}(K_1[1],\cdots,K_n[1])\,.$$

For Σ a subset of $(1,n)$ with cardinality $r-1$, let $I_1,\cdots,I_r$ be the corresponding segmentation of $[1,n]$, and we let

$$shift:\mathbb{G}(K_1,\cdots,K_n|\Sigma)\to\mathbb{G}(K_1[1],\cdots,K_n[1]|\Sigma) \tag{3.14.a}$$

be given by

$$u=u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r\mapsto(-1)^{n+1}u_1[1]\otimes\cdots u_r[1]=shift(u_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes shift(u_r)\,.$$

(3.14.1) **Proposition.** *The map shift commutes with ρ_m and Π_k, and it is compatible with additivity of (3.10).*

Proof. The compatibility with ρ_m is obvious by the definitions. The compatibility with the additivity, which means the commutativity with the maps s_i and π_i in (3.10), is also obvious.

The compatibility with Π_k means the identity $\Pi_k\circ shift=shift\circ\Pi_k$. To show this, we reduce to the case Σ is empty, where the verification is immediate recalling by definition

$$\begin{aligned}&(\Pi_k u)(\mathbb{M}_1;\cdots,\mathbb{M}_{k-1};\mathbb{M}_{k+1};\cdots;\mathbb{M}_n)\\ =\ &\sum_j(-1)^j\varphi_{K_k^j}\left(u(\mathbb{M}_1;\cdots,\mathbb{M}_{k-1};(\{j\}|\emptyset);\mathbb{M}_{k+1};\cdots;\mathbb{M}_n)\right)\ .\end{aligned}$$

Taking the sum of $shift$ of (3.14.a) for Σ containing S, we obtain the maps as in the following proposition.

(3.14.2) **Proposition.** *There is an isomorphism of complexes*

$$shift : \mathbb{F}(K_1, \cdots, K_n|S) \to \mathbb{F}(K_1[1], \cdots, K_n[1]|S) \tag{3.14.b}$$

that is compatible with the maps $\sigma_{S\,S'}$ and φ_K. It is also compatible with additivity of (3.11).

In particular, the isomorphism $shift : \mathbb{F}(K_1, \cdots, K_n) \to \mathbb{F}(K_1[1], \cdots, K_n[1])$ is compatible with τ_k and φ_ℓ. One thus has an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$shift : H^0\mathbb{F}(K, L) \to H^0\mathbb{F}(K[1], L[1]) \tag{3.14.c}$$

that is compatible with composition

$$H^0\mathbb{F}(K_1, K_2) \otimes H^0\mathbb{F}(K_2, K_3) \to H^0\mathbb{F}(K_1, K_3)$$

as defined in (1.5) using τ and φ. We thus have a self-equivalence $shift$ of the additive category $Ho(\mathcal{C}^\Delta)$ given on objects by $K \mapsto K[1]$ and on morphisms by (3.14.c). If $u : K \to L$ is a morphism represented by $\underline{u} \in Z^0\mathbb{F}(K, L)$, then $shift(u) : K[1] \to L[1]$ is the morphism represented by $shift(\underline{u}) = -\underline{u}[1] \in Z^0\mathbb{F}(K[1], L[1])$.

In the sequel of this paper, we shall write $u[1]$ for $shift(u)$, bearing in mind that it is indeed represented by $-\underline{u}[1]$.

(3.15) *The cone of a morphism.* Let $u : (K^m; f(M)\,) \to (L^m; g(M)\,)$ be a morphism. Take a representative $\underline{u} = (\underline{u}(M; N)\,)$ in $Z^0\mathbb{F}(K, L)$. We will define the *cone* of u as the C-diagram $C_u = (C_u^m; h(M)\,)$, with

$$C_u^m = K^{m+1} \oplus L^m\ ,$$

and with elements $h(M) \in F(C_u^M)^{-\gamma(M)}$ to be given below.

According to (3.7), we shall specify primary elements

$$h(\alpha, M) \in F(\alpha, C_u^M)$$

and take its σ-consistent prolongation.

For $\alpha = \underline{1}$ the corresponding group is $F(\underline{1}, C_u^M) = F(K[1]^M)$. One has an identification $F(K^{M[1]}) = F(K[1]^M)$, where the former is a summand of $\oplus F(K^M)$, and the latter is a summand of $\oplus F(\alpha, C_u^M)$; the identification preserves the number γ in respective groups, namely $\gamma(M[1]) = \gamma(M)$, so it also preserves $\deg_1(u) = |u| + \gamma(M)$. Consider the element $f(M[1]) \in F(K^{M[1]})$. According to the identification we view it as an element of $F(K[1]^M)$, where $\deg_1 f(M[1]) = 0$ still holds. We take $h(\underline{1}, M)$ to be this element:

$$h(\underline{1}, M) = f(M[1]) \in F(K[1]^M)\,.$$

For $\alpha = \underline{2}$, let

$$h(\underline{2}, M) = g(M) \in F(L^M)\,.$$

Next let M', M'' be a segmentation of M (in the sense of (0.2)) with M', M'' both non-empty. By $\alpha = (\underline{1}^{M'}, \underline{2}^{M''})$ we denote the function on M such that $\alpha(i) = 1$ on M' and $\alpha(i) = 2$ on M''; it corresponds to the group $F(\alpha, C_u^M) = F(K[1]^{M'}, L^{M''})$. We have an identification $F(K^{M'[1]}; L^{M''}) = F(K[1]^{M'}, L^{M''})$ where the former is a summand of $H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L)$, and the latter is a summand of $\oplus F(\alpha, C_u^M)$. It preserves the number γ attached to the respective summands, namely $\gamma(M'[1]; M'') = \gamma(M)$. We take the element $\underline{u}(M'[1]; M'') \in F(K^{M'[1]}; L^{M''})$, via the above identification view it as an element of the latter group, and take $h(\alpha, M)$ to be this element. Thus

$$h(\alpha, M) = \underline{u}(M'[1]; M'') \in F(K^{M'[1]}; L^{M''}) = F(K[1]^{M'}, L^{M''}) \ (= F(\alpha, C_u^M)\,)\,.$$

Note that $\deg_1 h(\alpha, M) = 0$. For functions α other than the above, we take $h(\alpha, M) = 0$. To be concise, following matrix expression is useful:

$$h(M) = \begin{array}{c} \\ K[1] \\ L \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} K[1] & L \end{array} \\ \begin{bmatrix} f(M[1]) & 0 \\ \underline{u}(M'[1]; M'') & g(M) \end{bmatrix} \end{array} \tag{3.15.a}$$

where M', M'' are obtained from M by partition.

Since $f(M)$, $\underline{u}(M; N)$, and $g(M)$ are σ-consistent and δ-closed, the set of elements $(h(M)) \in \oplus F(C_u^M)$ obtained by means of σ-consistent prolongation is also σ-consistent and δ-closed, defining the C-digram C_u.

There are canonical morphisms $\alpha(u) : L \to C_u$ and $\beta(u) : C_u \to K[1]$ as we shall define.

We begin with a complement to (3.9.2). With notation there, assume that $g(\alpha, N) \in F(K^M; \alpha, L^N)$ satisfies the following condition: $g(\alpha, N) = 0$ unless α is non-increasing. Then there is a canonical map of complexes

$$\mathbb{G}(K, L_2) \to \mathbb{G}(K, L)\,. \tag{3.15.b}$$

Indeed one has an inclusion $H^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L_2) \to PH^{\bullet\bullet}(K, L_1 \oplus L_2)$, and it is a map of "double" complexes under the assumption (see proof of (3.9.3) for an analogous argument). It induces a map of complexes $\mathbb{G}(K, L_2) \to P\mathbb{G}(K, L_1 \oplus L_2)$, and composing with the map $\mathcal{P}$ of (3.9.2), we obtain the map (3.15.b).

The above assumption is satisfied for $C_u = K[1] \oplus L$, thus we have a map of complexes $\mathbb{F}(L, L) \to \mathbb{F}(L, C_u)$. Let $\underline{\alpha} = \underline{\alpha}(u) \in Z^0\mathbb{F}(L, C_u)$ be the image of $(\tilde{g}(M; N)) \in Z^0\mathbb{F}(L, L)$. Concretely it is obtained by σ-consistent prolongation from the set of primary elements $\underline{\alpha}(M; \gamma, N) \in F(L^M; \gamma, C_u^N)$ given by $\underline{\alpha}(M; \underline{2}, N) = \tilde{g}(M; N)$ and $\underline{\alpha}(M; \gamma, N) = 0$ for $\gamma \neq \underline{2}$; in matrix form,

$$\underline{\alpha}(M; N) = \begin{array}{c} \\ K[1] \\ L \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} L \end{array} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{g}(M; N) \end{bmatrix} \end{array}.$$

Explicitly,

$$\underline{\alpha}(M; N) = \theta\left(\sum \tilde{g}(M; N') \otimes h(\gamma_1, N_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes h(\gamma_c, N_c)\right), \tag{3.15.c}$$

the sum over segmentations $(N', N_1, \cdots, N_c)$ of N and functions $(\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_c)$ taking distinct values at the overlaps of the segmentation and $\gamma_1(\mathrm{in}(N_1)) = 1$. Let $\alpha(u) : L \to C_u$ be the morphism represented by $\underline{\alpha}$.

Similarly we have a map of complexes

$$\mathbb{F}(K[1], K[1]) \to \mathbb{F}(C_u, K[1])\,.$$

We have $(f[1])\tilde{} \in Z^0\mathbb{F}(K[1], K[1])$, the element representing the identity of $K[1]$, and we take $\underline{\beta} \in Z^0\mathbb{F}(C_u, K[1])$ to be the image by the above map. In other words, it is obtained by σ-consistent prolongation from the set of primary elements

$$\underline{\beta}(M;N) = \begin{array}{c} \\ K[1] \end{array} \begin{array}{c} K[1] \\ [\ (f[1])\tilde{}(M;N) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} L \\ 0]\,. \end{array}$$

The explicit form is

$$\underline{\beta}(M;N) = \theta\left(\sum h(\gamma_1, M_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes h(\gamma_c, M_c) \otimes (f[1])\tilde{}(M';N)\right) \tag{3.15.d}$$

the sum over segmentations $(M_1, \cdots, M_c, M')$ of M and functions $(\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_c)$ taking distinct values at the overlaps of the segmentation and $\gamma_c(\mathrm{tm}(M_c)) = 2$. We let $\beta(u) : C_u \to K[1]$ be the morphism represented by $\underline{\beta}$.

One verifies that the composition of α and β is zero. The argument follows the line of the proof for (3.6). Define the element

$$W = (W(M;N;R)\,) \in \bigoplus F(L^M; C_u^N; K[1]^R) \subset H^{0,1,0}(L, C_u, K[1], \emptyset)$$

by

$$W(M;N;R) = \theta\left(\sum \underline{\alpha}(M;N') \otimes h(\alpha_1, N_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes h(\alpha_r, N_r) \otimes \underline{\beta}(N'';R)\right)\,.$$

The sum is over segmentations $(N', N_1, \cdots, N_r, N'')$ of N and functions $(\alpha', \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r, \alpha'')$ on respective subsets which take distinct values at the overlaps of $N_1, \cdots, N_r$ and satisfy $\alpha_1(\mathrm{in}(N_1)) = 1$ and $\alpha_r(\mathrm{tm}(N_r)) = 2$. We then have $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(W) = \rho(\underline{\alpha} \otimes \underline{\beta})$. If N consists of one element ℓ, then

$$W(M;N;R) = \theta(\underline{\alpha}(M;N) \otimes \underline{\beta}(N;R)\,)$$

where θ is the map π_ℓ. Thus we have $\Pi_{C_u}(W)(M;R) = 0$ since the composition of π_ℓ with φ_ℓ is zero by (1.6), (3). This shows the assertion.

One thus has a triangle

$$K \overset{u}{\to} L \to C_u \overset{[1]}{\to}\ .$$

Such a triangle is called a standard distinguished triangle. We declare the *distinguished triangles* to be the ones isomorphic to the standard ones.

For the axioms of a triangulated category, see for example [Ve] [We] or [KS], §1. The verification of them is parallel to the case of the homotopy category of complexes; see e.g. [KS], §1.4 for a detailed exposition. The arguments are similar to the DG case, done in [Ha-2] in detail. The above definitions of $h(M)$, α, β are motivated by the DG case. We will show two of the axioms in the following propositions. The other axioms are easily verified as in [KS], §1.4.

(3.16) **Proposition.** *There exists an isomorphism $\phi : K[1] \to C_{\alpha(u)}$ such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} L & \xrightarrow{\alpha(u)} & C_u & \xrightarrow{\beta(u)} & K[1] & \xrightarrow{-u[1]} & L[1] \\ {\scriptstyle id}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle id}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \phi}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle id}\downarrow \\ L & \xrightarrow{\alpha(u)} & C_u & \xrightarrow{\alpha(\alpha(u))} & C_{\alpha(u)} & \xrightarrow{\beta(\alpha(u))} & L[1]\ . \end{array}$$

Proof. To avoid conflict of notation, write $D = C_{\alpha(u)}$. Note $D^m = L[1]^m \oplus K[1]^m \oplus L^m$ as a sequence of objects. The structural elements $k(M) \in F(D^M)$ are given as the σ-consistent prolongation (with respect to the decomposition $L[1] \oplus C_u$) of the set of primary elements (see (3.15.a))

$$\begin{array}{c} \\ L[1] \\ C_u \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} L[1] & C_u \end{array} \\ \left[\begin{array}{cc} g(M[1]) & 0 \\ \underline{\alpha(u)}(M;N) & h(M) \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

which is also given as the σ-consistent prolongation, with respect to the three summands $L[1] \oplus K[1] \oplus L$, from the set of primary elements $k(\alpha, M) \in F(\alpha, D^M)$ for functions $\alpha : M \to \{1,2,3\}$, given as follows.

(a) Type (1). If $\alpha = \underline{1}$, then $k(\underline{1}, M) = g(M[1]) \in F(L[1]^M)$.

(b) Type (13). If $\alpha = (1^{M'}, 3^{M''})$ for a partition M', M'' of M (both M', M'' are non-empty) such that $\mathrm{tm}(M') + 1 = \mathrm{in}(M'')$, then

$$k((1^{M'}, 2^{M''}), M) = g(M'[1]_{\triangle} M'') \in F(L[1]^{M'}, L[1]^{M''}) .$$

Here we let $_{\triangle}$ mean, if $\mathrm{tm}(M') + 1 = \mathrm{in}(M'') = \ell$, taking the diagonal extension at L^ℓ and putting the sign $(-1)^\ell$. For convenience we set $g(M'[1]_{\triangle} M'') = 0$ if $\mathrm{tm}(M') + 1 \neq \mathrm{in}(M'')$.

(c) Type (2). If $\alpha = \underline{2}$, then $k(\underline{1}, M) = f(M[1]) \in F(K[1]^M)$.

(d) Type (23). If $\alpha = (2^{M'}, 3^{M''})$ for a partition M', M'' of M (both M' and M'' are non-empty) then

$$k((2^{M'}, 3^{M''}), M) = \underline{u}(M'[1]; M'') \in F(K[1]^{M'}, L^{M''}) .$$

(e) Type (3). If $\alpha = \underline{3}$, then $k(\underline{1}, M) = g(M) \in F(L^M)$.

Take $k(\alpha, M) = 0$ in all other cases. One may express this as

$$k(M) = \begin{array}{c} \\ L[1] \\ K[1] \\ L \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} L[1] & K[1] & L \end{array} \\ \left[\begin{array}{ccc} g(M[1]) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f(M[1]) & 0 \\ g(M'[1]_{\triangle} M'') & \underline{u}(M'[1]; M'') & g(M) \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

These primary elements are σ-consistent and δ-closed, and one takes the σ-consistent prolongation to obtain $k(M) \in F(D^M)$

Define morphisms $\psi : D = C_{\alpha(u)} \to K[1]$ and $\phi : K[1] \to D$ as follows.

We shall give a set of elements representing ψ, $(\underline{\psi}(M;N)) \in Z^0\mathbb{F}(D, K[1])$, according to (3.9.1), as the σ-consistent prolongation of the set of elements $\underline{\psi}(\alpha, M; N) \in F(\alpha, D^M; K[1]^N)$ for functions $\alpha : M \to \{1,2,3\}$ given as follows. If $\alpha = \underline{2}$, and $\mathrm{tm}(M) = \mathrm{in}(N) = \ell$, then

$$\underline{\psi}(\underline{2}, M; N) = (f[1])(M_{\triangle} N) \in F(K[1]^M; K[1]^N)$$

where $_{\triangle}$ means taking diagonal extension at $K^{\ell+1}$ and putting $(-1)^\ell$ (*not* $(-1)^{\ell+1}$). For other functions α, we take $\underline{\psi}(\alpha, M; N) = 0$. In matrix form, one may express it as

$$\underline{\psi}(M;N) = \begin{array}{c} \\ K[1] \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} L[1] & K[1] & L \end{array} \\ \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & f[1](M_{\triangle} N) & 0 \end{array} \right] \end{array} \in F(D^M; K[1]^N) .$$

It is obvious that these form a σ-consistent and δ-closed set of elements. By means of (3.9.1) we obtain $(\underline{\psi}(M;N)\,) \in \mathbb{F}(D, K[1])$ which is also δ-closed.

We next give elements $\underline{\phi}(M;N) \in F(K[1]^M; D^N)$ representing ϕ as the σ-consistent prolongation of the set of elements $\underline{\phi}(M;\alpha,N) \in F(K[1]^M;\alpha, D^N)$ for functions $\alpha : N \to \{1,2,3\}$, given as follows.

(a) Type (1). When $\alpha = \underline{1}$, we take the element $\underline{u}(M[1];N[1]) \in F(K^{M[1]}; L^{N[1]})$, and via the identification $F(K^{M[1]}; L^{N[1]}) = F(K[1]^M; L[1]^N)$ view it as an element of the latter group.

We take $\underline{\phi}(M;\underline{1},N)$ to be $\underline{u}(M[1];N[1])$.

(b) Type (13). When $\alpha = (1^{N'}, 3^{N''})$ for a partition $N = N' \amalg N''$ such that N', N'' both non-empty, and $\mathrm{tm}\, N' + 1 = \mathrm{in}\, N'' = \ell$, we take the element $\underline{u}(M[1];N'[1] \cup N'') \in F(K^{M[1]}; L^{N'[1]\cup N''})$ (here $N'[1] \cup N''$ is the set theoretic union, i.e., ℓ is counted once), take its image by the diagonal extension at L^ℓ

$$diag : F(K^{M[1]}; L^{N'[1]\cup N''}) \to F(K^{M[1]}; L^{N'[1]}, L^{N''})\,,$$

put the sign $(-1)^\ell$, and via the identification

$$F(K^{M[1]}; L^{N'[1]}, L^{N''}) = F(K[1]^M; L[1]^{N'}, L^{N''})\ (= F(K[1]^M;\alpha, D^N)\,)$$

view it as an element of the latter group and denote it by $\underline{u}(M[1];N'[1]_\triangle N'')$. Since $\underline{u}(M[1];N'[1] \cup N'')$ is of first degree 0, i.e., of degree $-\gamma(M[1];N'[1]\cup N'')$, and since $\gamma(M[1];N'[1]\cup N'') = \gamma(M;N)$ (use N' non-empty to show this), the element $\underline{u}(M[1];N'[1]_\triangle N'')$ is also of first degree 0. We take

$$\underline{\phi}(M;(1^{N'},3^{N''}),N) = \underline{u}(M[1];N'[1]_\triangle N'')\,.$$

When $\mathrm{tm}\, N' + 1 \neq \mathrm{in}\, N''$, we set $\underline{u}(M[1];N'[1]_\triangle N'') = 0$ for convenience.

(c) Type (2). When $\alpha = \underline{2}$ and $\mathrm{tm}\, M = \mathrm{in}\, N$, we take the element $f[1](M \cup N) \in F(K^{M[1]\cup N[1]})$, take its image under the diagonal extension map

$$F(K^{M[1]\cup N[1]}) \to F(K^{M[1]}, K^{N[1]})$$

put the sign $(-1)^\ell$, and view it as an element of $F(K[1]^M; K[1]^N)$; denote it by $f[1](M_\triangle N)$. Let $\underline{\phi}(M;\underline{2},N) = f[1](M_\triangle N)$.

(d) Type (23). When $\alpha = (2^{N'}, 3^{N''})$, where $\mathrm{tm}\, M = \mathrm{in}\, N$, and N', N'' is a partition of N such that $N' \neq \emptyset$ and $N'' \neq \emptyset$, we take the element $\underline{u}(M[1]\cup N'[1];N'') \in F(K^{M[1]\cup N'[1]}; L^{N''})$, take its image by the diagonal extension at $K^{\ell+1}$,

$$F(K^{M[1]\cup N'[1]}; L^{N''}) \to F(K^{M[1]}, K^{N'[1]}; L^{N''})\,,$$

put the sign $(-1)^\ell$ (*not* $(-1)^{\ell+1}$), and by the identification (notice the place of semi-colon changes)

$$F(K^{M[1]}, K^{N'[1]}; L^{N''}) = F(K[1]^M; K[1]^{N'}, L^{N''})\ (= F(K[1]^M;\alpha, D^N)\,)$$

view it as an element of the latter group. Denote it by $\underline{u}(M[1]_\triangle N'[1];N'')$. Since $\underline{u}(M[1]\cup N'[1];N'')$ is of first degree 0, i.e., of degree $-\gamma(M[1]\cup N'[1];N'')$, and $\gamma(M[1]\cup N'[1];N'') = \gamma(M;N)$ by the assumption N', N'' both non-empty, the element $\underline{u}(M[1]_\triangle N'[1];N'')$ is also of first degree 0. Take

$$\underline{\phi}(M;(2^{N'},3^{N''}),N) = \underline{u}(M[1]_\triangle N'[1];N'')\,.$$

When $\mathrm{tm}\, M \neq \mathrm{in}\, N$ we set $\underline{u}(M[1]_{\triangle} N'[1]; N'') = 0$.

(e) For other functions α, we take $\underline{\phi}(M; \alpha, N) = 0$.

By a direct case-by-case verification, one shows these primary elements are σ-consistent and δ-closed. The proof of the latter proceeds as follows.

The δ-closedness means that for each $(M; \alpha, N)$ we have:

$$\partial \underline{\phi}(M; \alpha, N) + \sum_k \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k(\underline{\phi}(M \cup \{k\}; \alpha, N)\,) + \sum_{(k,\tilde{\alpha})} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k(\underline{\phi}(M; \tilde{\alpha}, N \cup \{k\})\,) = 0$$

where $k \in (-\infty, \mathrm{tm}\, M] - M$ in the first sum, and where $k \in [\mathrm{in}(N), +\infty) - N$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ extension of α in the second sum. To show the identity holds, we argue according to the type of α.

(a) If $\alpha = \underline{1}$ or $\alpha = (1^{N'}, 3^{N''})$ with $N' \neq \emptyset$, $N'' \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathrm{tm}\, N' + 1 = \mathrm{in}\, N''$, it holds by the δ-closedness of $\underline{u}(M; N)$.

(b) If $\alpha = (1^{N'}, 3^{N''})$ with $N' \neq \emptyset$, $N'' \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathrm{tm}\, N' + 1 \neq \mathrm{in}\, N''$, the first term of the identity is zero, and it reduces to the easily verifiable identity (with $n' = \mathrm{tm}\, N'$, $n'' = \mathrm{in}\, N''$)

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{n''-1}\,(\underline{u}(M[1]; (\,(N' \amalg \{n''-1\})[1]_{\triangle} N'')) + \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{n'+1}\,(\underline{u}(M[1]; (\,(N'[1])_{\triangle}(\{n'+1\} \cup N'')\,)) = 0\,.$$

(c) If $\alpha = \underline{2}$, it reduces to the δ-closedness of $f(M_{\triangle} N)$, shown in (3.6).

(d) If $\alpha = (2^{N'}, 3^{N''})$ with $N' \neq \emptyset$, $N'' \neq \emptyset$, the identity follows from the δ-closedness of $\underline{u}(M; N)$.

(e) If $\alpha = \underline{3}$, the first term of the identity is zero, and letting $m_\mu = \mathrm{tm}\, M$ and $n_1 = \mathrm{in}\, N$, the identity reduces to:

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{m_\mu}\,(\underline{u}(M[1]_{\triangle}\{m_\mu\}[1]; N)) + \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{n_1-1}\,(\underline{u}(M[1]; \{n_1 - 1\}_{\triangle} N)) = 0\,.$$

For other functions α, the three terms are all zero.

By the σ-consistent prolongation (3.9.2) we obtain a set of elements $(\underline{\phi}(M; N)\,) \in \mathbb{F}(K[1], D)$ of degree 0 which is δ-closed.

One can prove

(i) $\alpha(\alpha(u)) \cdot \psi = \beta(u)$.

(ii) $\phi \cdot \beta(\alpha(u)) = -u[1]$.

(iii) $\phi \cdot \psi = id$.

(iv) $\psi \cdot \phi = id$.

We write out the proof of (i) and (ii) only. First note that according to the definition $\alpha(\alpha(u))$ is represented by $\boldsymbol{a}(M; N) \in F(C^M_u; C^N_{\alpha(u)})$, which is the σ-consistent prolongation of the set of elements $\boldsymbol{a}(\alpha, M; \beta, N) \in F(\alpha, C^M_u; \beta, C^N_{\alpha(u)})$ for functions $\alpha : M \to \{1, 2\}$ and $\beta : N \to \{1, 2, 3\}$ given as follows.

(a) If $\alpha = \underline{1}$ and $\beta = \underline{2}$, then $\boldsymbol{a}(\underline{1}, M; \underline{2}, N) = (f[1])(M_{\triangle} N) \in F(K[1]^M; K[1]^N)$ (the same element as the type (2) element for $\underline{\phi}$).

(b) If $\alpha = \underline{2}$ and $\beta = \underline{3}$, then $\boldsymbol{a}(\underline{2}, M; \underline{3}, N) = g(M_{\triangle} N) \in F(L^M; L^N)$.

(c) In case $\mathrm{tm}\, M = \mathrm{in}\, N = \ell$ and $\alpha = (1^{M'}, 2^{M''})$ with M', M'' segmentation of M with M' non-empty (but $M'' = \emptyset$ allowed) and $\beta = \underline{3}$, then

$$\boldsymbol{a}((1^{M'}, 2^{M''}), M; \underline{3}, N) \in F(K[1]^{M'}, L^{M''}; L^N)$$

is $\underline{u}(M'[1]; M''_{\triangle}N)$, the element obtained from $\underline{u}(M'[1]; L^{M''\cup N})$ by diagonal extension at $K^{\ell+1}$ and putting sign $(-1)^{\ell}$.

In case $\operatorname{tm} M = \operatorname{in} N = \ell$ and $\alpha = \{1\}$ and $\beta = (2^{N'}, 3^{N''})$ with N', N'' segmentation of N with N'' non-empty (but $N' = \emptyset$ is allowed)

$$\boldsymbol{a}(\underline{1}, M; (2^{N'}, 3^{N''}), N) = \underline{u}((M_{\triangle}N')[1]; N'') \in F(K[1]^{M}; K[1]^{N'}, L^{N''}),$$

the element obtained from $\underline{u}(M[1] \cup N'[1]; N'') \in F(K[1]^{M\cup N}; L^{N''})$ by diagonal extension at $K^{\ell+1}$ and putting $(-1)^{\ell}$.

(d) For other pairs of functions α, β, one has $\boldsymbol{a}(\alpha, M; \beta, N) = 0$.

This set of elements is σ-consistent and δ-closed, and we have

$$\boldsymbol{a}(M; N) = \theta\left(\sum h(\gamma_1, M_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes h(\gamma_t, M_t) \otimes \boldsymbol{a}(\gamma', M'; \alpha', N') \otimes k(\alpha_1, N_1) \otimes \cdots k(\alpha_r, N_r)\right)$$

where the sum is over $(M_1, \cdots, M_t, M')$, $t \geq 0$, a segmentation of M, and $(\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_t, \gamma')$ is a set of functions taking distinct values at the overlaps of the segmentation, as well as over $(N', N_1, \cdots, N_r)$, $r \geq 0$ and $(\alpha', \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r)$. Similarly,

$$\underline{\psi}(\bar{N}; R) = \theta\left(\sum k(\bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{N}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes k(\bar{\alpha}_s, \bar{N}_s) \otimes \underline{\psi}(\bar{\alpha}', \bar{N}'; R)\right)$$

the sum over segmentations $(\bar{N}_1, \cdots, \bar{N}_s, \bar{N}')$ of $\bar{N}$ and functions $(\bar{\alpha}_1, \cdots, \bar{\alpha}_s, \bar{N}')$ taking distinct values at the overlaps. Thus for $\boldsymbol{a} = (\boldsymbol{a}(M; N))$ and $\underline{\psi} = (\underline{\psi}(\bar{N}; R))$, their tensor product $\boldsymbol{a} \otimes \underline{\psi} \in \mathbb{G}(C_u, D)\tilde{\otimes}\mathbb{G}(D, K[1])$ is equal to

$$\sum \boldsymbol{a}(M; N) \otimes \underline{\psi}(\bar{N}; R) \in \bigoplus F(C_u^M; D^N)\tilde{\otimes}F(D^{\bar{N}}; K[1]^R),$$

the sum over all free double sequences $(M; N)$ and $(\bar{N}; R)$. Consequently

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{a} \otimes \underline{\psi}) = \sum \boldsymbol{a}(M; N) \otimes \underline{\psi}(\bar{N}; R) \in \mathbb{G}(C_u, D, K[1], \{2\}),$$

the sum over those pairs of double sequences with the condition $\operatorname{tm} N = \operatorname{in} \bar{N}$.

We shall give an element $W \in \mathbb{G}(C_u, C_{\alpha(u)}, K[1], \emptyset)$ such that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(W) = \rho(\boldsymbol{a} \otimes \underline{\psi})$. For a free triple sequence $(M; N; R)$ and functions γ on M, α on N, we define elements

$$W(\gamma, M; \alpha, N; R) \in F(\gamma, C_u^M; \alpha, D^N; K[1]^R)$$

as follows. When $\gamma = \underline{2}$ and $\alpha = \underline{2}$, let

$$W(\underline{2}, M; \underline{2}, N; R) = f[1](M_{\triangle}N_{\triangle}R);$$

the right hand side is defined below. In all other cases, we take $W(\gamma, M; \alpha, N; R) = 0$.

To define $f[1](M_{\triangle}N_{\triangle}R)$, assume $\operatorname{tm} M = \operatorname{in} N = \ell$ and $\operatorname{tm} N = \operatorname{in} R = \ell'$. Consider the element $f[1](M \cup N \cup R) \in F(K^{M[1]\cup N[1]\cup R[1]})$, take its image under the diagonal extension at $K^{\ell+1}$ and $K^{\ell'+1}$,

$$diag : F(K^{M[1]\cup N[1]\cup R[1]}) \to F(K^{M[1]}; K^{N[1]}; K^{R[1]}),$$

and put the sign $(-1)^{\ell+\ell'}$; the result is the $f[1](M_{\triangle}N_{\triangle}R)$. Note that if $\ell = \ell'$, then $K^{\ell+1}$ is counted three times in the diagonal extension, and the sign is $(-1)^{\ell+\ell} = +1$. If $\operatorname{tm} M \neq \operatorname{in} N$ or $\operatorname{tm} N \neq \operatorname{in} R$, we let $f[1](M_{\triangle}N_{\triangle}R)$ be zero.

One then obviously has the identities

$$\sigma_k(W(\gamma,M;\alpha,N;R)\,)=\begin{cases} h(\gamma_{\le k},M_{\le k})\otimes W(\gamma_{\ge k},M_{\ge k};\alpha,N;R) & \text{if } k\in M-\{\operatorname{in} M\}\,,\\ \boldsymbol{a}(\gamma,M;\alpha_{\le k},N_{\le k})\otimes \underline{\psi}(\alpha_{\ge k},N_{\ge k};R) & \text{if } k\in N\,,\\ W(\gamma,M;\alpha,N;R_{\le k})\otimes f[1](R_{\ge k}) & \text{if } k\in R-\{\operatorname{tm} R\}\,.\end{cases}$$

Then we define the element $W(M;N;R)\in F(C_u^M;D^N;K[1]^R)$ by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} W(M;N;R) \;&=\theta\,(\textstyle\sum h(\gamma_1,M_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes h(\gamma_t,M_t)\otimes \boldsymbol{a}(\gamma',M';\alpha',N')\\ &\qquad\qquad\otimes k(\alpha_1,N_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes k(\alpha_r,N_r)\otimes\underline{\psi}(\bar\alpha,\bar N;R)\,)\\ &\quad+\theta\,(\textstyle\sum h(\gamma_1,M_1)\otimes\cdots h(\gamma_t,M_t)\otimes W(\gamma',M';\alpha,\bar N;R))\;. \end{aligned}\tag{3.16.a}$$

The sum is over segmentations of M and N and functions taking distinct values at the overlaps. In the first sum, N is segmented into at least two sub-intervals $N',N_1,\cdots,N_r,\bar N$, while in the second sum N is segmented into just itself. These elements satisfy

$$\sigma_k(W(M;N;R)\,)=\begin{cases} h(M_{\le k})\otimes W(M_{\ge k};N;R) & \text{if } k\in M-\{\operatorname{in} M\,\}\,,\\ \boldsymbol{a}(M;N_{\le k})\otimes\underline{\psi}(N_{\ge k};R) & \text{if } k\in N\,,\\ W(M;N;R_{\le k})\otimes f[1](R_{\ge k}) & \text{if } k\in R-\{\operatorname{tm} R\,\}\,.\end{cases}$$

The first and third identities show that $W=(W(M;N;R)\,)\in H^{010}(C_u;D;K[1])$ is contained in $\mathbb{G}(C_u;D;K[1]\,,\emptyset)$, and the second identity shows $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(W)=\rho(\boldsymbol{a}\otimes\underline{\psi})$. By (3.4), $\alpha(\alpha(u))\cdot\psi$ is represented by $\Pi_D(W)$. Recall by definition

$$(\Pi_D(W))(M;R)=\sum_j(-1)^j\varphi_{D^j}(W(M;\{j\};R)\,)\,.$$

One has by (3.16.a)

$$\begin{aligned} W(M;\{j\};R) \;&=\;\theta\Big(\sum h(\gamma_1,M_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes h(\gamma_t,M_t)\otimes\boldsymbol{a}(\gamma',M';\alpha',\{j\})\otimes\underline{\psi}(\bar\alpha,\{j\};R)\Big)\\ &+\;\theta\Big(\sum h(\gamma_1,M_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes h(\gamma_t,M_t)\otimes W(\gamma',M';\alpha,\{j\};R)\Big)\;. \end{aligned}$$

In the first sum, $\alpha'(j)\neq\bar\alpha(j)$; since the composition of π_j and φ_j is zero, the first sum yields zero by φ_{D^j}. For the second sum, using the identity

$$(-1)^j\varphi_{K^{j+1}}(f[1](M'_\triangle\{j\}_\triangle R))=\begin{cases}(f[1])(M'_\triangle R) & \text{if } \operatorname{tm} M'=\operatorname{in} R=j,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

and the compatibility of θ and φ, one has

$$(-1)^j\varphi_{D^j}(W(M;\{j\};R)\,)=\theta\Big(\sum h(\gamma_1,M_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes h(\gamma_t,M_t)\otimes(f[1])(M'_\triangle R)\Big)$$

if $\operatorname{tm} M=\operatorname{in} R=j$, and zero otherwise. Therefore

$$(\Pi_D(W))(M;R)=\theta\Big(\sum h(\gamma_1,M_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes h(\gamma_t,M_t)\otimes(f[1])(M'_\triangle R)\Big)\;;$$

the sum is over segmentations of M and functions $(\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_t)$ taking distinct values at the overlaps and $\gamma_t(\operatorname{tm}(M_t))\neq 2$. These are the representatives of $\beta(u)$, so we have shown (i).

To prove (ii), the map $\beta(\alpha)$ is represented by elements $\boldsymbol{b}(M;N) \in F(C_u^M; K[1]^N)$ given by

$$\boldsymbol{b}(M;N) = \theta\left(\sum k(\gamma_1, M_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes k(\gamma_c, M_c) \otimes (g[1])(M'_{\vartriangle} N)\right) ,$$

the sum over segmentations of M and functions such that $\gamma_c(\mathrm{tm}(M_c)) \neq 1$. On the other hand,

$$\underline{\phi}(M;N) = \theta\left(\sum \underline{\phi}(M;\alpha',N') \otimes k(\alpha_1,N_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes k(\alpha_r,N_r)\right) .$$

Hence $\rho(\underline{\phi} \otimes \underline{\boldsymbol{b}}) \in \mathbb{G}(K[1], D, L[1], \{2\})$ equals

$$\theta\left(\sum \underline{\phi}(M;\alpha',N') \otimes k(\alpha_1,N_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes k(\alpha_r,N_r) \right.$$
$$\left. \otimes k(\gamma_1,\bar{N}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes k(\gamma_c,\bar{N}_c) \otimes (g[1])(N''_{\vartriangle} R)\right) ,$$

the sum over free double sequences $(M;N)$, $(\bar{N},R)$ such that $\mathrm{tm}\, N = \mathrm{in}\, \bar{N}$, and segmentations $(N', N_1, \cdots, N_c, N'')$ of N and functions on them. Let $W \in \mathbb{G}(K[1], D, L[1], \emptyset)$ be the element with components

$$\begin{aligned} W(M;N;R) \;&= \theta\left(\sum \underline{\phi}(M;\alpha',N') \otimes k(\alpha_1,N_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes k(\alpha_c,N_c) \otimes (g[1])(N''_{\vartriangle} R)\right) \\ &\quad + \theta\left(\underline{u}(M[1]; N[1]_{\vartriangle} R[1])\right) \end{aligned}$$

in $F(K[1]^M, D^N, L[1]^R)$. The first sum is over segmentations $(N', N_1, \cdots, N_c, N'')$ of N and functions taking distinct values at the overlaps and $\alpha_c(\mathrm{tm}\, N_c) \neq 1$. One has $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(W) = \rho(\underline{\phi} \otimes \underline{\boldsymbol{b}})$.

We now show $(\Pi_D(W))(M;R) = \underline{u}(M[1];R[1])$. Indeed when N consists of one element $N = \{j\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} W(M;\{j\};R) \;&= \theta\left(\underline{\phi}(M;\alpha',\{j\}) \otimes (g[1])(\{j\}_{\vartriangle} R)\right) \\ &\quad + \theta\left(\underline{u}(M[1]; \{j\}[1]_{\vartriangle} R[1])\right) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(-1)^j \varphi_{D^j}(W(M;\{j\};R)) = (-1)^j \theta\left(\varphi_{L^{j+1}}(\underline{u}(M[1];\{j\}[1]_{\vartriangle} R[1]))\right)$$

which equals $\underline{u}(M[1];R[1])$ if $j = \mathrm{tm}(R)$ and zero otherwise. Taking the sum over j, the assertion follows.

Recall from (3.15) that $u[1]$ is represented by $-\underline{u}(M[1];N[1])$, thus $\phi \cdot \beta(\alpha) = -u[1]$.

(3.17) **Proposition.** *A commutative square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{u} & L \\ {\scriptstyle v}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle w} \\ K' & \xrightarrow{u'} & L' \end{array}$$

in $Ho(\mathcal{C}^{\Delta})$ can be extended to a morphism of triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K & \xrightarrow{u} & L & \xrightarrow{\alpha(u)} & C_u & \xrightarrow{\beta(u)} & K[1] \\ {\scriptstyle v}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle w}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \zeta}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle v[1]} \\ K' & \xrightarrow{u'} & L' & \xrightarrow{\alpha(u')} & C_{u'} & \xrightarrow{\beta(u')} & K'[1] . \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $\underline{u} = (\underline{u}(M;N))$ be a representative of u, and similarly for u', v, and w. By (3.4),

there is a cocycle $W = (W(M;N;R)\,)$ in $\mathbb{G}(K,L,L',\emptyset)^2$ such that

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(W) = \rho(\underline{u}\otimes\underline{w})\,,$$

and there is a cocycle $W' = (W'(M;N;R)\,)$ in $\mathbb{G}(K,K',L',\emptyset)^2$ such that

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(W') = \rho(\underline{v}\otimes\underline{u'})\,.$$

Let $\zeta : C_u \to C_{u'}$ be the morphism represented by $(\underline{\zeta}(M;N)\,)$ with $\underline{\zeta}(M;N) \in F(C_u^M; C_{u'}^N)$, obtained by σ-consistent prolongation from the σ-consistent, δ-closed set of elements $\zeta(\alpha, M; \beta, N)$ in $F(\alpha, C_u^M; \beta, C_{u'}^N)$ given as follows.

(a) If $\alpha = (1^{M'}, 2^{M''})$ with M', M'' both non-empty and $\beta = \underline{2}$, let

$$\zeta((1^{M'}, 2^{M''}), M; \underline{2}, N) = W(M'[1]; M''; N)\,.$$

(b) If $\alpha = \underline{1}$ and $\beta = (1^{N'}, 2^{N''})$ with N', N'' both non-empty, let

$$\zeta(\underline{1}, (1^{N'}, 2^{N''}), N) = W'(M; N'[1]; N'')\,.$$

(c) If $\alpha = \underline{1}$ and $\beta = \underline{1}$, then $\zeta(\underline{1}, M; \underline{1}, N) = v(M[1]; N[1])$.

(d) If $\alpha = \underline{2}$ and $\beta = \underline{2}$, then $\zeta(\underline{2}, M; \underline{2}, N) = w(M; N)$.

It can be can be shown that both compositions $\alpha(u)\cdot\zeta$ and $w\cdot\alpha(u')$ coincide with the morphism $x : L \to C_{u'}$ represented by $(\underline{x}(M;N)\,)$ with $\underline{x}(M;N) \in F(L^M; C_{u'}^N)$, that is obtained by the σ-consistent prolongation from the σ-consistent, δ-closed set of elements given by

$$\underline{x}(M;\alpha,N) = \begin{cases} \underline{w}(M;N) & \text{if } \alpha = \underline{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\,. \end{cases}$$

The verification is analogous to the ones in the proof of the previous proposition.

Similarly, both $\beta(u)\cdot v[1]$ and $\zeta\cdot\beta(u')$ coincide with the morphism $y : C_u \to K'[1]$ represented by $\underline{y}(M;N) \in F(C_u^M; K'[1]^N)$ obtained by the σ-consistent prolongation from the σ-consistent, δ-closed set of elements given by

$$\underline{y}(\alpha,M;N) = \begin{cases} \underline{v}(M[1];N[1]) & \text{if } \alpha = \underline{1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\,. \end{cases}$$

This concludes the proof.

4 The triangulated category of motives over a variety

(4.1) Let S be a quasi-projective variety over a field k. We take the quasi DG category of symbols $Symb(S)$, recalled in (1.9), and apply the constructions of the previous sections. We obtain the quasi DG category $Symb(S)^{\Delta}$, and then its homotopy category $Ho(Symb(S)^{\Delta})$.

Definition. We set $\mathcal{D}(S) = Ho(Symb(S)^{\Delta})$. This is a triangulated category by (3.13). We call this the *triangulated category of mixed motives over S*.

Recall that $(\mathrm{Smooth}/k\,, \mathrm{Proj}/S)$ denotes the category of smooth varieties over k equipped with projective maps to S. For X in $(\mathrm{Smooth}/k\,, \mathrm{Proj}/S)$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, there corresponds an object

$$h(X/S)(r) := (X/S, r)[-2r]$$

of $\mathcal{D}(S)$. We write $h(X/S)$ for $h(X/S)(0)$.

If $f : X \to Y$ is a map over S, one has the class of the graph of f, $[\Gamma_f] \in \mathrm{CH}_{\dim X}(Y \times_S X)$.

The next theorem follows from (1.9) and (3.12).

(4.2) **Theorem.** *The triangulated category $\mathcal{D}(S)$ has the following properties.*

(1) For two objects $h(X/S)(r)$ and $h(Y/S)(s)$ where X, Y are in $(\mathrm{Smooth}/k\,, \mathrm{Proj}/S)$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(S)}(h(X/S)(r)[2r], h(Y/S)(s)[2s-n]) = \mathrm{CH}_{\dim Y - s + r}(X \times_S Y, n)$$

the right hand side being the higher Chow group of the fiber product $X \times_S Y$.

(2) The composition of morphisms between three such objects (with shifts),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}(h(X/S)(r)[2r], h(Y/S)(s)[2s-n]) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(h(Y/S)(r)[2s-n], h(Z/S)(t)[2t-n-m]) \\ \to \mathrm{Hom}(h(X/S)(r)[2r], h(Z/S)(t)[2t-n-m])\end{aligned}$$

is identified via the isomorphism in (1) with the map

$$\psi : \mathrm{CH}_{\dim Y - s + r}(X \times_S Y, n) \otimes \mathrm{CH}_{\dim Z - t + s}(Y \times_S Z, m) \to \mathrm{CH}_{\dim Z - t + r}(X \times_S Z, n + m)$$

in (1.9).

(3) There is a functor

$$h : (\mathrm{Smooth}/k\,, \mathrm{Proj}/S)^{opp} \to \mathcal{D}(S)$$

that sends X to $h(X/S)$, and a map $f : X \to Y$ to the map $f^* : h(Y/S) \to h(X/S)$ corresponding to $[\Gamma_f] \in \mathrm{CH}_{\dim X}(Y \times_S X)$.

References.

[Blo-1]Bloch, S. : Algebraic cycles and higher K-theory, Adv. in Math. 61 (1986), 267 - 304.

[Blo-2]— : The moving lemma for higher Chow groups, J. Alg. Geom. 3 (1994), 537–568.

[Blo-3]— : Some notes on elementary properties of higher chow groups, including functoriality properties and cubical chow groups, preprint on Bloch's home page.

[CH]Corti, A. and Hanamura, M. : Motivic decomposition and intersection Chow groups I, Duke Math. J. 103 (2000), 459-522.

[Fu]Fulton, W. : Intersection Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1984.

[Ha-1]Hanamura, M. : Mixed motives and algebraic cycles I, Math. Res. Letters 2(1995), 811-821.

[Ha-2]Hanamura, M. : Mixed motives and algebraic cycles II, Invent. Math. 158 (2004), 105-179.

[Ha-3]Hanamura, M. : Mixed motives and algebraic cycles III, Math. Res. Letters 6(1999), 61-82.

[Ha-4]— : Homological and cohomological motives of algebraic varieties, Invent. Math. 142(2000), 319-349.

[Ha-5]— : Cycle theory of relative correspondences, preprint.

[Ka]Kapranov, M. M. : On the derived categories of coherent sheaves on some homogeneous spaces, Invent. Math. 92 (1988), 479–508.

[Le]Levine, M., : Mixed Motives, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 57, 1998, American Math. Soc.

[KS]Kashiwara, M., Schapira, P.: Sheaves on Manifolds, Springer, Berlin, New York, 1990.

[Ma-1]Mac Lane, S.: Categories for the Working Mathematician, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 5. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

[Ma-2]Mac Lane, S.: Homology. Reprint of the 1975 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[Te]Terasoma, T. : DG-categories and simplicial bar complexes, Moscow Math. J. vol. 10 (2010), 231–267.

[Ve]Verdier, J.-L. : Des catégories dérivées des catégories abéliennes, Astérisque No. 239 (1996).

[Vo]Voevodsky, V. : Triangulated categories of motives over a field, in: Cycles, Transfers, and Motivic Cohomology Theories (by V. Voevodsky, A. Suslin, and E. M. Friedlander), Princeton Univ. Press (2000).

[We]Weibel, C. A. : An introduction to homological algebra. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 38. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1994).

Department of Mathematics,
Tohoku University
Aramaki Aoba-ku, 980-8587, Sendai, Japan